Changing the game: exploring infants’ participation in early play routines
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INTRODUCTION

The present study explores infants’ participation in play routines with their mother, through observing their response to un-expected alterations of a familiar social game. Play has been widely explored for its central role in children’s development, most notably in rule learning (Piaget, 1965; Sutton-Smith, 1979) and negotiation of roles and goals (Garvey, 1974; Bruner et al., 1976). Yet very little research has been done on early play. The present study focuses on early social games, i.e., vocal-kinetic play routines that mothers use to interact with infants from very early on. We explored 3-month-old infants and their mothers performing a routine game first in the usual way, then in two violated conditions: without gestures and without sound. The aim of the study is to investigate infants’ participation and expectations in the game and whether this participation is affected by changes in the multimodal format of the game. Infants’ facial expressions, gaze, and body movements were coded to measure levels of engagement and affective state across the three conditions. Results showed a significant decrease in Limbs Movements and expressions of Positive Affect, an increase in Gaze Away and in Stunned Expression when the game structure was violated. These results indicate that the violated game conditions were experienced as less engaging, either because of an unexpected break in the established joint routine, or simply because they were weaker versions of the same game. Overall, our results suggest that structured, multimodal play routines may constitute interactional contexts that only work as integrated units of auditory and motor resources, representing early communicative contexts which prepare the ground for later, more complex multimodal interactions, such as verbal exchanges.

Keywords: play, early routine, multimodal interactions, expectations, structured games

Play has proved to have a central role in children’s development, most notably in rule learning (Piaget, 1965; Sutton-Smith, 1979) and negotiation of roles and goals (Garvey, 1974; Bruner et al., 1976). Yet very little research has been done on early play. The present study focuses on early social games, i.e., vocal-kinetic play routines that mothers use to interact with infants from very early on. We explored 3-month-old infants and their mothers performing a routine game first in the usual way, then in two violated conditions: without gestures and without sound. The aim of the study is to investigate infants’ participation and expectations in the game and whether this participation is affected by changes in the multimodal format of the game. Infants’ facial expressions, gaze, and body movements were coded to measure levels of engagement and affective state across the three conditions. Results showed a significant decrease in Limbs Movements and expressions of Positive Affect, an increase in Gaze Away and in Stunned Expression when the game structure was violated. These results indicate that the violated game conditions were experienced as less engaging, either because of an unexpected break in the established joint routine, or simply because they were weaker versions of the same game. Overall, our results suggest that structured, multimodal play routines may constitute interactional contexts that only work as integrated units of auditory and motor resources, representing early communicative contexts which prepare the ground for later, more complex multimodal interactions, such as verbal exchanges.
using this method have typically focused on the infant’s reaction to maternal breach in engagement, or withdrawal from the ongoing interaction. Research showed that at around 3 months of age infants react by frowning and gazing away from an adult who abruptly stopped interacting with them (Lamb et al., 1987; Tronick and Cohn, 1989). At around 4–5 months infants protest (crying more loudly) and orient away when an adult intentionally fails to soothe them by picking up, letting the infants’ expectations unmet (Lamb and Malkin, 1986); around 9 months infants can detect game interruptions by their playing partner, increasing their vocalizations to call her back in the game (Ross and Lollis, 1987), and at 10 months they increase their gaze to an adult’s face whose action was blocking the infant’s play with a toy (Phillips et al., 1992). These studies support the idea that infants are sensitive to alterations of the adult’s usual behavior from very early on.

Our study differs from previous research, as it looks at the infant’s reaction to violations of the multimodal format of a familiar play routine by a partner that is still affectively engaged with her. Notwithstanding, it shares the same conceptual grounding of previous research: observing the infants’ participation in a familiar situation and the way it changes in response to unexpected behaviors, in order to learn more about how infants take part in, and make sense of early social interactions. The aim of the present study is thus to explore the structure of early social games commonly played by mothers, and how the infants participate in them. In addition to this, we want to investigate whether infants show signs of expectations on the game structure by looking at how their participation changes if the familiar game is played differently. To do this, we observed twenty 3-month-old infants and mothers playing a structured, multimodal game in the lab as they usually do at home, and subsequently a unimodal, violated version of the same game: without gestures and without sounds. Limbs Movements, Gaze Away and facial expressions were coded and compared across conditions, to measure changes in the infants’ behavior as response to violations of the game as expected.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

**PARTICIPANTS**

Twenty mothers and their 3-month-old infants (10 girls, 10 boys) $(M = 96$ days; $SD = 4.04$ days) participated in the study. All the mothers have been living in the UK since at least 10 years, and two mothers out of 20 were not native British citizens. All infants were Caucasian and on average healthy birth weight $(M = 3.36$ Kg; $SD = 0.40$ Kg). Seven infants were firstborns, four were born with slight complications, three mothers underwent a C-section and one mother had a particularly long labor. The mothers’ ages at the time of birth ranged from 26 to 37 years $(M = 31$ years, $SD = 3.44$). Five dyads were excluded from the original sample of 25 infants due to the infants’ fussiness and lack of interest at the beginning or in the middle of the procedure. Volunteer parents were recruited through different children and family centers, nurseries and pre/antenatal classes in town, which resulted in an heterogeneous socioeconomic background.

The Bayley Scales of Infant Development—Second Edition (BSID-II) (Bayley, 2006) were used to check the infants’ motor maturity, cognitive skills, and developmental age equivalent. Results from the Bayley Mental and Motor Scales assessment showed that only one out of twenty infants scored lower than one percentile under the average in the Mental Scale (Mental Index score = 82) but not in the Motor Scale (Motor Index score = 88). This baby’s behavioral responses were checked and resulted as not performing distinctively different from the average responses of the other infants. Thus, this one baby was not removed from the sample. Results are shown in Table 1.

**PROCEDURE**

Mother-infant dyads were observed in a quiet, spacious room, and to avoid any additional stress observations were arranged at a convenient time for mothers. All of the procedures in the study underwent ethical approval by the Science Faculty Ethics Committee, which abides by the BPS Guidelines for Research with Human Participants, and all the mothers were asked to sign a written informed consent.

The observation room contained a soft mat placed on the floor with some toys, a table with two chairs and four sofas. The experimenter was helped by an assistant who, at the beginning of the observation, asked the mother general information about the infant and the kind of games they usually play together. Before administering the BSID the experimenter and the infant played on the mat to get familiar for approximately 3–5 min. The length of BSID assessment was on average 12 min. Then the play observation began, consisting of three phases: an initial warming up period of approximately 5–7 min, a “normal” performance of a familiar game (normal condition) and then two variations of that same game (no-sound and no-gestures conditions).

Since our specific interest was to explore whether changes in the multimodal elements composing the game format affect infants’ participation in the game, we focused on violations which do not expose the infant to a maternal withdrawal of engagement. In the normal condition phase, our baseline episode, mothers were asked to play one or two routine social games, of the kind of nurseries rhymes, in the same way she would normally do at home. As described above, these kind of social games have a vocal-kinetic format, as they are compound by a (usually) rhymed song accompanied by hand gestures. To investigate the infants’ participation and expectations on the game structure, mothers were asked to perform the same game in two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Mean index score</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean developmental age equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental scale</td>
<td>88.5$^a$</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>2.8 Months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor scale</td>
<td>92.8$^b$</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3 Months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Mental score range: 82–104.  
$^b$Motor score range: 88–105.
variants: once without using any sound (no-sound condition) and once without doing any gestures (no-gestures condition). Namely, mothers made no movements in the no-gesture condition, and made no sounds in the no-sound condition. The performance of each condition was spaced out by approximately 2 min of free interaction, and the violated conditions sequence was randomized between and within infants to control for order effect. 

Mothers were not instructed to avoid any particular affective behavior (i.e., to display a neutral face, or avoid smiling, or looking at the infant), but encouraged to hold the baby in the same position she had done during the normal condition also when she had to make no gestures. For instance, if the position of the infant was to be held up by the armpit in the normal version of the game, we asked to mother to keep holding the baby in the same way in all the three conditions. In the no-gestures condition the mother was asked not to move her hands or the baby (shaking, pulling, bouncing up, and so on), whatever her position. If the infant gave signs of distraction or discomfort, the procedure was stopped and resumed from the last game condition (when possible); this happened three times out of 20 infants, spread over conditions.

The entire sequence was videotaped by two cameras mounted on tripods. One camera was positioned on a 45° angle from the mother, triadically with the camera and the infant; the other camera was fixed focusing on the mat to be used for the BSID assessment.

CODING

The infants’ Limbs Movements, gaze, and facial expressions were coded from video recordings of the entire procedure. These measures have been widely used in the literature on infants’ social expectations (Toda and Fogel, 1993; Legerstee and Markova, 2007). For instance, attention patterns like gaze orientation are revealing of infants’ emotions. Infants have been shown to look intently at interesting stimuli, but to avert gaze from a person who stare at them impassively (Tronick et al., 1978; Toda and Fogel, 1993). Body movements have been found to be powerful indicators of infants’ discrimination of the other’s intentional vs. unintentional actions (Behne et al., 2005), and infants’ anticipatory adjustments during picking up (Service, 1984; Reddy et al., 2013). As Adolph and Berger wrote (2006), “Movement is perhaps the most ubiquitous, pervasive, and fundamental of all psychological activity. It is the hallmark of animacy and the essence of agency” (p. 181). The relative frequency of presence/absence of each behavior was coded second-by-second, and only once for each second by a coder blind to the experimental hypotheses and conditions. For the coding we used ELAN, a video analysis software that allows for the creation of complex annotations on video and audio resources (Wittenburg et al., 2006). A second blind observer independently coded 50% of the infants (10 infants in all three conditions). Inter-observer agreement was determined by using Cohen’s Kappa coefficient. Reliability was high for all behaviors (Positive Affects $\kappa = 0.82$), Negative Affects, $\kappa = 0.75$, Gaze Away, $\kappa = 0.78$, Limbs Movements, $\kappa = 0.85$; all $p < 0.001$.

**Limbs Movements**

Limbs Movements are the combined coding of arms and legs. A code of leg or arm movement was assigned when there was a substantial change of position in space observed in arms or legs. Shivers, trembling, or jerky moves were not considered as movements.

**Gaze Away**

The infants’ gaze was coded as “Away” every time the infant looked sideways, up to the ceiling or when the infant’s head was turned off from the mother’s face.

**Positive and Negative Affect**

Infants’ facial expressions were coded as “Positive” and “Negative” Affects (Camras and Shutter, 2010). Positive Affect was encoded as smiles (raised cheeks and corner of lips turned up with mouth open or closed) and laughs (raised cheeks, mouth open, lower and upper gum visible, eyes open or winked, possibly accompanied by some vocalizations). A code of Negative Affect was assigned to frowns (furrowed brow and downturned mouth) and sad expressions (mouth, eye brows, and cheeks turned down) (Legerstee and Markova, 2007).

**Stunned Expression**

A coding of Stunned Expression was assigned when the infant showed wide open eyes, open mouth or mouth close but still, neutral lips (Meltzoff and Moore, 1977). Previous studies on violations of expectations have used “puzzlement” as dependent variable as index of the infant’s reaction to ambiguous and unexpected stimuli (Tronick, 1989; Camras et al., 2002). With respect to stunned expressions though, puzzlement seems a less neutral measure. So, we decided to code components of puzzlement such as eyebrow frowning and downturned lips as Negative Affect; instead, with Stunned Expression we wanted to capture as widely as possible any infants’ reaction of surprise and uncertainty.

**GAMES DURATIONS AND SELECTION**

We asked the mothers to play a routine game which included singing a song and gesturing, that was also familiar for the infant. When dyads had more than one type of game recorded, we used the game that was more familiar for the infant according to what mothers told us in the preliminary interview. When played normally, games lasted approximately 28 s ($M = 28.04$ s; $SD = 0.24$); when violations were introduced, games mostly maintained their original lengths (no-gestures: $M = 27.9$ s; $SD = 1.4$ s; no-sound: $M = 27.5$ s; $SD = 1.2$ s). A Friedman’s analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to control that game durations within babies had not significantly changed when the mothers introduced the two violations. Tests were conducted using Bonferroni adjusted alpha levels of 0.02 (0.05/3). Results confirmed that game lengths across conditions did not significantly differ [$X^2(2) = 2.784$, $p = 0.249$], and therefore games lengths have not been standardized.

**DATA ANALYSES**

Because of nature and quality of data (frequencies), the small sample size and repeated observations, non-parametric repeated measures analyses were performed. Tests were conducted using
Bonferroni correction of 0.01 per test (0.05/5), and were exact and
two tailed. Friedman’s ANOVA has been used to compare infants’
Limbs Movements, Gaze Away and affective expressions across
conditions (normal, no-gestures, and no-sound). ANOVA results
were followed-up by pairwise comparisons between conditions
using Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test. A nonparametric measure of
the effect size, $r$, was used (Ivarsson et al., 2013) and results are
shown in the following section. No significant effect of the order
in which the two violated conditions were presented was found.

RESULTS

GAMES DESCRIPTION
The dyads we observed played games which present similar forms,
with few structural differences. They are built on units
of sequenced actions formed by patterns of gestures and vocaliza-
tions often repeated throughout the game. Games differed in
their basic features, i.e., rhythm, type of gesturing, voice tone,
the position of the infant and the mother (see Table 2). Some
games had the infant upright seating with arms held forward by
the mother, others had the infant laying on the back; few games
had the infant made flying up and down held on the waist by
the mother. Similar games appeared to have been adapted by
mothers and showed some variations, mainly in the infant’s pos-
ture. For example “Row Row the Boat,” in which the mother
performs a rowing motion so that the infant repeatedly leans
toward the mother and away, was played in three different vari-
tations often repeated throughout the game. Games differed in
the position of the infant and the mother (see Table 2). Some
games had the infant upright seating with arms held forward by
the mother, others had the infant laying on the back; few games
had the infant made flying up and down held on the waist by
the mother. Similar games appeared to have been adapted by
mothers and showed some variations, mainly in the infant’s pos-
ture. For example “Row Row the Boat,” in which the mother
performs a rowing motion so that the infant repeatedly leans
toward the mother and away, was played in three different vari-
tants: with the infant’s upright seated, or laying on the mat or
embedded in to the mother’s stretched legs. Overall, the games
appeared to be well tuned on to the infants’ attentional abilities,
alternating patterns of increasing stimulation (e.g., higher pitch of
voice, faster movements) with periods of decreasing activity and
pauses. Furthermore, their structure was build up on repetitions
and rhymes. In “Head, Shoulder, Knees and Toes,” for instance, the
refrain was symmetrically placed at the beginning and the end of
the game, as opening and closure, but sung with a different
intonation.

Qualitative observations of the videos also revealed similar
individual patterns of movements in infants playing the same
kind of games in the normal conditions. For instance, infants
playing “Row Row the Boat” showed similar frequencies of Limbs
Movements: higher within the first 5 s of the game ($M = 4, SD = 0.07$),
decreasing in the middle (approximately after 10–12 s; $M = 3.25, SD = 0.66$) and lower in the last 5 s of the game ($M = 3.11,
SD = 0.57$). On the contrary, infants playing “Head, Shoulder,
Knees and Toes” moved both the arms and legs more in the middle
of the game (approximately after 9–10 s; $M = 4.67, SD = 0.047$)
compared to the first 5 s of the game ($M = 3.33, SD = 0.47$) and
the last 5 s ($M = 2.33, SD = 0.47$). Examples of two infants’ indi-
vidual bodily patterns are shown in Figures 1, 2. Higher scores
represent movements of both the arms and the legs simultane-
ously, whereas lower scores represent single movements either of
the arms or the legs or absence of movements.

**Figure 1** represents individual patterns of one infant (R.).
The first peak of arms and legs movements appears after about
5 s from the beginning in the normal condition, but only after
about 6 s in the no-sound. Similarly, another peak of move-
ments is shown after approximately 12 s when the game is played
normally, only to appear with almost 1 s later in both the violated

| Table 2 | Types and structure of mother-infant early social games. |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Name of the game** | **Row Row the Boat** | **Head, Shoulder, Knees** | **Hickory Dickory Dock** | **The Grand Old Duke of York** |
| **Number of dyads** | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| **Position of the infant.** | Usually seated. | Laying down. | Laying down. | Laying down or held up. |
| **Position of the mother.** | Seated facing the infant. | Leaning forward upon the infant, rarely seated. | Leaning forward upon the infant or seated. | Leaning forward or steadily seated. |
| **Structure** | The mother is holding the baby by the arms performing a rowing motion with them, swinging the infant back and forth repeatedly. The song is divided in lines. Each line has a peak of intonation in the middle, and a pause at the end before the next line starts. | The mother touches the baby’s bodily part as she is naming them in the song, starting from the head. The first verse of the song is repeated twice at the beginning and closure of the game with a different intonation. The song has a peak of vocal tension and acceleration in the middle, which then slowly decreases until the end of the game. | The mother alternates between touching the infant’s body parts and clapping her own hands. In the end she holds the baby’s legs up, swinging them sideways. The song has a peak of vocal tension and acceleration in the middle, which then slowly decreases until the end of the game. The main line (Hickory Dickory Dock) is repeated in the end. | One version has the mother holding the baby’s hands moving them up and down. Another version has the mother holding the baby up to make her rocking up and down. The song accompanies all the game through, and accelerates until it reaches a peak of intonation toward the end, which quickly drops in the end. |
| **Figure 1** represents individual patterns of one infant (R.). The first peak of arms and legs movements appears after about 5 s from the beginning in the normal condition, but only after about 6 s in the no-sound. Similarly, another peak of movements is shown after approximately 12 s when the game is played normally, only to appear with almost 1 s later in both the violated

---
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conditions. Furthermore, the infant moves from the beginning to the completion of the routine, i.e., form the first to the last second, only when the game is played normally and with gestures, but he starts moving 1 s later in the no sound condition. Similar results were found in 12 infants out of 20, showing that 6 infants delayed their movements only in the no sound condition, 4 infants delayed their movements in both the no-sound and no-gestures conditions and 2 infants only in the no-gestures condition.

Figure 2 depicts another infant (K.) during “Head, Shoulder, Knees and Toes.” When the game is played normally, K. moves more and for longer periods than in the no-sound or no-gesture conditions. She also holds longer periods of arms and legs stillness when the game has no sound compared to its normal version, with movements eventually fading out as the game comes to the end. Since K appears to be overall very active when the game is played normally, moving frequently and often combining both arms and legs, her periods of stillness in both the no-sound and no-gestures conditions stand out even more evidently than in R.

Figure 3 shows one play interactions and how the infant’s participation changed when the game was altered. In Figure 3A we see baby R. playing “Hickory, Dickory Dock.” During the normal version of the game, R. is openly laughing, and his upper body seems slightly twisted, as to accompany the mother’s movement. He vocalizes vividly, and seems enjoying the play interaction. In Figure 3B R. is again looking away, but he does not show signs of enjoyment. His arms and legs are still and relaxed, and he seems not focused on the game but attending to something external, behind the camera. Finally, in Figure 3C R. appears very concentrated on the mother’s action, but not affectively participating: he does not show any positive affective expression, and he seems quite bodily still.

**EFFECT OF GAMES VIOLATIONS ON THE INFANTS’ BEHAVIOR**

Mean and standard deviation values of the infants’ behavioral responses are presented in Table 3. Analyses of Friedman’s ANOVA were conducted for each of the dependent measures, revealing significant effect of game violations on Limbs Movements $\chi^2_{(2)} = 27.410, p < 0.001$, Gaze Away, $\chi^2_{(2)} = 13.914, p = 0.001$, Positive Affect, $\chi^2_{(2)} = 29.059, p < 0.001$, and Stunned Expression, $\chi^2_{(2)} = 8.044, p = 0.001$. No significant differences were found for Negative Affect, $\chi^2_{(2)} = 5.344, p = 0.069$. The Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test showed that Limbs Movements were significantly higher in the normal compared to the no-sound ($z = -3.923, p < 0.001, r = 0.877$) and no-gestures ($z = -3.728, p < 0.001, r = 0.877$) conditions. According to Cohen (1988), the effect of these differences was large in both
FIGURE 2 | Individual Limbs Movements of K. playing Head, Shoulder, Knees, and Toes in the three conditions. The order of condition presented was normal, no-sound and no-gesture. A score of 2 indicates that movements of both the arms and the legs simultaneously, whereas a score of 1 indicate a single movement of either the arms or the legs. A score of 0 indicate absence of movement.

FIGURE 3 | R. playing Hickory Dickory Dock normally (A), with no gestures (B), and no sound (C).

cases. No differences were found between the two violated conditions ($z = -1.192, p = 0.233$). Gaze Away comparisons showed that infants gazed away more often in the no-sound than the normal ($z = -3.626, p < 0.001, r = 0.468$), and no-gestures condition ($z = -2.600, p = 0.009, r = 0.335$) but not in the no-gestures compared to normal condition ($z = -1.462, p = 0.144$). Positive Affect was significantly higher in the normal condition than the no-sound ($z = -3.652, p < 0.001, r = 0.471$) and no-gestures ($z = -2.883, p = 0.004, r = 0.372$), and significantly higher in the no-gestures condition than the no-sound ($z = -3.823, p < 0.001, r = 0.493$). Results also showed that infants had significantly more Stunned Expressions in the no-sound compared to the normal condition ($z = -2.546, p = 0.001, r = 0.328$), and in the no-sound compared to no-gestures ($z = -3.453, p = 0.001, r = 0.445$). No significant increase in Stunned Expression was found in the no-gesture ($z = -0.577, p = 0.564$) compared to the normal condition.

DISCUSSION

The present study explored early play routines of 3-month-old infants and their mothers, and observed the infant’s behavior when these routines were disrupted. As there is very little literature about early play routines, our aim was firstly to describe them in order to understand their structure, and what kind of participatory affordances do they offer to infants.

In addition to this we wanted to observe whether the infants’ participatory behavior would change, as a result of unexpected alterations of the game. Our analyses showed that when the
panied by any vocalization (Mehus, 2011). Yet, these findings without gestures, rather than gesturing movements not accom-
panied by any vocalization (Mehus, 2011). Yet, these findings may be that infants are more likely to experience interactions
poorer in the altered conditions. A possible explanation for this
may lead to different interpretations. On the one hand, violations
may have not been recognized as such, but simply experienced
as different, less engaging activities than the fully enacted games.
Or they might have become tired or bored as the procedure
went on, and therefore engaged less. Support for this interpre-
tation comes from absence of any signs of distress (in terms
of Negative Affect), as typical when expectations are violated,
and presence of some signs of inattention (such as Gaze Away).
Yet, this would not explain why they showed more Stunned
Expressions.

An alternative interpretation which may be advanced is that
infants’ decreased their participation by smiling and laughing
less, showing increased Stunned Expressions and being more
bodily still, as the result of confusion for something ambigu-
ous that did not match their usual experience (Tronick et al.,
1978). According to this interpretation, infants may have de-
veloped expectations regarding how the mother usually behaves in
such specific interactions, which in turn affected the quality of
their participation when the familiar game was violated. We sup-
port this second alternative. The most persuasive evidence for
it is infants’ dramatic behavioral change in the altered condi-
tions even if the mother had not withdrawn from the interaction
and was still offering some level of stimulation. This represents
a point of difference with most of the research using violation
paradigm, in which the adult interrupts an initiated interaction
or strongly reduces her interactional engagement (by suspending
the gesturing or singing). A weakened engagement in the game and—
even more importantly—the loss of its playful quality, as shown
by the decrease in positive affect, might mean that the infants
were not so much affected by a lack of maternal contingency or
affective attunement, (as observed in many contingency viola-
tion studies1) but rather by alterations of an established game
structure. If this interpretation is correct, play routines may
constitute early interactional contexts on which infants have
expectations as structured units of coupled auditory and motor
resources.

Observing the games structures, we found that they provide
the infants with multiple opportunities of engaging in the inter-
action. Furthermore, they seem to represent a “ready-to-use,”
interactive tool for parents. We think that compared to free play,
these structures enable and sustain the infant’s participation in
the interaction for long periods, supporting the development
of interactive competences of reading complex communications.
Surprisingly, the games we observed presented similar lengths
and format even if their structure differed, suggesting that they
may respond to specific developmental needs: to be entertain-
ing for the infant, to facilitate an affective and pleasant expe-
rience between the infant and the mother, and have a flexible
structure to be adapted to the baby’s emerging capacities (cog-
nitive, attentional, motor capacities). As routines, these games
may also have a developmental function: conceiving a routine,
whatever that might be, as a sequence of recognizable tasks-
so-far (Lerner et al., 2011) enables its understanding as based
on a situated, practical grasp of that routine instead of relying
on some cognitive representation of it. In other words, it
may enable infants of being capable partners in joint actions
(as they recognize and have expectations on it) even with-
out possessing higher-level social knowledge. Under this view,
infants are not passive recipients of actions performed on them,
but rather capable of active participation in any joint routine.
Play routines might also represent early communicative contexts
which prepare the ground for later, more complex multimodal
interactions, such as verbal exchanges (Bruner, 1975; Bullowa,
1979). As Goodwin (2013) proposed, interactions. As Goodwin
(2013) proposed, interactions are co-operative and transforma-
tive in the sense that “Actors can build new action by selectively
reusing resources provided by a prior action” (p. 1), suggesting
that if interactions are constructed out of different resources
then even non-verbal participants may co-contribute to the
building up of an interaction. Multimodality can be therefore
framed as structuring and facilitating early interactions through
co-participation.

Whatever interpretation we support, this study has led us to
reflect about how an embodied participation in joint routines
generates expectations on the partners’ mutual commitment to
participate in a certain—though not identical—way. The pleasure
of participating seems at least partially conditional to recog-
nizing the moves in the sequence and being therefore able to
cooperate to and in it. Since this is the first work to explore
early structured play, it also presents various limitations. For
instance, to endorse an ecological approach and explore how early
social games worked in the first place, we decided not to con-
strain mothers to play a specific game but rather focus on their
spontaneous way of playing. This is why only the two violated
conditions have been counterbalanced, but not the normal one.
We preferred to start always with the normal game to preserve
(grasp) the infant’s spontaneous engagement with a familiar

---

**Table 3 | Means and standard deviations of relative behavioral frequencies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavioral measure</th>
<th>Normal M</th>
<th>Normal SD</th>
<th>No-gestures M</th>
<th>No-gestures SD</th>
<th>No-sound M</th>
<th>No-sound SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limbs Movements</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaze Away</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Affect</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Affect</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunned Expression</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum duration of the games in every condition was 30 s, thus each behavioral measure may range from 0 to 30.
We thank Vasu Reddy, Nicole Rossmanith, Mathilde Chanvin, Laura Galbusera and Federico Rossano for helping with the coding, analyses and precious suggestions. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the work. This work is supported by the Marie-Curie Initial Training Network, “TESIS: Towards an Embodied Science of InterSubjectivity” (FP7-PEOPLE-2010-ITN, 264828).

REFERENCES
Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 06 March 2014; accepted: 12 May 2014; published online: 06 June 2014. Citation: Fantasia V, Fasulo A, Costall A and López B (2014) Changing the game: exploring infants’ participation in early play routines. Front. Psychol. 5:522. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00522

This article was submitted to Developmental Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology. Copyright © 2014 Fantasia, Fasulo, Costall and López. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.