Magnetic fabrics in the basal ice of a surge-type glacier
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1. Introduction

[1] Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) has been shown to provide specific useful information regarding the kinematics of deformation within subglacially deformed sediments. Here we present results from debris-rich basal glacier ice to examine deformation associated with glacier motion. Basal ice samples were collected from Tunabreen, a polythermal surge-type glacier in Svalbard. The magnetic fabrics recorded show strong correlation with structures within the ice, such as sheath folds and macroscopic stretching lineations. Thermomagnetic, low-temperature susceptibility, varying field susceptibility, and isothermal remanent magnetism acquisition experiments reveal that the debris-rich basal ice samples have a susceptibility and anisotropy dominated by paramagnetic phases within the detrital sediment. Sediment grains entrained within the basal ice are inferred to have rotated into a preferential alignment during deformation associated with flow of the glacier. An up-glacier directed plunge of magnetic lineations and subtle deviation from bulk glacier flow at the margins highlight the importance of noncoaxial strain during surge propagation. The results suggest that AMS can be used as an ice petrofabric indicator for investigations of glacier deformation and interactions with the bed.


1. Introduction

[2] In this paper, we present a novel application of the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) technique to examine debris-rich basal ice. The flow of glacier ice can produce similar structures to those produced in ductile deformation within rocks [Malman et al., 2000]. The analysis of these structures and smaller-scale ice fabrics can provide insight concerning the strain history and deformation of glacier ice, since ice crystals are anisotropic [Castelnau et al., 1998] and tend to develop a preferred orientation in response to strain.

[3] The most commonly used method to examine fabrics within glacier ice is the analysis of c axis crystallographic orientations of ice crystals in thin section [e.g., Bader, 1951; Rigsby, 1958]. This has been particularly useful in understanding how ice deforms under stress [Wilson, 2000; Wilson and Sim, 2002]. More recently, the development of automated techniques has introduced greater speed and objectivity [Wilen et al., 2003]. However, Tison and Lorrain [1987] showed that glacier ice can recrystallize over quite short timescales, so the final measured fabric may not represent the cumulative strain but rather a more recent recrystallization event.

[4] Fabric analysis involving the measurement of the AMS [Tarling and Hrouda, 1993] has provided considerable insight into depositional [e.g., Ellwood and Ledbetter, 1977; Hooyer et al., 2008; Lagroix and Banerjee, 2002] and deformation histories [e.g., Borradaile and Jackson, 2004; Cifelli et al., 2009; Parés et al., 1999] of rock and sediment. In recent years, the technique has provided interesting new information about various aspects of glaciology including facilitating the interpretation of bed deformation [Hooyer et al., 2008; Iverson et al., 2008], glacier flow direction [Shumway and Iverson, 2009; Thomason and Iverson, 2009], and glaciotectonic history [Fleming et al., 2013] of deformed glacial sediment. Despite the links between styles of deformation seen in glaciers to those of rocks and sediment, there is (to our knowledge) no published research on the AMS of glacier ice.

[5] Glacier ice formed by the firnification of snow, often termed englacial ice [e.g., Hubbard et al., 2000], is dominated by H2O and is therefore diamagnetic (negative susceptibility) [Lanci et al., 2001]. While the AMS of rocks dominated by diamagnetic minerals has been used to investigate structural deformation [e.g., Borradaile et al., 2012; de Wall et al., 2000; Owens and Rutter, 1978], compared to that with ferromagnetic and paramagnetic dominated minerals, their relationship to strain is not as well understood, and research into the
magnetic anisotropy of H₂O ice has not been carried out. Unlike englacial ice, there is a zone of ice at the base of glaciers and ice sheets which exhibits a distinct set of physical and chemical properties formed by processes operating at the bed, commonly referred to as basal ice [Hubbard et al., 2009; Hubbard and Sharp, 1989; Knight, 1997]. This ice is thought to have predominantly formed through processes including adfreezing, regelation, and hydraulic supercooling [Cook et al., 2006; Hubbard, 1991; Hubbard and Sharp, 1993] at the base of glaciers and ice sheets and, as such, has the ability to incorporate significant amounts of detrital minerals or subglacial sediment en masse [Hambrey et al., 2005]. Depending on the composition of the source material, this detrital material is expected to contain paramagnetic and ferromagnetic grains that will overwhelm the diamagnetic signal and create fabrics which retain more of a signal related to ice deformation. The basal ice of glaciers and ice sheets therefore represents a suitable candidate for potential AMS investigations.

Glacier ice flows in response to gravitational forces acting on a sloping ice body; however, this flow is resisted by friction at the bed and lateral margins. Being located in the zone between the bed and the bulk of the glacier ice, basal ice is thought to be strongly affected by glacial motion and is commonly highly deformed [Larsen et al., 2010; Samyn et al., 2010; Souchez et al., 2000]. As such, a variety of structures are produced reflecting compression, extension, or simple shear, depending on the flow regime of the glacier. Basal ice commonly exhibits a strong ice-crystal c axis fabric [Samyn et al., 2008]. As a result, one may expect fabrics associated with such deformation, as well as being recorded in the diamagnetic ice, to be preserved through a preferred orientation of grains within the detrital sediment. Therefore, in theory, an AMS fabric should develop within the detrital component of basal ice that reflects the cumulative strain history.

In this study, we apply the AMS technique to basal ice exposed at the margin of a surge-type tidewater glacier in Svalbard. The aims of this study are to (i) characterize the AMS fabric by determining the orientation and degree of alignment and shape of the susceptibility ellipsoid. Also, since different minerals can produce vastly different fabric characteristics (e.g., inverse fabric in single domain magnetite) [Ferré, 2002], the magnetic mineralogy of the ice is investigated through rock magnetic experiments. (ii) Determine the relationship of the fabric to other visible strain indicators within the ice at both outcrop scale and through the analysis of aerial photographs. (iii) Examine the relationship of the fabric to the recent surge activity of the glacier. Through these investigations, the potential of the AMS technique for the analysis of basal ice is evaluated and future areas in which the technique could be applied are suggested.

2. AMS Theory

AMS is one of a group of techniques that can be used to measure the physical arrangement of particles and minerals (petrofabric) in rock or sediment. It works on the principle that when subjected to an external magnetic field, an induced magnetism is generated in rock or sediment that is dependent on the magnetic susceptibility, \( K \) represented by the equation \( M = KH \), where \( M \) is the induced magnetization, \( H \) is the applied field, and \( K \) is the susceptibility measured in SI units [Tarling and Hrouda, 1993].

Susceptibility is essentially a measure of the Fe content in a sample but is also controlled by the alignment, distribution, or crystalline orientation of these mineral grains and so is anisotropic. In this way, the magnetic fabric normally represents the petrofabric of the rock or sediment, thus providing information on its formation/deformation. AMS can be used to accurately determine fabric in three dimensions and is best visualized as an ellipsoid with a long \( (K_1) \), intermediate, \( (K_2) \) and minimum \( (K_3) \) axis. While the AMS records the petrofabric of a rock, it is an oversimplification to assume that \( K_1 \) reflects the mean orientation of the long axis of grains. This is because mineral composition and grain size can greatly affect how it behaves in response to an external magnetic field, and as such, the magnetic mineralogy needs to be explored before reliable fabric interpretations can be made.

Most minerals forming a rock or sediment can be defined by three magnetic behaviors: ferromagnetic, paramagnetic, and diamagnetic. Ferromagnetic minerals (which include ferrimagnetic sensu strictu minerals, e.g., magnetite) have a strongly proportional relationship between \( M \) (induced magnetism) and \( H \) (strength of applied field), with a maximum value of \( M \). These grains retain their magnetism when subjected to a high magnetic field, and therefore carry a remanent magnetism (as used in paleomagnetic analysis). Ferromagnetic minerals have very high susceptibilities (e.g., \( 1500 \times 10^{-3} \) for magnetite) and will dominate the fabric even if present in very small concentrations. They can easily be identified based on their thermomagnetic properties as they have a structure that limits thermal disruption up to the Curie temperature, after which grains behave paramagnetically [Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997]. In contrast, paramagnetic minerals have a proportional, nonpermanent relationship between \( M \) and \( H \). Paramagnetism is exhibited by silicate minerals that contain Fe in the crystal lattice (e.g., biotite and chlorite). An important property in the detection of paramagnetism is that susceptibility decreases with increase temperature according to the Curie-Weiss law. Finally, diamagnetic minerals (e.g., quartz and calcite) have a slight negative response to increasing \( H \). Diamagnetism is present in all rocks but has very weak, negative susceptibilities (\( -1 \times 10^{-5} \)) [Tarling and Hrouda, 1993] and is normally overshadowed by even small amounts of paramagnetic or ferromagnetic grains.

Minerals can be classified as having shape, crystalline, or distribution anisotropies. Shape anisotropy is common in ferromagnetic grains (e.g., magnetite) and occurs when the induced magnetization is preferentially oriented along the axis of the grain. Crystalline anisotropy is common in paramagnetic minerals (e.g., chlorite) and occurs when the induced magnetization is dependent on the orientation of the crystal lattices within the mineral (commonly with \( K_3 \) perpendicular to the basal plane). In many examples, the fabrics produced from shape and crystallographic anisotropy are directly compatible [e.g., Cifelli et al., 2009]. This is because paramagnetic minerals (e.g., chlorite) tend to break preferentially along basal planes and under extensional strain, these basal planes have been shown to girdle about an axis parallel to extension, creating what is effectively an intersection lineation [Cifelli et al., 2005]. Distribution anisotropy can play a role if ferromagnetic grains are not randomly distributed through the matrix due to magnetostatic interactions [Hargraves et al., 1991].
In addition to this, grain size can play an important role in the response of minerals in rock or sediment to an external magnetic field. Some minerals (e.g., magnetite), when present in sizes below $0.03\, \mu m$, will exhibit single domain behavior where susceptibility axes can switch creating "inverse" fabrics [Ferré, 2002]. As such, proper determination of the magnetic mineralogy is vital (see section 4 for discussions of methods used) to enable reliable conclusions to be drawn.

AMSR can characterize and quantify very weak or subtle mineral fabrics and has been widely used in geology as a means for investigating the processes involved in the formation of rocks and sediments [see references in Tarling and Hrouda, 1993]. It is an important tool in understanding how a material deforms in response to tectonic deformation as stress acting on the sediment can cause grains to rotate resulting in a preferential alignment. In glacial sedimentology, the AMS of subglacial sediments has the ability to reveal subtle fabrics relating to ice deformation [Eyles et al., 1987; Fleming et al., 2013; Gentoso et al., 2012; Shumway and Iverson, 2009; Thomason and Iverson, 2009]. As well as various field-based applications, the technique has been verified through laboratory testing [Hooyer et al., 2008; Iverson et al., 2008]. In these experiments, tills were sheared under conditions thought to be operating at the bed. Microshears were seen to develop that facilitate the rotation of grains into the shear plane where they remain. This evidence was used in support of the idea of March-type rotation [March, 1932], where particles can rotate continuously in a viscous shearing medium [Thomason and Iverson, 2006]. Basal ice generally lies immediately above subglacial sediment and plays an important role in its formation through melt-out or lodgment [Benn and Evans, 2010]. However, the way that sediment particles within the ice respond to strain is not well understood. The application of AMS to basal ice offers excellent opportunity for some of these ideas to be investigated.

### 3. Glaciological and Geological Setting

Tunabreen is a 33 km long tidewater glacier located in central Svalbard (Figure 1). The glacier drains from the Filchnerfonna and Lomonosovfonna ice caps and flows into Tempelfjorden. The surrounding bedrock geology consists of undeformed gently dipping Permian and Carboniferous sediments composed of conglomerate, sandstone, and shale of the Billefjorden Group. In turn, these are overlain by locally fossiliferous sandstones, carbonates, shales, and cherts of the Dickson Land Subgroup [Cubill and Challinor, 1965]. These strata were mostly deposited on a stable carbonate platform under shallow marine conditions [Harland et al., 1997].
Radio echo-sounding records indicate that the glacier is polythermal [Bamber, 1987]. Tunabreen is a surge-type glacier and is the only one in Svalbard known to have surged 3 times, producing a consistent return period of approximately 40 years. Tunabreen last surged in 2003–2005, during which the terminus advanced by up to 2 km into Tempelfjorden. Since surge termination, Tunabreen has calved back to its present-day position, revealing spectacular and easily accessible exposures of the basal zone of the glacier at the lateral margins, including the glacier bed interface. There are three dominant ice facies within the exposures: a banded debris-rich facies composed of alternating bands or laminae (1–10 mm thick) of ice-containing diamicton and clean bubble-free ice, a solid debris-rich facies composed of diamicton with some stratification (hereafter referred to as “banded facies” and “solid facies,” respectively) [after Hubbard et al., 2009], and a clean, bubbly facies (hereafter termed “englacial facies”) [after Hubbard et al., 2000].

The flow regimes of Tunabreen are indicated through structures exposed at the surface of the glacier (Figure 1). Ice stratification and longitudinal foliation (utilizing glaciological terminology of Hambrey and Lawson [2000]) are clearly seen in aerial photographs. This stratification, which originates in an orientation defined by the margins of the flow boundaries in the accumulation zone, becomes folded as the ice flows. Fold tightness increases down-glacier, evolving to isoclinal toward the terminus. Fold limbs are rotated

Figure 2. Field photographs of Tunabreen and the sections sampled. (a) NW section at the lateral margin of Tunabreen. Blue ice in the right of the photograph represents englacial ice while the basal ice is shown by the darker brown horizon in the center (snowmobile in foreground = 1 m). Height of section = 15 m. (b) Photograph showing the locations of the NW and SE sections taken from the lateral moraine of Von Postbreen. (c) SE section showing englacial ice (blue) overlying basal ice (brown and banded). Height of section = 30 m.
parallel to the glacier margins and axial planes lie parallel to
glacier flow direction, creating flow-parallel structures
trending at 5°, which is commonly referred to as longitudinal
foliation [Hambrey and Lawson, 2000], a phenomenon well
known from Svalbard glaciers [e.g., Hambrey and Glasser,
2003; Hambrey et al., 2005].

[17] At the height of the most recent surge in 2004, almost the
entire length of Tunabreen exhibited intense surface crevassing.
Transverse crevasses dominated the pattern, forming perpendic-
tic often seen in other Svalbard tidewater surge-type gla-

ciers [cf., Hodgkins and Dowdeswell, 1994; Murray et al.,
2003] without the compression deformation commonly
exhibited at the terminus of land-terminating Svalbard glaciers
[Hambrey et al., 2005]. However, toward the terminus, the
eastern margin of Tunabreen reaches a confluence with the
neighboring Bogebreen, Philippbreen, and Von Postbreen.
Here a component of oblique compression deformation is
seen through the presence of structures that crop out at
the surface which truncate foliation and crevasse patterns,
interpreted as thrusts (Figure 1). This, combined with a
changing coastal morphology, results in the deviation of
flow at this location from a predominantly southward direc-
tion into a SSW direction.

4. Methods

[18] Two sections were analyzed at the lateral margins of
Tunabreen, hereafter referred to as the northwest (NW) and
southeast (SE) sections (Figure 2). Six sites were chosen
from the banded basal ice facies, covering both lateral and
vertical changes. In order to increase the chances of the
acquisition of reliable fabrics, sites were chosen where the
sediment concentration was greater than 10% by volume.
Cores were collected during April 2011, using a portable
rock drill with a 2.5 cm diameter, nonmagnetic, diamond-
tipped drill bit, and orientated using a Brunton compass by
scratching a fiducial mark on to the side of the core. Cores
were subsequently transported to a cold room (at −20°C) at
the University Centre in Svalbard and cut using a nonmagnetic,
diamond-tipped circular rock saw into 21 mm sections, making
one to two samples from each core. Sedimentological and
structural data were collected in the field using standard
procedures [cf. Evans and Benn, 2004]. Structural data from
the measurement of mineral lineations were collected in
March 2012.

[19] The AMS was measured using an AGICO KLY-3
Kappabridge operating at 875 Hz with a 300 A/m applied
field at the University of Birmingham and an AGICO MFK-1A
Kappabridge operating at 976 Hz with a 200 A/m applied
field at New Mexico Highlands University. In total, 71
samples were analyzed with an average of 10 subsamples per site.
The following parameters were used to evaluate the suscepti-
bility ellipsoid [cf. Tarling and Hrouda, 1993]. The mean
susceptibility (𝐾mean) is given by

\[ K_{\text{mean}} = \frac{K_1 + K_2 + K_3}{3}, \]

where \(K_1 > K_2 > K_3\) are the principal susceptibilities (SI units). The shape of the ellipsoid can be characterized using
lineation \(L\) and foliation \(F\) parameters [Khan, 1962]
and are calculated as

\[ L = \frac{(K_1 - K_2)}{K_{\text{mean}}}, \]

and

\[ F = \frac{(K_2 - K_3)}{K_{\text{mean}}}. \]

[20] Also used are the corrected anisotropy degree \(P_j\), to
determine the strength of the fabric, and the shape parameter
\(T\), to define the shape of the susceptibility ellipsoid [Jelinek,
1981], which respectively are

\[ P_j = \exp \left( \sqrt{2 \left[ \left( \ln \left( \frac{K_1}{K_j} \right) \right)^2 + \left( \ln \left( \frac{K_3}{K_j} \right) \right)^2 \right] F} \right) \]

and

\[ T = \left[ \frac{2 \ln \left( \frac{K_1}{K_3} \right)}{\ln \left( \frac{K_1}{K_3} \right)} \right] - 1. \]

[21] Because of the low susceptibility of the samples,
careful cleaning and calibration of the sample holder were
undertaken between each site, as even small amounts of fer-
romagnetic or paramagnetic dust may swamp the suscepti-
bility signal of the samples [Borradaille et al., 2012]. In
spite of the Kappabridge being sensitive to 0.5 × 10⁻⁸ SI with
an accuracy of 0.1%, the anisotropy values near zero can be
anomalously high [Biedermann et al., 2013; Hrouda and
Kapička, 1986; Rochette, 1987]. Although this is not thought
to affect fabric orientations [Callot et al., 2010; Hrouda,
2004], its effect can cause problems when calculating the

Table 1. Mean Site AMS Data (See Section 3 for Calculation)\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>𝐾mean</th>
<th>𝐾₁</th>
<th>𝐾₁ 95% Error</th>
<th>𝐾₂</th>
<th>𝐾₂ 95% Error</th>
<th>𝐾₃</th>
<th>𝐾₃ 95% Error</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>𝑃_j</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TB2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.04E-06</td>
<td>4/12.5</td>
<td>22/6</td>
<td>160/76</td>
<td>94/19</td>
<td>273/6</td>
<td>49/4</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02E-05</td>
<td>338.26</td>
<td>36/21</td>
<td>243/11</td>
<td>36/21</td>
<td>132/62</td>
<td>35/16</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>-0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02E-05</td>
<td>345.15</td>
<td>34/13</td>
<td>254/3</td>
<td>35/12</td>
<td>152/75</td>
<td>18/12</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.02E-05</td>
<td>355.25</td>
<td>19/14</td>
<td>261/9</td>
<td>71/13</td>
<td>152/63</td>
<td>71/14</td>
<td>1.076</td>
<td>1.024</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>-0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.07E-05</td>
<td>2/11</td>
<td>46/9</td>
<td>93/7</td>
<td>46/26</td>
<td>214/77</td>
<td>33/13</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.09E-05</td>
<td>21/26</td>
<td>33/12</td>
<td>280/21</td>
<td>38/22</td>
<td>157/56</td>
<td>31/7</td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)N = Number of Samples; \(K_{\text{mean}} = \text{Mean Susceptibility}; K_1, K_2, K_3 = \text{Orientations (Declination and Inclination) of the Principal Susceptibility Axes with 95% Confidence Ellipses}; L = \text{Lineation (L = K_1/K_2)}; F = \text{Foliation (F = K_2/K_3)}; P_j = \text{Anisotropy Degree}; T = \text{Shape Parameter}.\]
Figure 3. Rock magnetic experiments. (a) Low-field susceptibility \((K)\) versus temperature curves for (i) NW and (ii) SE sections. In each case, the heating curve is black and the cooling curve is gray. (b) Low-field susceptibility versus applied field \((A/M)\) curves normalized to the lowest susceptibility value \((K/K_0)\) for (i) NW and (ii) SE sections. (c) The normalized reciprocal \((K/K_0)\) susceptibility versus temperature for (i) NW and (ii) SE sections and (iii) the ratio of the susceptibility at the lowest temperature to the susceptibility at room temperature \((K_{LT}/K_{RT})\), plotted against magnetic susceptibility. (d) IRM experiments showing variation in normalized magnetic intensity \((J/J_{max})\) with applied field \((T)\) for (i) NW and (ii) SE sections.
anisotropy parameters, and as such, any subsamples with susceptibilities in the range of $-5 \mu$SI to 5 $\mu$SI were discounted, as recommended by Hrouda [2004].

In all AMS investigations, determination of the magnetic mineralogy is of importance because of the different fabric characteristics which can be produced by different minerals. The detrital component, typically 10–40% volume of sample volume, was extracted from the diamagnetic H$_2$O by sublimation, and investigations of the magnetic mineralogy were conducted at New Mexico Highlands University. The variation of low-field magnetic susceptibility with temperature and field strength was conducted on an AGICO MFK-1A Kappabridge with a CS4 high-temperature susceptibility attachment. Thermomagnetic experiments were conducted for six samples from two sites measuring variations of magnetic susceptibility on heating at a 6°C interval from room temperature (20°C) to 700°C. Low-temperature susceptibility experiments were conducted on all sites using an in-house cryostat system coupled with the Kappabridge. The samples were cooled to 77 K in liquid nitrogen, and the bulk susceptibility measured every 18 s during warming to room temperature. The low-field variation of AC susceptibility was measured in the following fields: 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 500, 600, and 700 A/M following the procedure of Hrouda et al. [2006]. In addition, the ferromagnetic fraction of six samples was analyzed through the acquisition of Isothermal Remanent Magnetization (IRM) experiments (i.e., partial hysteresis loops), first by demagnetizing the sample in an alternating field (AF) to remove the natural remanent magnetism (NRM), followed by applying an external field at progressive stronger fields up to a peak of 2.5 tesla (T) field. This experiment was measured on an AGICO JR6-A dual-speed spinner magnetometer in a magnetically shielded room that attenuates Earth’s field to less than 0.1%.

5. Results

5.1. Magnetic Mineralogy

The mean susceptibility ($K_{\text{mean}}$) of the samples ranges from 9 to 23 $\mu$SI (average 20 $\mu$SI, Table 1), well within the paramagnetic realm [Tarling and Hrouda, 1993]. The magnetic susceptibility of the samples in which the detrital sediment was separated from the ice are 96 $\mu$SI for TB3 and 38 $\mu$SI for TB5, reflecting the absence of diamagnetic H$_2$O.

Low-temperature susceptibility measurements (Figure 3c) can be used to distinguish between the contribution of paramagnetic from ferromagnetic phases, since antiferromagnetic, diamagnetic, and most ferromagnetic minerals have a temperature-independent susceptibility in the 77 to 295 K temperature range [Richter and van der Pluijm, 1994]. The curves show good Curie-Weiss temperature dependence, where susceptibility decreases with increasing temperature [Nagata, 1961]. The ratio of low-temperature/room temperature versus the mean value of room temperature susceptibility are plotted (Figure 3c) and the ratio of all samples is above 3.2, indicating a substantial paramagnetic component to the low-field AMS.

The variation of low-field susceptibility with temperatures from 20 to 700°C (Figure 3b) shows a decreasing susceptibility with increase of temperature on some curves within the range of 20 to 250°C following Curie-Weiss behavior (Figure 3bi), whereas others show an independent or slight increase in susceptibility within this range (Figure 3bii). Above 250°C, all samples show an increase in susceptibility.
with increasing temperature and exhibit strong peaks at 560°C, presumably indicating either the growth of new ferromagnetic phases on heating, or the “Hopkinson peak” owing to a minor amount of Fe-Ti oxide present within the sample.

The variation of field strength with susceptibility can also be used to provide constraints on the magnetic mineralogy (Figure 3c). This experiment works on the principle that diamagnetic and paramagnetic minerals exhibit a linear relationship between magnetization and the magnetization field, whereas the susceptibility of some ferromagnetic minerals exhibit a strong field-dependent susceptibility [Hrouda et al., 2006]. Nonsystematic behavior is seen in all samples in the 0 to 200 A/M range reflecting the high error margin in the measurement of susceptibility at these frequencies in low-susceptibility samples. However, above 200 A/M, all samples show a field-dependent susceptibility, which increases up to 500 A/M before decreasing. This presumably represents a minor contribution to the susceptibility by a ferromagnetic component.

This ferromagnetic component is investigated further through the acquisition of IRM (Figure 3d). This works on the principle that the coercivity of a mineral varies with composition and grain size [Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997].

Figure 5. Stereographic projection of AMS results from all samples showing $K_1$ (black squares), $K_2$ (gray triangles), and $K_3$ (white circles) with 95% confidence ellipses. Refer to section 3 for derivation of anisotropy parameters.

Figure 6. Two-dimensional section logs of (a) NW section and (b) SE section (no vertical exaggeration), with magnetic fabrics for all sites showing the three mean principal susceptibility axes plotted on to lower hemisphere stereographic projections. See Figures 1 and 2 for locations.
For example, the saturation magnetization of hematite is near 3 T while magnetite is fully saturated by 300 mT. The IRM acquisition curves all fail to show complete saturation at 2.5 T indicating the presence of a high-coercivity phase, presumably hematite.

5.2. Anisotropy of Magnetic Susceptibility

[28] Samples yield susceptibility ellipsoids that are predominantly triaxial (Figure 4), where $F$ is roughly equal to $L$, although variation exists between subsamples, ranging from strongly oblate to strongly prolate (possibly in part
arising from the high error margins when calculating parameters at low susceptibilities) [Biedermann et al., 2013; Hrouda, 2004]. The mean corrected anisotropy degree ($P_1$) is relatively high (1.05) (Figure 4a) compared with the typical values within sediments dominated by paramagnetic minerals. However, hematite can have very high (>100) anisotropies [Guerrero-Suarez and Martín-Hernández, 2012; Tarling and Hrouda, 1993] and this high value may reflect the presence of a minor amount of hematite contributing to the anisotropy.

AMS results are shown on lower hemisphere, equal-area stereographic projections (Figure 5) and the corresponding AMS results from individual sites and their sampled locations are shown in Figure 6. The mean maximum susceptibility orientation ($K_1$) plunges gently (20°) to the north, with a general north-south trend (mean = 359°) (Figure 5), subparallel to dominant glacier flow direction, calculated from the trend of the glacier and orientation of the macroscopic longitudinal foliation. The minimum susceptibility axes ($K_2$) are subvertical, defining the pole to the magnetic foliation ($K_1$-$K_2$ plane). At the NW section (close to the western margin of the glacier), $K_1$ axes cluster at 20° to 001° and $K_2$ axes cluster at 030° to 188°. The SE section, despite being close to the opposite margin of the glacier, gives a broadly similar fabric orientation to the SE section with $K_1$ axes clustering at 20° to 355° and $K_2$ axes clustering at 27° to 097°.

5.3. Analysis of Visible Structures

In subglacial sediments investigated at other sites, the orientation of magnetic fabrics has been shown to reflect glacier-induced simple shear relating to the flow direction of glacier ice [e.g., Fleming et al., 2013; Hoover et al., 2008; Iverson et al., 2008; Shumway and Iverson, 2009; Thomason and Iverson, 2009]. Basal ice lies at this crucial boundary between the bulk glacier ice and deforming bed and, as such, has been interpreted to deform similarly in a way strongly related to the flow of the glacier [Knight, 1997]. Evidence for deformation is seen at both sections as a variety of structures including folds, faults, and lineations (Figure 7). One of the unique features of the study of deformation within glacier ice is that, as opposed to most other geological materials, ice is often translucent or transparent. This allows structures to be seen in three dimensions through the ice face (e.g., Figures 7f–7h), aiding analysis and interpretation. These structures can be analyzed to provide insight into the kinematics of deformation, thus providing independent verification of the state of strain within the basal ice. As such, comparisons can be made with the magnetic fabric to determine its relationship to strain within the ice.

[31] Folding and boudinage are common within the basal ice at both sections, especially at the SE section. Here the banded ice facies (Figure 7a), which presumably formed at an orientation parallel to the glacier bed or overriding obstacles, is highly folded in places (Figures 7d–7f). Folds are typically steeply inclined to recumbent and strongly asymmetric, with interlimb angles ranging from tight to isoclinal. One interesting and, at first somewhat confusing, aspect of these folds is that vergence direction can appear on the two-dimensional ice face (Figure 7d) to be in both directions. Folds also occasionally form concentric augen-like rings (Figure 9a). The axes of these folds lie in a north-south orientation, generally parallel to the glacier flow direction and parallel to the maximum susceptibility orientations ($K_1$). This indicates that rather than being purely cylindrical, which is often assumed, folds are highly noncylindrical in a style often referred to as sheath folding [Alsop and Carreras, 2007; Alsop and Holdsworth, 2004; Alsop et al., 2007].

[32] The fabric of the debris and bubbles within the banded ice facies is not planar. In contrast, a strong linear component is present (Figures 7g and 7h). Debris is observed to be arranged in linear aggregates and has, in places, been strongly smeared along an axis. Lineations, measured at the SW section, cluster at 10° to 005° (Figure 8). In places, strongly elongated bubbles are orientated in the same direction as the debris lineations (Figure 7h). Debris lineations are also seen to form generally parallel to fold axes and almost completely parallel to the magnetic lineation which, in most previous studies of AMS of deformed sediments, represents the direction of stretching [e.g., Cifelli et al., 2005; Liss et al., 2002; Parés and van der Pluijm, 2002].

[33] Faulting is common, illustrating that as well as ductile folding, brittle deformation has also occurred within the basal ice at both sections (Figures 7b and 7c). The NW section contains a number of faults that are typically orientated N-S to NE-SW, shallow to moderately dipping to the east, parallel or subparallel to the glacier margins. At the SE section, faults strike in an N-S orientation; however, both dip angle and dip direction are variable. The majority of the faults have a reverse offset, but many contain subhorizontal debris lineations on their surface, indicating oblique or even transverse slip in some cases and suggesting a transpressional glaciotectonic regime. Thrusting has clearly resulted in the tectonic thickening of basal ice, for example, Figure 7c where banded debris-rich ice has been thrust up over blocks of clean englacial ice.

6. Discussion

6.1. Control on AMS Fabric

[34] The low susceptibility of the samples indicates a volumetrically significant proportion of diamagnetic minerals, presumably quartz, calcite, and ice. Yet, the presence of paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases provides a positive...
susceptibility which probably controls the magnetic fabric [Tarling and Hrouda, 1993]. The dependence of susceptibility on temperature follows Curie-Weiss behavior at low temperatures, suggesting a dominance of paramagnetic minerals [Richter and van der Pluijm, 1994]. At high temperatures, the increase in susceptibility can be attributed to the growth of new ferromagnetic minerals with the peak at 550°C, possibly representing a suppressed “Hopkinson peak” of a minor ferromagnetic contribution. The dependence of the susceptibility on field strength could be attributed to a ferromagnetic contribution, since pure paramagnetic minerals yield field-independent behavior [Hrouda et al., 2006], but given the low susceptibility and the strong dependence of susceptibility with temperature, its influence on the AMS is considered minor. The high coercivity picked out by the IRM experiments indicates that hematite most likely controls this ferromagnetic contribution. Therefore, we interpret the origin of the AMS signal as having a mixed magnetic mineralogy. This is dominated by paramagnetic phases which, given the composition of the material, are likely to be phyllosilicate clays with possibly a minor contribution of a high-coercivity ferromagnetic phase, presumably hematite.

[35] The presence of flow-parallel magnetic lineations associated with sediment dominated by phyllosilicate clay minerals and hematite may at first seem counterintuitive as both minerals typically display crystalline anisotropy, where the maximum susceptibility axis lies in the basal plane of the mineral [Tarling and Hrouda, 1993]. As such, $K_1$ orientations are not parallel to the long axis of grains but rather depend on the crystallographic structure with the minimum susceptibility perpendicular to the basal plane. In spite of this, magnetic lineations are common in rocks dominated

**Figure 9.** Schematic diagram illustrating the relationship of structures to AMS fabrics. (a) Three-dimensional cartoon of Tunabreen (vertical scale exaggerated) showing the structure of the foliation/stratification, faults, and basal ice in relation to the orientation of the AMS lineation. (b) Sketch of banded basal ice showing the preferred alignment of grains. (c) Visualization of subsequent AMS fabric through the AMS ellipsoid with $K_1$ (maximum), $K_2$ (intermediate), and $K_3$ (minimum) susceptibility axes. (d) Presentation of ellipse through stereonet displaying the mean northerly orientated $K_1$ parallel to glacier flow direction.
by phyllosilicate minerals and are shown to form parallel to the direction of stretching [Cifelli et al., 2005, 2009; Parés and van der Pluijm, 2002]. Phyllosilicate minerals tend to break along their basal plane, which when under extensional stresses, become disposed about an axis parallel to stretching, thus creating a magnetic lineation that is directly compatible with fabrics created through shape anisotropy.

6.2. Relationship of Structures to AMS

[36] The magnetic fabrics show strong apparent correspondence with the orientations of macroscopic structures present within the ice. The stratification (mapped in Figure 1 and schematically drawn in Figure 9), which would have originally formed in an orientation parallel to flow boundaries in the accumulation zone, has been tightly folded forming a longitudinal foliation (Figure 9a) under a strong extensional regime. This foliation generally lies parallel to the AMS lineation. The close relationship of the strike of the longitudinal foliation and the AMS lineation within the basal ice suggests that, as one would expect, the basal ice has been deformed by glacier motion.

[37] At the outcrop scale, the banded ice facies within the basal ice have been folded under noncoaxial stretching and simple shear (Figure 10d). In these conditions, folding initiates during the initial stage of shear where the field of compression occurs at a high angle to bedding (Figure 9dii). As deformation continues, the strain ellipse rotates to a low angle to bedding and extensional processes become dominant, resulting in boudinage (Figure 10diii). The folds created within the basal ice at Tunabreen have fold axes which are strongly curvilinear (Figure 9a). This represents a noncylindrical style of folding, commonly referred to as sheath folding [Alsop et al., 2007]. Sheath folds normally form when perturbations during the initial stages of folding are greatly exaggerated in high-strain conditions [Cobbold and Quinquis, 1980]. As folding progresses, fold noses become stretched and elongated, and fold axes rotate toward the direction of shear within the ice and the fold axes becomes parallel with the main stretching direction (Figure 10c). Sections perpendicular to the shearing direction are characterized by concentric, eye-shaped folds and doubly verging fold directions (Figure 10b). Sheath fold noses lie parallel to the orientation of AMS lineations as fold axes are essentially indistinct from stretching lineations.

[38] Deformation of the ice at Tunabreen has also resulted in the formation of distinct linear features within the basal ice (Figures 7g and 7h). Clusters of debris are smeared out and aligned about an axis. The smearing of grains in basal ice has been referred to in the past [Hubbard and Sharp, 1995; Hubbard et al., 2000], but its relationship to cumulative strain has not. Similar lineations are often seen in structurally deformed metamorphic rocks [Neves et al., 2005; Twiss and Moores, 1992], commonly referred to as stretching lineations. Stretching lineations in deformed rocks form in an orientation parallel to the direction of stretching during ductile deformation [Ramsay and Huber, 1983]. Thus, structural analysis of their orientation can provide useful information about the kinematics of deformation and deformational history.

[39] At Tunabreen, these lineations lie at an orientation parallel to the fold axes of sheath folds and the strike of macroscopic surface lineations, and subparallel to the flow direction of the glacier. Also, these lineations lie almost completely parallel to the magnetic lineations (Figure 8), thus providing independent verification that these form in an orientation parallel to stretching, and as such, we interpret them as stretching lineations. Under high-strain conditions, detrital grains within
the ice will rotate into the most stable orientation about an axis parallel to stretching, forming the lineations. As these lineations are parallel to the interpreted direction of stretching, they can be used in a similar way to which they are in structural geology and the analysis of tectonically deformed rocks in order to give the kinematics of deformation within the ice.

6.3. Kinematics of Deformation Within the Basal Ice

The up-glacier dip of $K_1$ is a feature commonly seen within subglacial sediments under simple shear [Shumway and Iverson, 2009; Thomason and Iverson, 2009]. The mean plunge of the $K_1$ lineation at 20° up glacier may (shown in Figure 5 and drawn schematically in Figures 9b–9d) indicate that within the basal ice, as well as pure shear, there is a component of noncoaxial strain and simple shear causing the up dip rotation of $K_1$ orientations, matching the strain ellipse. Ring shear experiments of subglacial tills subject to simple shear reveal that steady state AMS fabrics develop at strains of 7–30, in which $K_1$ lies parallel to shear direction dipping 28° away from shear direction [Hooyer et al., 2008; Iverson et al., 2008]. These experiments produced almost identical fabric characteristics and clustering patterns as those displayed in Figure 5. One could argue a similar model for the rotation of grains within basal ice, where slip between the grains and the ice keeps particles from rotating through the shear plane (as suggested by March [1932]) therefore rejecting Jeffery rotation [Jeffery, 1922] within ice. However, as the magnetic mineralogy of the tills used are different, caution is applied when making direct comparisons, and conclusions should not be made until further laboratory testing on materials with a similar mineralogy is obtained.

6.4. Relationship to Surge Dynamics

At the NW section (Figure 11a), magnetic lineations lie in an orientation that deviates slightly away from the dominant glacier flow direction in this area. If the fabrics formed purely by stretching and shear due to friction at the bed, one may expect the magnetic lineations to trend parallel to ice flow. However, the flow of the glacier ice is not uniform across the ice surface. At the margins, lateral drag can result in the development of marginal shear zones such as those recorded after the 1982–1983 surge of Variegated Glacier [Lawson et al., 1994; Sharp et al., 1988]. At Tunabreen, the deviation of the magnetic lineations from parallel to glacier flow is probably caused by the rotation of the strain ellipse away from glacier flow direction at the margins under noncoaxial strain (Figure 11bi).

At the SE section (Figure 11a), the orientation of the magnetic lineations lie in an orientation that deviates slightly away from the dominant glacier flow direction in this area. If the fabrics formed purely by stretching and shear due to friction at the bed, one may expect the magnetic lineations to trend parallel to ice flow. However, the flow of the glacier ice is not uniform across the ice surface. At the margins, lateral drag can result in the development of marginal shear zones such as those recorded after the 1982–1983 surge of Variegated Glacier [Lawson et al., 1994; Sharp et al., 1988]. At Tunabreen, the deviation of the magnetic lineations from parallel to glacier flow is probably caused by the rotation of the strain ellipse away from glacier flow direction at the margins under noncoaxial strain (Figure 11bi).

Figure 11. (a) Aerial photograph mosaic of the Tunabreen terminus at surge maximum in 2004 with the location of the sections studied. (b) Interpretation of the formation of the magnetic lineations showing (i) 2002 presurge configuration and irregular margin of Von Postbreen. (ii) 2004 surge maximum showing the orientations of shear in the NW section and the lateral spreading and clockwise rotation of surface foliation and magnetic lineation at the SE section. (iii) Present configuration of Tunabreen at time of study (2012).
from overall flow direction of both the AMS fabrics and surface foliation (Figure 10biii).

### 6.5. The Use of AMS for the Analysis of Deformation Within Basal Ice

[45] This study has shown that the detrital component of basal ice contains sediment from which an AMS fabric can be measured and provide insight into subglacial processes. The magnetic fabric appears to be a direct reflection of the petrofabric of the detrital grains within the ice. A magnetic lineation is recorded, parallel to the inferred direction of stretching and simple shear within the ice. This result provides support for the validity of the AMS of subglacial sediment, where magnetic lineations are also seen to form parallel to stretching/shear direction within the sediment [Fleming et al., 2013; Gentoso et al., 2012; Shumway and Iverson, 2009; Thomason and Iverson, 2009]. The potential preservation of AMS fabrics from basal ice to sediment during melt-out requires further study. However, as an AMS fabric is seen within basal ice, caution should be taken when interpreting AMS fabrics in subglacial sediments as being formed solely by bed deformation, especially when an origin through melt-out is suspected.

[44] Utilizing the methodology described here, the AMS technique can be directly reproduced and applied to other glaciers. AMS has several advantages over other petrofabric techniques [Iverson et al., 2008]. The fabric can be determined relatively quickly, accurately, and objectively, and the susceptibility ellipsoid can be calculated in three dimensions. AMS represents the volume average of many grains in each subsample and many subsamples make up a site. Being sensitive to minor changes in the state of strain, investigations of the AMS of basal ice has the potential to provide knowledge on the processes occurring at the ice-bed interface, bridging the gap between the analysis of visible structures at the surface of the glacier and deformation within subglacial sediments. AMS, therefore, has the potential to contribute to the highly debated topic of glacier bed deformation.

[45] AMS has been used to calculate shear strains in deformed rocks and sediment [Borradaile, 1988, 1991]. The link between the AMS fabric strength (based on the degree of clustering of susceptibility axes) and strain has also been investigated within subglacial sediments through experimental work with ring shear devices [Iverson et al., 2008]. This study showed that fabric strength increases with increasing shear strain, up to a point under which steady state fabrics were reached. In the future, it may be possible to apply similar experimental tests to the AMS of basal ice and thus investigate the link between fabric strength and strain. Also, in contrast to ice-crystal fabric studies, which measure the c axis orientation of ice crystals [e.g., Bader, 1951; Tison et al., 1994; Wilson and Peternell, 2011], the AMS fabric is dominated by the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic proportion of detrital material in the basal ice. Therefore, the study of AMS in conjunction with ice-crystal fabric analysis allows the detrital portion of the ice to also be analyzed which, in contrast to glacier ice, is not subject to recrystallization under the pressure/temperature ranges encountered in glaciers.

[46] At this study site, although AMS has highlighted interesting variation in the state of strain, the glacier flow direction was never in a doubt. The site was chosen intentionally as visible structures such as the surface longitudinal foliation measured from aerial photographs and the orientations of folds and lineations at the outcrop scale provide a reference frame for comparison with the AMS results. This has enabled further interpretations to be made and shows that folding style is dominated by sheath folds and lineations which form parallel to stretching within the ice. However, one interesting situation in which AMS could be applied is where the flow direction or past strain history is not known or is poorly understood. For example, on large ice sheets where glacier flow is slow and surface structures are absent or where flow direction is ambiguous [e.g., Conway et al., 2002], AMS of basal ice collected from ice cores could potentially be analyzed to provide insight into shear direction at the base of the ice sheet. The AMS technique could also aid research into the subject of massive ground ice, which is thought to originate as the basal portion of pre-existing glaciers often dating back to the Pleistocene, and is often buried and preserved in permafrost regions [Fritz et al., 2011; Waller et al., 2009]. Here little is known about paleoice flow directions, and therefore, AMS could potentially provide considerable paleoglaciological insight.

### 7. Conclusions

[47] The AMS fabrics of basal ice and their relationship to deformation during the most recent surge of Tunabreen have been investigated and number of conclusions can subsequently be drawn:

[48] 1. The AMS of basal ice can be measured, and the three components of the susceptibility ellipsoid can rapidly calculated, in the same way that is commonly done for sediment and rock.

[49] 2. Magnetic fabrics at the sections examined are controlled predominantly by the preferred alignment of inclinations of detrital sediment within the ice. The susceptibility and anisotropy in this sediment is dominated by paramagnetic minerals (presumably phyllosilicate clays). In some samples, a high-coercivity phase, presumably hematite is also present, possibly contributing to the fabric.

[50] 3. The folding style within the deformed basal ice is highly noncylindrical. This is not unusual given the high shear strains expected within the deforming ice and the perturbations in flow that exist across the glacier profile. Within subglacial glaciotectonites, since the deformation of underlying subglacial sediments is largely controlled by the overlying ice motion, noncylindrical folding should be expected.

[51] 4. AMS lineations are parallel to, and independently verified by, the macroscopic lineation given by the presence of stretching lineations and the axes of sheath folds. The orientation of stretching lineations in basal ice has the potential to be used as a proxy for stretching direction within the strain ellipse, in the same way that is used in structural geology.

[52] 5. Magnetic lineations at the NW section have been affected by lateral shear, causing a minor amount of deviation of the lineations away from being parallel to the mean trend of the macroscopic foliation, reflecting this non coaxial deformation. At the SE section, the irregular presurge configuration of the contact between Tunabreen and Von Postbreen has affected strain patterns and led to the anticlockwise rotation of magnetic lineations, stretching lineations, and the macroscopic foliation, resulting in a magnetic lineation orientated subparallel to the dominant glacier flow direction.
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