Minor effect of physical size sorting on iron solubility of transported mineral dust
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Abstract. Observations show that the fractional solubility of Fe (FS-Fe, percentage of dissolved to total Fe) in dust aerosol increases considerably from 0.1 % in regions of high dust mass concentration to 80 % in remote regions where concentrations are low. Here, we combined laboratory geochemical measurements with global aerosol model simulations to test the hypothesis that the increase in FS-Fe is due to physical size sorting during transport. We determined the FS-Fe and fractional solubility of Al (FS-Al) in size-fractionated dust generated from two representative soil samples collected from known Saharan dust source regions using a customized dust re-suspension and collection system. The results show that the FS-Fe is size-dependent and ranges from 0.1–0.3 % in the coarse size fractions (>1 µm) to ∼0.2–0.8 % in the fine size fractions (<1 µm). The FS-Al shows a similar size distribution to that of the FS-Fe. The size-resolved FS-Fe data were then combined with simulated dust mass concentration and size distribution data from a global aerosol model, GLOMAP, to calculate the FS-Fe of dust aerosol over the tropical and subtropical North Atlantic Ocean. We find that the calculated FS-Fe of submicrometer size fractions (0.18–0.32 µm, 0.32–0.56 µm, and 0.56–1.0 µm) in the model is increased by a factor of 10 over the measured values, the calculated FS-Fe of the dust is still more than an order of magnitude lower than that measured in the field. Therefore, the physical sorting of dust particles alone is unlikely to be an important factor in the observed inverse relationship between the FS-Fe and FS-Al and the atmospheric mineral dust mass concentrations. The results suggest that processes such as chemical reactions and/or mixing with combustion particles are the main mechanisms to cause the increased FS-Fe in long-range transported dust aerosols.

1 Introduction

One of the most significant recent advances in oceanography was the demonstration of the importance of Fe supply in regulating key biogeochemical interactions and feedbacks between the ocean and atmosphere (Martin et al., 1990; Turner et al., 1996; Boyd et al., 2007, 2010) together with the recognition that atmospheric mineral aerosol (dust) is a primary external source of Fe found in surface waters (Martin, 1990; Duce et al., 1991; Jickells and Spokes, 2001; Jickells et al., 2005; Rikenberg et al., 2008; Guieu et al., 2010; Theodosi et al., 2010). The supply of bioavailable Fe to surface waters has been shown to be important in the regulation of primary productivity and nitrogen fixation rates, resulting in altered carbon uptake and biogenic air-sea gas fluxes, which have feedback effects on climate and dust production (Jickells et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2005; Falkowski et al., 1998;
Boyle, 2000; Röthlisberger et al., 2004). The fraction of the dissolved Fe can be calculated as the dissolved Fe in the dust aerosol is bioavailable. The flux of dissolved Fe can be directly measured chemically, it is generally assumed that the dissolved Fe in the dust aerosol is bioavailable. The flux of the dissolved Fe can be calculated as

\[
\text{Flux}_{\text{dissolved Fe}} = \text{Flux}_{\text{dust}} \times \text{FeT} \times \text{FS-Fe},
\]

in which FeT is the total Fe content and FS-Fe is the fractional solubility of Fe (percentage of dissolved to FeT). While the estimated total flux of dust to the ocean and FeT in the dust are less uncertain (Jickells et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2005; Guieu et al., 2002), the FS-Fe term varies considerably from \(~0.1\%\) to more than \(80\%\), with higher solubility values generally observed when dust mass concentrations are low both over remote parts of the oceans and over polluted areas (e.g., Hand et al., 2004; Chen and Siefert, 2004; Baker and Jickells, 2006; Sedwick et al., 2007; Mahowald et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2010; Erel et al., 1993; Sedlak et al., 1997; Theodosi et al., 2010). Baker and Jickells (2006) and Measures et al. (2010) also found a similar inverse relationship between dust mass concentration and fractional solubility of Al (FS-Al) in aerosol samples.

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the systematic trends in Fe and Al solubility with dust mass concentrations. One hypothesis is the physical sorting idea proposed by Baker and Jickells (2006). They suggested that gravitational settling of coarse dust particles (having lower Fe solubility) across the Atlantic away from the Saharan source could lead to the observed inverse relationship. They argued that the greater solubility at lower dust mass concentrations could be due to a larger surface area to volume ratio of the finer dust particles.

Motivated by the “physical sorting hypothesis”, two groups have investigated the size distribution of Fe solubility in atmospheric aerosol. Ooki et al. (2009) reported a higher Fe solubility in finer aerosol collected in Japan during a dust event. In contrast, Buck et al. (2010a) did not find an increasing trend in Fe solubility with the size of aerosol over the northwest Pacific Ocean. Therefore, the effect of physical size sorting on the FS-Fe is still not clear. A major reason is that the dust aerosol collected on cruises or at ground stations may have been subjected to other processes such as mixing with anthropogenic particles and chemical processing which may also alter the FS-Fe (e.g., Baker and Croot, 2010; Sedwick et al., 2007; Chuang et al., 2005; Hsu et al., 2010; Buck et al., 2010b; Measures et al., 2010).

In this study, we have investigated the “physical sorting hypothesis” by combining laboratory experiments of dust precursors with global aerosol simulations. We firstly separated soil samples from two well-known Saharan dust source regions, which have not been atmospherically processed, into 10 aerodynamic diameter (\(D\)) size ranges (0–100 \(\mu\)m) and measured the FS-Fe and FS-Al of each size fraction. The measured FS-Fe data were combined with outputs from a global aerosol model to evaluate how the process of physical size sorting alone would affect FS-Fe of dust aerosol. The results were then compared with field data to determine the relative importance of physical sorting to explain the observed increase in FS-Fe with decreasing dust mass concentration.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Sample description

The first sample used was a palaeosol from a region of the Western Sahara that has been shown to be a major source of dust by TOMS (Prospero et al., 2002). The second sample was collected from a river channel that drained the Tibesti Mountains in north Libya during the early Holocene humid period and now forms a flash flood water course that is subject to deflation. The area where this sample was collected is also known to be a major Saharan dust source (Prospero et al., 2002; Schepanski et al., 2007). The Fe (crystalline Fe(III) oxides, mainly goethite and hematite, which can be extracted by citrate buffered dithionite solution; Shi et al. (2011a, b)) to total Fe ratio, which is a major parameter for Fe mineralogical compositions in the dust (Formenti et al., 2010) and is dependent on the degree of chemical weathering of the source materials (Shi et al., 2011a), in the \(D < 20 \mu\)m size fractions of the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples were 0.33 and 0.38, respectively. These are close to ratios reported in airborne Saharan dust, which is usually between 0.3–0.4 (Lazaro et al., 2008; Lafon et al., 2004; Formenti et al., 2008). This comparison suggests that the Fe mineralogical compositions of the soil samples are similar to that of the airborne Saharan dust. Therefore, we suggest that the soil samples used in this study are representative of the source materials of atmospheric Saharan dust (Shi et al., 2011a, b), before they are affected by atmospheric processing.

### 2.2 Dust size separation

A Dust Tower (X78502, Grimm Aerosol Technik, Germany) was used to re-suspend the Saharan soil samples (Fig. 1). A compressed air supply driving the dust tower was ultra-cleaned by three Whatman In-Line Vacuum Protection Filters (WZ-29708-00 Activated Carbon, WZ-29708-02 Desiccant, and WZ-29708-04 Molecular Sieve) and then a HEPA filter. The ultra-clean air was injected into the bottom of the dust tower at 101 min\(^{-1}\) to create a turbulent circulation.
within the main body of the tower. A sample was drawn out of this region via sample lines at the tower base while the soil sample to be re-suspended was introduced by placing it into a holding chamber then exposing it to short (approximately 1 s) periods of compressed air, thus “blowing” it into the main body of the chamber.

Size separation of the dust sample was performed using an 8-stage Micro Orifice Uniform Deposition Impactor (MOUDI 100, MSP Corporation, USA) which has a 301 min⁻¹ sample flow. This 301 min⁻¹ cannot be drawn in entirely from the dust tower as the flow into the dust tower is only 101 min⁻¹ hence it was made up of a ~91 min⁻¹ flow drawn from the tower with the remainder being made up of HEPA filter-cleaned lab air: mixing of these two lines occurred in the plenum (dilution) chamber immediately preceding the MOUDI. Before sample re-suspension and size separation were performed, the dust tower was flushed with cleaned compressed air for half an hour in order to ensure an initial particle free environment within the tower. A Condensation Particle Counter (CPC, TSI 3025 A) measuring particle concentrations for \( D > 6 \) nm temporarily replaced the MOUDI and when the particle concentration measured by this instrument was zero the system was considered clean.

An initial set of “treated blank filters” were collected with the MOUDI. The duration of the blank sample collection was 2.5 h (without soil sample being introduced). After treated blank filter collection, the CPC was reconnected and zero loading a dust sample was injected automatically every 8 s. Dust particles were collected on Teflon filters, the cutoff diameter for stages 0 to 8 of the MOUDI were 18 µm, 10 µm, 5.6 µm, 3.2 µm, 1.8 µm, 1 µm, 0.56 µm, 0.32 µm, and 0.18 µm respectively: a final output filter collected particles less than 0.18 µm.

2.3 Dissolved Fe and Al extraction, sample digestion, and trace metal analyses

The subsequent handling of dissolved Fe and Al extractions was carried out using trace metal clean techniques in a Class 100 laboratory. Each filter sample or treated blank filter was cut in half with acid-washed, ceramic-bladed scissors. One part was used for extractions with the filter treated with a 10 ml ammonium acetate solution buffered at pH 4.7 for ~2 h (as detailed in Baker and Jickells, 2006). Subsequently, the solution was filtered (0.2 µm, Teflon syringe filter) and acidified with ultra-pure concentrated HNO₃ to 0.4 % v/v HNO₃. Ultrapure ammonia solution (TraceSELECT) and double-distilled acetic acid were used to make the ammonium acetate solution. Once prepared, the solutions were stored in a refrigerator until the analysis was performed.

The other half of the filter was digested in an ultra-clean Teflon bomb with a mixture of ultrapure HF and double distilled HNO₃ (3:1) acid at ~120°C for 24 h. The HF/HNO₃ mix was then evaporated at ~90°C. The dry residue was re-digested in concentrated and double-distilled HNO₃ at ~90°C in the Teflon bomb for ~15 h and evaporated to dryness. The residue was treated with concentrated and double distilled HCl, again in the Teflon bomb, for ~15 h and evaporated at ~120°C to complete dryness. The final residue was dissolved in 1 M HNO₃ (diluted from double-distilled concentrated HNO₃ with ultrapure 18 MΩ H₂O) at ~80°C for ~15 h. All sample digestions were performed in the University of Leeds Geochronology (TIMS) laboratory in clean and dedicated fume hoods.

The elemental concentrations of both Al and Fe in the dissolved and total phases were measured using an Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS). The analysis was undertaken at the University of Portsmouth in the ISO9001 accredited analytical facilities using an Agilent 7500ce ICP-MS and an integrated auto-sampler (I-AS). The 7500ce uses an octopole reaction system to eliminate any spectral interference (Planquette et al., 2009). The reaction system can be run in two modes; reaction mode using hydrogen gas which was used for the analysis of Fe⁵⁶ and collision mode using helium gas which was used for the analysis of Al²⁷. The use of the octopole reaction system eliminates any polyatomic spectral interferences and the need for interference corrections.

A dilution factor of 2–100 (depending on dissolved or total) was applied to each sample. The data processing procedure used the Agilent software Chemstation and included linear drift correction, blank subtraction, calibration, and a dilution correction. For this work, mixed standards were prepared in the range of 0.1 to 500 µg l⁻¹ in 2 % nitric & 0.5 % hydrochloric solution. An internal standard of 20 µg l⁻¹ Rh (stock solution of 400 µg l⁻¹ Rh with twenty fold online dilution) was used to determine any variation in the intensity of the signal. This correction is made automatically by the software. The instrument detection limits were 0.05 and
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Fig. 1. Sketch diagram of the particle re-suspension and collection system.
0.02 µg l\(^{-1}\) for Al and Fe, respectively. FeT was also measured by the ferrozine method (Viollier et al., 2000). There was less than 10 % difference in the measured FeT concentrations by the ferrozine method and the ICP-MS. The data presented here is that from the ICP-MS. The precision of the ferrozine method was ±1.2 % (1σ, n = 6) (Shi et al., 2011a), while the precision of the ICP-MS Fe technique was ±2.3 % (1σ, n = 6) using the CRM SLRS-4. In addition, to verify the efficacy of the total trace metal digestion, the FeT in the Tibesti-PM\(_{20}\) and Western Sahara-PM\(_{20}\) samples was compared with total Fe determined by X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) (Shi et al., 2011b). FeT in these two samples was 4.6 % and 4.4 %, similar to 4.8 % and 4.7 % measured by XRF (Shi et al., 2011a, b). To test the blank trace metal concentrations, six new blank filters (different from the aforementioned “treated blank filters”) were treated following the same procedure as the other blanks and samples. Fe and Al concentrations were 0.7 ± 0.3 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 4) and 1.5 ± 1.0 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 4) in the ammonium acetate solution used for extraction, 0.7 ± 0.3 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 6) and 1.2 ± 1.2 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 6) in the new blank filters, and 0.7 ± 0.5 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 10) and 0.7 ± 0.4 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 10) in the “treated blank filters” (Table 1). The average dissolved Fe and Al concentrations in the “treated blank filters” were similar to those of the new blank filters and the ammonium acetate extract, suggesting that there were no contaminating particles in the dust-generation system.

The Fe and Al concentrations were 1.9 ± 0.6 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 4) and 6.4 ± 0.3 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 4) in the 1M HNO\(_3\) used for digestion, 92 ± 19 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 6) and 29 ± 7 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 6) in the new blank filters, and 115 ± 17 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 10) and 33 ± 17 µg l\(^{-1}\) (1σ, n = 10) in the “treated blank filters” (Table 1). Therefore, there is only a slight increase in the FeT concentrations in the treated blank filters, which is probably due to the sample digestion processes involved (repeated evaporation and digestion). The average blank Fe and Al concentrations were subtracted from the measured values in the sample solutions. Fractional Fe and Al solubilities (FS-Fe and FS-Al) were calculated as the percentage of dissolved to total Fe or Al, respectively.

### Table 1. Average concentration and standard deviation of the Fe and Al concentrations (unit: µg l\(^{-1}\)) in the extraction solutions (ammonium acetate and 1 M HNO\(_3\)), new filter blanks, and “treated filter blanks” measured using ICP-MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average ± standard deviation</th>
<th>Number of replicates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved Fe</td>
<td>Total Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New filter</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>92 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated filter</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>115 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Model description

The Global Model of Aerosol Processes (GLOMAP, Spracklen et al., 2005; Manktelow et al., 2007, 2010; Merikanto et al., 2010) is a detailed size-resolving aerosol microphysics model, running within the TOMCAT chemical transport model (Chipperfield, 2006). The model is driven by European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts ERA-40 reanalysis meteorology (Uppala et al., 2005). The version of GLOMAP used here, known as GLOMAP-bin, has a sectional representation of the aerosol size distribution with 20 bins, and contains emissions of dust, sea-spray, sulphur species, and elemental and organic carbon. Dust is carried in the largest 12 bins (diameter from ~0.1 µm to >10 µm). The microphysical processes parameterized in the model are nucleation, condensation, coagulation, aqueous-phase oxidation, and dry and wet deposition. In the simulations presented here, AeroCom daily varying dust emissions are prescribed (Dentener et al., 2006). The dust is assumed to be non-hygrosopic at emission but becomes hygroscopic through coagulation with other water-soluble aerosol and by uptake of condensable vapours (e.g. sulphuric acid). Only the hygroscopic dust particles are removed by wet scavenging processes, while both hygroscopic and non-hygroscopic particles are dry deposited. Sedimentation of dust particles is calculated separately for each of the 12 size classes, assuming a density of 2650 kg m\(^{-3}\). The sedimentation and dry deposition scheme is that of Zhang et al. (2001), and accounts for particle size, surface type and wind speed effects.

In order to assess how FS-Fe of dust aerosol evolves as a result of physical processing (i.e. the preferential removal of larger dust particles), the modelled size-resolved mass concentrations from GLOMAP-bin were combined with the measured FS-Fe data. The model output was ‘sampled’ from an area over the tropical to subtropical North Atlantic ocean, spanning 0° W to 85° W, and 0° N to 32° N, corresponding to a major dust pathway from the Sahara. A model-based estimate of FS-Fe was derived assuming an FeT of 4.5 %, which is the average of the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples.
Table 2. As measured dissolved Fe (D-Fe), dissolved Al (D-Al), total Fe (FeT), and total Al (AIT) concentrations (unit: µg l⁻¹), and fractional Fe solubility (FS-Fe) and fractional Al solubility (FS-Al) in the “treated blank filters”, Western Sahara and Tibesti samples measured using ICP-MS.

![Fractional Fe solubility (FS-Fe) of size-fractionated dust generated from the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples.](image)

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Size distribution of FS-Fe and FS-Al in mineral dust

Table 2 lists the measured dissolved and total Fe and Al concentrations in the size-fractionated dust from both the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples. Dissolved and/or FeT and total Al (AIT) in the >18 µm, 18–10 µm, and 10–5.6 µm size fractions from the Tibesti sample and the <0.18 µm fractions from both the Tibesti and Western Sahara samples were not included in the table since they were below the limit of detection. Table 2 also illustrates that FeT/AIT in the samples ranges from 0.42 to 0.58 and from 0.42 to 0.52 in the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples. These values are close to those reported in the <20 µm fractions in the two soil samples (Shi et al., 2011a).

Fig. 2 shows the size distribution of the FS-Fe in the dust generated from the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples. FS-Fe in the dust from the Western Sahara sample ranged from ~0.1 % in the 5.6–3.2 µm and 3.2–1.8 µm fractions to ~0.8 % in 0.32–0.18 µm fractions. The FS-Fe in the dust (5.6–0.18 µm) generated from the Tibesti sample shows smaller variations from ~0.2 % in the 1.8–10 µm fraction to ~0.4 % in 0.32–0.18 µm fraction. Therefore, the FS-Fe in the dust generated from both soil samples has some degree of size dependence, with finer particles (<1 µm) having a higher FS-Fe.

The FS-Al shows a similar size dependence to that of Fe (Fig. 3), with higher FS-Al observed in the 0.18–0.32 µm size fractions, especially for the Western Sahara sample. The average ratio of FS-Fe/FS-Al in each size bin is 3.3 ± 1.8 (1σ, n = 9) from the Western Sahara sample and 2.7 ± 0.5 (1σ, n = 6) from the Tibesti sample.

The higher Fe and Al solubility in sub-micrometer size particles appears to be compatible with the hypothesis of Baker and Jickells (2006) that the higher surface area to volume ratio of smaller dust particles leads to higher proportions of their total Fe and Al content being available for dissolution. However, FS-Fe and FS-Al increase by only a factor of two to three times from >10 µm to 0.32–0.18 size fractions in the Western Sahara and Tibesti samples (Figs. 2 and 3). There are at least two potential reasons for such a small difference in the FS-Fe and FS-Al in larger dust particles of different sizes. One is related to the surface roughness of the large dust particles. Under idealized conditions, surface to
volume ratio is inversely proportional to the particle size assuming each particle is spherical and smooth. But dust particles are rarely smooth and spherical and have rough surfaces, crevices, and dislocations (e.g., Shi et al., 2003, 2005). In addition, large dust particles are often aggregates of smaller particles (e.g., Shi et al., 2003, 2008). Therefore, surface to volume ratio of the actual dust particles is likely to be less size dependent than idealized spherical particles. Alternatively, although some of the ultra-fine poorly crystalline Fe oxides (Shi et al., 2011a) or the so-called readily released Fe (Mackie et al., 2006) may be separated from the surfaces of larger dust particles and physically re-distributed in the finer size fractions during sand-blasting (Mackie et al., 2006), a large fraction of the readily released Fe may remain on the surface of large dust particles. For example, Shi et al. (2011b) showed that the amount of the most reactive Fe pool per gram of dust increases from 10.5 \( \mu \)mol in PM\(_{2.5} \) (<20 \( \mu \)m) to 18 \( \mu \)mol in PM\(_{10} \) (<10 \( \mu \)m) and 25 \( \mu \)mol in PM\(_{2.5} \) (<2.5 \( \mu \)m) from the Tibesti sample. Thus, the most reactive Fe pool is only slightly higher in the <2.5 \( \mu \)m compared to the <10 \( \mu \)m fractions of dust particles.

Our data showed that even the maximum FS-Fe and FS-Al in the finest size fraction (0.18–0.32 \( \mu \)m) observed in this study (Fe: \(~\)0.8%; Al: 1.42%) is lower than most of the measured values in airborne aerosol samples previously reported (e.g., Chen and Siefert, 2004; Baker and Jickells, 2006). The FS-Fe observed in the size-fractionated dust particles in the current study range from 0.1–0.8% and are of a similar magnitude to those measured in the original mineral dust (e.g., Desboeufs et al., 1999; Chuang et al., 2005; Paris et al., 2010; Schroth et al., 2009). Ooki et al. (2009) and Buck et al. (2010a) measured the FS-Fe of size-fractionated atmospheric aerosol. Their values are one to three orders of magnitude higher than those of the non-processed dust of similar size ranges reported in this study. These results indicate that the size dependence of FS-Fe can only explain a small part of the measured variability in FS-Fe observed in atmospheric aerosol samples. The high values of the FS-Fe of 10% or even 50% in some size fractions of the aerosol reported in Buck et al. (2010a) and Ooki et al. (2009) are very unlikely to be seen in non-atmospherically processed mineral dust because of the refractory nature of the Fe in the original dust (Shi et al., 2011a, b).

In the following sections, we combine the aforementioned size-resolved FS-Fe data with the model size-resolved dust mass concentrations from GLOMAP to investigate the potential impact of physical size sorting on FS-Fe in the dust during trans-Atlantic transport. The simulated FS-Fe data are then compared with the field datasets (Baker and Jickells, 2006) under similar dust mass concentrations.

### 3.2 Model size-resolved dust mass concentrations

Surface dust mass concentrations over the Sahara and North Atlantic region calculated in the GLOMAP-bin model for the period January to March 2000 are shown in Fig. 4. For comparison, also shown are in-situ observations from the University of Miami aerosol network (coloured circles), filtered by Woodward (2001). Globally (not shown), GLOMAP-bin overestimates dust mass concentrations compared to the Woodward (2001) dataset, with a normalized mean bias (mean of (model-observation)/observation) of 0.30 for the January to March period. For the five observations shown in Fig. 4 (coloured circles), the normalized mean bias is 0.28, indicating that the model slightly overestimates dust mass concentrations in the region of interest. This comparison illustrates that GLOMAP-bin is capable of representing atmospheric dust mass concentrations near source, and remote
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**Fig. 3.** Fractional Al solubility (FS-Al) of size-fractionated dust generated from Western Sahara and Tibesti samples.

**Fig. 4.** Three-month mean (January–March) surface dust mass concentrations from the region of interest from GLOMAP-bin, overlaid with equivalent in-situ observations from the University of Miami aerosol network (coloured circles). Stars highlight locations referred to in Fig. 5 and in the text.
from source region by which time considerable atmospheric processing may have occurred.

Because GLOMAP-bin resolves particle size and microphysical processes, it is capable of simulating the changing size distribution during transport of an aerosol population. The evolution of the size-resolved dust mass concentration from GLOMAP-bin along a west-to-east path across the North Atlantic is shown by the two solid lines in Fig. 5. The near-source size-resolved mass concentrations (location shown by the easternmost white star in Fig. 4) are one to two orders of magnitude larger than those at a more distant gridbox (indicated by the westernmost white star in Fig. 4). The greatest differences between the two locations are for radii greater than 1 \mu m. In addition, the peak size of the dust mass concentration shifted from >1 \mu m at the gridbox close to the dust source region to <1 \mu m at the distal gridbox, as dust particles at radii larger than 1 \mu m are more rapidly removed by gravitational settling.

3.3 Testing the physical sorting hypothesis

Figure 6 shows the modelled FS-Fe at each gridbox against dust mass concentration by combining the laboratory measured FS-Fe (the Western Sahara sample) with the model outputs (i.e., size resolved dust mass concentration). The calculated FS-Fe of dust aerosol increases systematically from \sim 0.1\% at high dust mass concentrations to \sim 0.2\% at low concentrations. Also shown in Fig. 6 is a subset of the Baker and Jickells (2006) data covering the same region as the model sampling area (see Sect. 2.4). The field observations show an increase from less than 1.0\% to greater than 10.0\% across the same range of dust mass concentrations. The calculations based on measured FS-Fe from the Tibesti sample showed an even smaller increase in FS-Fe in the dust during transport (data not shown).

A sensitivity test was conducted by assuming that the FS-Fe at the three smallest sizes (1.0–0.32 \mu m, 0.32–0.56 \mu m, and 0.32–0.56 \mu m) were ten times higher than the measured values from the Western Sahara sample (dotted light blue line in Fig. 5). The resultant simulated FS-Fe is shown in Fig. 6 as blue datapoints. Increasing the contrast in FS-Fe between small and large dust particles accentuates the role of physical processing. However, even with this hypothetical FS-Fe size distribution, the calculated FS-Fe of dust aerosol increased from \sim 0.1\% at high dust concentrations to \sim 1.0\% at low concentrations. The latter is still an order of magnitude lower than those measured in the field (Fig. 6).

The relationship between the three sets of datapoints in Fig. 6 has been quantified by fitting the data with a line of the form:

\[
\text{Log}(FS-Fe) = m \times \text{Log} \text{(dust mass concentration)} + c
\]

in which \(m\) is the gradient and \(c\) is the intercept. The gradient \(m\) of the line calculated from the combined soil FS-Fe and GLOMAP data (black datapoints in Fig. 6) was \sim -0.04, which is only about one tenth of the gradient (\sim -0.35) calculated from the subset of the Baker and Jickells (2006) data.
Pearson correlation coefficient $r$ was also calculated. The $r$ values are all greater than 0.70, suggesting the correlations are robust. Even when the hypothetical relationship between size and FS-Fe is used (blue datapoints in Fig. 6), the gradient of the fitted line is only $-0.14$, about one third of that derived from the atmospheric aerosol samples.

The large contrast in gradients between the simulated and measured FS-Fe indicates that the observed increase in FS-Fe with decreasing dust mass concentration observed in Baker and Jickells (2006) cannot be explained solely by the physical size sorting process. Similarly (data not shown here), the observed FS-Al with decreasing dust mass concentration reported in Baker and Jickells (2006) and Measures et al. (2010) also cannot be explained by the physical size sorting process only.

These results suggest that the observed increase in FS-Fe with trans-Atlantic transport (decreasing mass concentration) in Baker and Jickells (2006) occurs mainly as a result of additional mechanisms. One possible mechanism is the mixing of dust aerosol with combustion particles with higher FS-Fe (e.g., Chuang et al., 2005; Desboeufs et al., 2005; Sedwick et al., 2007; Luo et al., 2008; Sholkovitz et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2010; Hsu et al., 2010). Another mechanism is chemical processing of the dust, including acid mobilization (Hand et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 1992; Meskhidze et al., 2003, 2005; Fan et al., 2006; Solmon et al., 2009; Ito and Feng, 2010; Measures et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2011) and photo-reduction (e.g., Zhu et al., 1997; Spokes and Jickells, 1996; Hand et al., 2004).

During dust transport, larger dust particles deposit preferentially due to faster gravitational settling leading to a shift in mass size distribution (Fig. 5). Our results suggest that the shift alone cannot explain the inverse relationship between FS-Fe and dust mass concentrations reported in Baker and Jickells (2006). However, it is useful to recognize that during long-range transport, the shift in size will also likely be accompanied by relevant changes which include: (1) increase in the content of more reactive Fe per mass of dust (Shi et al., 2011b); (2) increase in surface area (Baker and Jickells, 2006); and (3) reduced neutralizing capacity (less carbonate in the atmosphere) and increased potential for acid processing and photo-reduction (Spokes and Jickells, 1996). All these processes could potentially lead to an enhanced FS-Fe in dust aerosols.

Finally, although the two soil samples are representative of Saharan dust, there are some variations in mineralogical and chemical properties of dust from other regions of N Africa such as the Sahel (Shi et al., 2011a; Lazarro et al., 2008; Formenti et al., 2008). Such variation may slightly change the slope of the modelled FS-Fe with dust mass concentration shown in Fig. 6. The slope may also be to some extent affected by the outputs of size-resolved mass distribution using different global models. However, these limitations will unlikely change our conclusion that physical size sorting is not the dominant process causing the observed inverse relationship between FS-Fe and atmospheric dust mass concentration, as evidenced by the sensitivity test (Fig. 6, blue datapoints).

4 Conclusions

In this study, we report the size-resolved FS-Fe and FS-Al using mineral dust precursors which have not been subjected to atmospheric processing. Our data showed that the FS-Fe ranges from $-0.1$ to $0.8\%$ and that of Al ranged from $0.5\%$ to $1.4\%$. The measured FS-Fe in size-fractionated dust particles from the soil samples is orders of magnitude lower than those of the atmospheric aerosol samples of similar size reported in literature. We find a similar size dependence between the FS-Fe and FS-Al, with the finest (0.18–0.32 µm) dust particles having the highest values. By combining the size-resolved FS-Fe from soil dust samples with the size-resolved dust mass concentrations from a detailed microphysical model, we show that physical sorting leads to a systematic increase in FS-Fe in the dust. However, the magnitude of the increase in FS-Fe with decreasing dust mass concentrations due to physical sorting is nearly an order of magnitude lower than that observed over the tropical and subtropical Atlantic Ocean by Baker and Jickells (2006). This suggests that once the dust reaches the Atlantic Ocean, physical size sorting is not the dominant mechanism causing the enhancement of the FS-Fe in dust aerosol during transport. We therefore suggest that processes such as chemical reactions on the dust particles and/or mixing with anthropogenic particles are the dominant causes of changes in fractional Fe solubility in transported dust.
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