Vein fluorite U-Pb dating demonstrates post–6.2 Ma rare-earth element mobilization associated with Rio Grande rifting
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ABSTRACT

Numerous studies have documented rare-earth element (REE) mobility in hydrothermal and metamorphic fluids, but the processes and timing of REE mobility are rarely well constrained. The Round Top laccolith in the Trans-Pecos magmatic province of west Texas, a REE ore prospect, has crosscutting fractures filled with fluorite and calcite along with a variety of unusual minerals. Most notably among these is an yttrium and heavy rare-earth element (YHREE) carbonate mineral, which is hypothesized to be lokkaite based on elemental analyses. While the Round Top laccolith is dated to 36.2 ± 0.6 Ma based on K/Ar in biotite, U-Pb fluorite and nacrite ages presented here clearly show the mineralization in these veins is younger than 6.2 ± 0.4 Ma (the age of the oldest fluorite). This discrepancy in dates suggests that fluids interacted with the laccolith to mobilize REE more than 30 m.y. after igneous emplacement. The timing of observed REE mobilization overlaps with Rio Grande rift extension, and we suggest that F-bearing fluids associated with extension may be responsible for initial mobilization. A later generation of fluids was able to dissolve fluorite, and we hypothesize this later history involved sulfuric acid. Synchrontron spectroscopy and laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) U-Pb dating of minerals that record these fluids offer tremendous potential for a more fundamental understanding of processes that are important not only for REE but other ore deposits as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) has revolutionized geochemical analyses because it significantly reduces measurement time and allows for sample exploration in the form of elemental maps and sample transects. LA-ICP-MS is especially useful for making trace-element measurements on rocks with heterogeneous compositions and complex fluid histories. The ability to preserve mineral fabrics is particularly important in geochronology, where spatiotemporal records aid geologic interpretations. For complex geologic systems, such as hydrothermally or metamorphically altered rocks, detail often occurs on scales smaller than even a <200 μm laser can distinguish. In these cases, pairing LA-ICP-MS with spectroscopic techniques such as Raman or synchrotron is an innovative way to assess the geochemical composition of the rocks being analyzed.

Hydrothermally altered rocks often have complicated tectonic and fluid histories that make it difficult to reconstruct the processes leading to ore genesis in the rock record. Dating these geochemically complex deposits remains challenging. Geochronologic methods, including K-Ar and Ar-Ar on K-bearing vein materials; Sm-Nd on fluorite and garnet; Rb-Sr on sphalerite; U-Pb and Th-Pb on calcite and several other less abundant U-bearing phases have added considerably to constraining ore mineralization. More recently, the application of in situ techniques such as laser ablation ICP-MS and sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) has greatly expanded the scope for dating mineralization, particularly with U-Pb dating of carbonates and opal (Li et al., 2004; Pfaff et al., 2009; Burisch et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Walter et al., 2018).

We studied a suite of hydrothermal veins that crosscut the Round Top laccolith (referred to subsequently as Round Top) in the Trans-Pecos magmatic province of west Texas. These veins contain high concentrations of trace elements such as REE and U and are heterogeneous on a micro-scale. We used a variety of microscopy and spectroscopy techniques to elucidate the mineralogy and details of REE enrichment in this important REE deposit. Based on these findings, we used LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating of fluorite, nacrite, and calcite to place age constraints on vein formation events. The combined approaches allow us to identify at least two types of fluids that show clear relationships to REE mobilization. Pairing absolute and relative geochronology with REE patterns, we identify multiple REE remobilization events that occurred between 6 and 4 Ma. Knowledge of the timing of geochemistry of these fluids might offer clues into the specific complexing ligands and the mechanism for remobilization.
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Rare-earth elements have long been used as geochemical proxies to characterize hydrothermal environments. Fractionation of REEs in the fluid phase can be traced back to geochemical processes including sorption, complexation, and coprecipitation (Bau, 1991; Bau and Moller, 1992; Lottermoser, 1992). Remobilization of REE has been documented in several suites of hydrothermally altered rocks (Giré, 1990; Ngwenya, 1994; Fan et al., 2004; van Dongen et al., 2010; Sheard et al., 2012; Genna et al., 2014; Li and Zhou, 2015; Debruyne et al., 2016; Cánovas et al., 2018). The mechanisms for REE remobilization are not well characterized (Williams-Jones et al., 2012; Li and Zhou, 2015). The use of geochronologic constraints to resolve REE remobilization paired with spectroscopic imaging techniques provides a possible framework for exploring these methods in future work.

## 2. GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Round Top Mountain rhyolite is part of the Sierra Blanca Complex, located within the Trans-Pecos magmatic province of west Texas (Fig. 1). The Trans-Pecos province extends to southern New Mexico to the north, the Pecos River to the east, and the Mexico-U.S. border to the west and south (Barker, 1987; Henry and McDowell, 1986; Price et al., 1987, 1990; O’Neill et al., 2017). Trans-Pecos magmatism occurred mainly 48–32 Ma and has been divided into two major phases (Henry and McDowell, 1986; Henry et al., 1989; Price et al., 1990; Rubin et al., 1993). The early phase (48–38 Ma) is characterized by numerous small, silicic-to-mafic igneous intrusions, mafic lava flows, and two minor caldera eruptions (Henry and McDowell, 1986; Price et al., 1990). The late phase (38–32 Ma) is calc-alkaline and alkalic volcanism, comprising the most voluminous episode of Trans-Pecos magmatism (Henry and Price, 1984; Henry and McDowell, 1986; Price et al., 1990). Sierra Blanca Peak and, presumably, the rest of the Sierra Blanca Complex laccoliths, including Round Top, were emplaced during the main phase of Paleogene bimodal Trans-Pecos magmatic activity at 36.2 ± 0.6 Ma (K/Ar in biotite; Henry and McDowell 1986). Absolute ages of emplacement of the four other laccoliths have not been determined, but a relative emplacement sequence can be interpreted through major- and trace-element differentiation patterns. Based on the increasing enrichment of REEs, especially the increasing ratio of heavy REEs (HREEs) to light REEs (LREEs) between the five laccoliths, Round Top is one of only a few known minable REE resources in the United States. Previous work on the elemental composition of mineral grains by electron microprobe indicates that the REEs in the Round Top laccolith are hosted by bastnäsite-(Ce), (Ce)-rich fluorite, yttrofluorite, xenotime-Y , zircon, aeschynite-(Ce), a Ca-Th-Pb fluoride, and possibly ancylite-(La) and cerianite-(Ce) (Rubin et al., 1987; Price et al., 1990; O’Neill et al., 2017). Based on the anhedral and interstitial nature of the REE-bearing trace phases, they appear to crystallize late in the history of the laccolith (O’Neill et al., 2017). Additionally, synchrotron X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (EXAFS) of the Round Top rhyolite has been used to suggest that virtually all the yttrium, a proxy for the chemical speciation of HREEs, is hosted in yttrofluorite (Bau and Dulski, 1995; Pingitore et al., 2014; Ponnurangam et al., 2015). Laccolith-hosted yttrofluorite is anhedral and interstitial in nature, suggesting that it crystallized late in the petrogenetic sequence. Yttrofluorite occurs along the outer portion of feldspar phenocrysts, within K-feldspar overgrowths, as inclusions, and within the K-feldspar portion of the rhyolite groundmass (O’Neill et al., 2017). Crosscutting fractures have mineral cements including fluorite and calcite.

Northwest-trending normal faults from Basin and Range extension dissect the Trans-Pecos region. Faults of similar orientation cut the Round Top laccolith (Fig. 1). Recent work on the Rio Grande rift suggests near synchronous rifting along the entire length between 25 and 10 m.y. ago (Ricketts et al., 2016). Based on basin subsidence, rifting was rapid until 8 Ma, followed by a significant hiatus coincident in time with Great Plains tilting at 6–4 Ma (van Wijk et al., 2016). It is suggested that the tilting and uplift are associated with a mantle
upwelling and the rate of extension has remained similar since this tilting (van Wijk et al., 2018). A compilation of strain rates across the western United States shows extension in the region beginning ca. 20 Ma and continuing to the present with important transtension between 12 and 4 Ma (Bahadori et al., 2018). Melt inclusions in olivine from basalts of the Rio Grande rift show an east to west decrease in volatiles particularly in the southern Rio Grande rift (Rowe et al., 2015). Changes in volatile budgets through time appear to reflect increasingly important asthenosphere sources following the loss of the Precambrian lithosphere (Rowe et al., 2015).

### 3. ANALYTICAL METHODS

We collected samples from an ~10-m-wide highly brecciated zone within one of these fault zones (pictured in Supporting Materials 1). Samples were taken from within a mineralized zone that had a variety of textures with evidence for brecciation and dissolution followed by new generations of mineral formation. The vein material was found within a powdery, disaggregated matrix, and the orientations of the vein materials were not likely primary.

#### 3.1 Sample Preparation

Samples were slabbed and polished into approximately cm-sized, mm-thick wafers for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), synchrotron X-ray spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, and laser ablation analyses.

#### 3.2 Elemental Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy imaging and element dispersive spectra (EDS) analyses were performed at Stony Brook University (SBU) Material Sciences facility with a Zeiss LEO1550 SEM. Samples were gold coated twice with 3 nm (6 nm total) at an angle of 30° using an Edwards 150B “sputter coater.” Samples were mounted together, and copper tape was placed across samples to prevent charging of the surface. Images were collected with a Robinson backscatter detector (RBSD) and a beam energy of 20 keV. The EDS and EDAX spectra were collected from an EDAX Phoenix sapphire detector, using software and electronics from iXRF Systems.

#### 3.3 Raman Spectroscopy

Micro-Raman spectroscopy was conducted at the Center for Planetary Exploration (CPEX) at SBU. We used a WITec alpha300 R confocal imaging system equipped with 532 nm Nd:YAG (neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet; Nd:Y_3Al_5O_12) laser with 5 mW nominal power at the sample surface and a 50x (NA = 0.8) objective (spot size of 763 nm). Spot analyses consisted of 240 1-second integrations, which were averaged. Micro-Raman maps consist of 75 × 75 µm areas acquired with 150 × 150 points per line and integration times of 0.3 seconds. Removal of cosmic rays and visualization were done within the WITec Control4 software.

#### 3.4 Synchrotron XRF Spectromicroscopy

Initial micro-focused synchrotron X-ray fluorescence (S-XRF) imaging was performed at the X-ray microprobe beamline X26A, at the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS), Brookhaven National Laboratory. Mapping was conducted using a monochromatic X-ray beam tuned to 18 keV using a Si(111) channel-cut monochromator. Monochromatic X-rays were focused to a beam size of 5 × 8 μm (V × H) using a pair of 100-mm-long, elliptically bent, Rh-coated silicon mirrors in a Kirkpatrick-Baez geometry. Photon flux at this incident beam energy was ~3 × 10^8 photons per second. X-ray fluorescence spectra were collected using two single-element Vortex-EX silicon-drift-diode detectors and one four-element Vortex-ME4 silicon-drift-diode detector (SII Nanotechnology). Compositional maps were collected in a continuous scan mode as described in Lanzirotti et al. (2010).

Follow-up synchrotron XRF elemental imaging was performed at the submicron-resolution X-ray spectroscopy (SRX) and X-ray fluorescence microprobe (XFM) beamlines at the National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS-II), as well as the beamline 13-ID-E at the advanced photon source (APS). At the SRX beamline, samples were mounted at 35° to incident beam, while a three-element silicon-drift-diode detector was placed at 90° relative to the incident beam. XRF maps were collected by raster scanning samples through the micro-focused beam with a spot size of 2 × 2 μm. At the X-ray fluorescence microprobe (XFM) beamline, a large XRF map was collected with the sample mounted at 45° relative to the micro-focused incident beam with a spot size of 5 × 8 μm. Data were collected using on-the-fly scanning with a 125 ms dwell time using a four-element Vortex-ME4 silicon-drift-diode detector with incident energy tuned to 17.3 keV. At beamline 13-ID-E, samples were mounted at 45° relative to the incident beam, and samples were raster scanned with a micro-focused spot size of 1 × 1 μm. X-ray fluorescence was measured with an incident beam energy to two different energies above and below the U L-edge binding energy, 17.08 and 17.18 keV, using a four-element silicon-drift-diode detector (Hitachi) mounted at 90° relative to incident beam. Two incident beam energies, 17.08 and 17.18 keV, above and below the U L-edge binding energy, were used at 13-ID-E to correct for spectral overlaps of the U L-edge emission lines with emission lines due to Rb and Sr K-edge fluorescence, which in fluorite can be very pronounced with energy dispersive analysis at the energy resolution of the detector. These overlaps are strongest on the U Lα emission line but negligible when generating maps using the U Lβ line.

S-XRF microprobe measurements were made in the “tender” energy range of 1 to 5 keV, at the tender energy X-ray spectroscopy (TES) beamline 8-BM and at NSLS-II and at beamline X15B at NSLS (Northrup et al., 2016; Northrup,
3.5 Laser Ablation Element Analyses and U-Pb Geochronology

Laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) analyses were conducted at the Facility for Isotope Research and Student Training (FIRST) at SBU. A 213 UV New Wave laser system coupled to an Agilent 7500cx quadrupole ICP-MS was used for the analyses. Data sets include $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$, $^{208}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}$, and $^{206}\text{Pb}$ isotopes, which were collected sequentially for 0.1 seconds each throughout 30 second ablations. An 80 µm spot size was used for most of the analyses (40 and 160 µm spots were also used in some of the sequences). For all of the analytical sessions, unknowns were bracketed by at least five standard spots, and two standard spots were interspersed after every five unknown spots. A typical session includes 20–30 unknowns and 16–20 standards. The WC-1 calcite standard (Roberts et al., 2017) was used as a standard of known age for all mineralogies (carbonate, fluorite, and other minerals) due to the lack of standards for these unusual minerals, and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 612 was used for Pb isotope fractionation correction and for approximating element concentrations using signal intensity ratios. Data were reduced in iolite (Paton et al., 2011) using the U-Pb Geochronology 3 (or 4) data reduction scheme for U-Pb analyses with NIST 612 as the primary Pb-U standard. Element concentrations were processed with the trace-element data reduction schemes (DRS) in semiquantitative mode using NIST 612 as the standard. These DRS subtract baselines and correct for downhole fractionation and drift of the signal.

For U-Pb LA-ICP-MS, reduced data are plotted using Isoplot (Ludwig, 1998, 2003, 2012) on a modified Tera-Wasserburg isochron plot following the procedure of Parrish et al. (2018). This method calculates radiogenic $^{206}\text{Pb}$ from $^{238}\text{U}$ decay and then subtracts it from total $^{206}\text{Pb}$ to find the amount of common $^{206}\text{Pb}$ (208c). This value is then used in plotting $^{206}\text{Pb}$/total$^{206}\text{Pb}$ versus $^{238}\text{U}$/total$^{206}\text{Pb}$. Using $^{206}\text{Pb}$/total$^{206}\text{Pb}$ also allows for common Pb-corrected $^{238}\text{U}$/total$^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages without having to measure $^{206}\text{Pb}$. Using this plot, the age and uncertainty corresponding to the X-axis intercept were used. Individual data points were also corrected for common Pb using a Stacey-Kramers model age value of $^{206}\text{Pb}$/total$^{206}\text{Pb}$ and plotted as a weighted average for related samples (Data Set S1 [footnote 1]). All uncertainties on age calculations were reported as 2σ.

A secondary normalization used WC-1 for calcite, fluorite, and nacrite. Although it would have been desirable to have matrix-matched standards, we make the assumption that while some bias between calcite and fluorite may exist, it is likely to be less than the ~7% demonstrated by Parrish et al. (2018) between calcite and zircon. A further potential issue in our dating is the lack of knowledge of the initial U activity ratio ($^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$) of the U-rich fluids. High activity can lead to the presence of unsupported $^{206}\text{Pb}$, which would cause age calculations to be too old. This effect has been well documented in calcite in speleothems of later Pleistocene age. However, given the mainly late Miocene and Pliocene ages of this study, it would be nearly impossible to measure any residual disequilibrium, and therefore we are unable to make any quantifiable correction for this effect. We have not added any additional uncertainty arising from these sources of potential bias because they are likely insignificant relative to the range of ages and magnitude of reported uncertainties presented here and therefore hold little potential to change the overall interpretation of ages and their geological significance. Furthermore, isochron ages presented here have a spread of mean square of weighted deviates (MSWDs) that range from under to over dispersed, with some much larger than 1. Modeled ages with over-dispersed MSWDs are treated with caution, but the ages are entirely consistent with better constrained isochrons.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Host Rhyolite Relationships to Vein Minerals within the Fracture Zone

Within the fracture zone, we find fragments of host rhyolite with and without vein minerals. Veins that cut the host rock (Figs. 2A and 2B) are filled with a mixture of nacrite and fluorite. Fragments of host rock and nacrite show in situ brecciation, and the area around the breccia clasts is filled by a white calcite cement (Figs. 2B–2G and 2G). Nacrite, which can be light purple (Figs. 2BD and 2G top), pink (Fig. 2G), light green (Fig. 2D bottom), or tan (Fig. 2C), commonly forms layers that are followed by green fluorite and then white calcite (Figs. 2B–2G). As seen in Figure 2D, sometimes these layers appear to have formed on a preexisting calcite cement. A YHREE carbonate, which we hypothesize to be lokkkale but refer to henceforth as YHREE carbonate due to uncertainty in its identification, commonly occurs at boundaries between fluorite and calcite, as shown in section 4.1.3. Fluorite is also found along (Fig. 2E) as well as in the form of brecciated clasts within white calcite matrix (Fig. 2F and 2G). Some examples of the breccia have clasts of primarily nacrite and fluorite within a white calcite cement (Fig. 2G). Calcite is the principal mineral within the fracture with a variety of geometries and types, but the most common of those is a lighter-colored calcite. Dark-brown calcite occurs both as a mixture and independent of this white calcite (Fig. 2H). There are variations on the samples shown here with fluorite and nacrite forming layers as well as clasts. We have not found calcite as clasts in the breccia. We see a
gradation between layered samples (Figs. 2B and 2D) and highly brecciated samples (Figs. 2C, 2F, and 2G). Calcite appears to mineralize last, filling spaces and perhaps displacing other minerals during growth in at least some cases. For example, in Figure 2B, the green fluorite is fragmented and separated by calcite even though it forms a layer over nacrite.

4.1.1 Nacrite

Raman mapping of the microcrystalline phase shown in Figures 2B, 2D, and 2G (labeled n) is consistent with nacrite Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄ with several strong Raman peaks at 126, 195, 318, 524, 747, 3619, and 3696 ∆ cm⁻¹ (Figs. 3B and 3C). Raman maps are consistent elemental chemistry, which indicates an Al-rich phase. In some cases, other cations (particularly Mg and Fe) are present, which may indicate a solid solution with dickite. Raman spectroscopy is not able to distinguish between nacrite and dickite. Here, for simplicity, we refer to this phase as nacrite, although we note there may be chemical complexity. The peak at 318 ∆ cm⁻¹ is consistent with fluorite, suggesting that this phase is a mix of nacrite + fluorite (Fig. 3C). This nacrite and fluorite association is at the submicron scale, because it is not possible to distinguish between them at the highest magnification with the SEM or mapping with a Raman spot size.
of 763 nm (Fig. 3C), but XRD confirms the presence of fluorite in this phase (Fig. 3D; Table 1). Nacrite is found as layers and crosscutting veins within the host rhyolite and as breccia clasts within white calcite matrix. Based on cross-cutting relationships between nacrite and the host rock, as well as nacrite’s relationship with fluorite and calcite, nacrite appears to be the first mineral deposited in the fracture zone. Nacrite is always associated with fluorite in these veins, both as an intimate mix and with fluorite capping it.

No finely dispersed REE phases are identifiable in the nacrite using SEM imaging. Synchrotron XRF element mapping shows areas of elevated concentrations of Y within nacrite, suggesting that it is either itself Y enriched or that it contains finely dispersed REE minerals at less than the spatial resolution of the incident beam (2 µm, Fig. 4B). Yttrium X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra collected for enriched areas in the nacrite are indistinguishable from spectra collected from YHREE carbonate, while dissimilar from spectra collected from the host rock (Fig. 4A). It thus is likely that Y is present as a similar chemical species in both materials.

Nacrite (dickite) co-occurs with the YHREE carbonate where it lines a zone of brecciation and dissolution within fluorite (Fig. 5D). While nacrite has an intimate association with fluorite in the examples described above, this example shows it can also coexist with the YHREE carbonate independent of fluorite. The mineral is too small to be analyzed by XRD, but Raman spectroscopy confirms it is either nacrite or dickite. Scanning electron microscopy EDS analyses (and TES XRF imaging) demonstrate that some (but not all) occurrences of this mineral have Mg (Figs. 5D and 5G), suggesting it is dickite. Based on microstratigraphic relationships, the clay mineral that occurs with the YHREE carbonate forms later than the green fluorite it caps.

4.1.2 Green Fluorite

Green fluorite is a common phase within the fracture zone. It is found capping the host (Fig. 2A), as layers following nacrite (Figs. 2B and 2D), as clasts within calcite-cemented breccia (Figs. 2F and 2G), and predominantly as fluorite that can be brecciated and have calcite veins (Fig. 2E). Synchrotron XRF element mapping reveals that there are (at least) two distinct generations of similar color fluorite that are separated by a brecciated zone (Figs. 2 and 6). One generation (the top part of Figs. 2E and 6) is enriched in Sr and lower in U; the other generation (the bottom part of Figs. 2E and 6) is enriched in U and lower in Sr. The U in fluorite was determined to be in the 6+ oxidation state of U M₅-edge and L₃-edge XANES. Scanning electron microscopy element mapping reveals fragments of fluorite in the brecciated zone with evidence of dissolution (Fig. 5D). Within the brecciated zone, void surfaces (the voids are easily visualized by examining the Ca map in Fig. 6) are coated with an YHREE mineral, as shown by the Y map (Fig. 6). Physically, the two generations are distinct because the top part is clear, without obvious inclusions, while the bottom part has opaque white layers (Fig. 2E). The white layers are calcite and are discussed below in section 4.1.4.

---

**Table 1. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) of Al-Si Phases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fluorite (%)</th>
<th>Nacrite (%)</th>
<th>Mg-calcite (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tan mineral shown in Figure 2C</td>
<td>70.3 (1.4)</td>
<td>13.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>16.4 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple mineral shown in Figure 2D</td>
<td>83.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>16.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White mineral shown in Figure 2E</td>
<td>91.5 (6.1)</td>
<td>8.5 (6.4)</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data were collected for two hours on a spinning stage; data were processed in X’pert Highscore Plus software; numbers are calculated percent of total as determined by spectral fitting.

---

**Figure 4.** (A) Yttrium K-edge X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) (YHREE carbonate mineral—green; nacrite—red; host rock—blue). Data from submicron-resolution X-ray spectroscopy (SRX) at the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS) II. (B) Synchrotron X-ray fluorescence (S-XRF) map (blue—low concentration; yellow—high concentration) showing Y-rich mineral lining fluorite (rare-earth element) and Y-rich spots in the nacrite. Map dimensions are 0.2 x 0.2 mm. YHREE—yttrium heavy rare-earth element.

**Figure 5.** (A) Visible light image of brecciated green fluorite. (B) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image from the orange boxed area on A. (C) Further zoom on the brecciated fluorite; area indicated by the purple box in B. (D) RGB color image of area in C; red—Ca (fluorite); blue—Mg (dickite and nacrite); green—Y (YHREE-bearing carbonate). (E) Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra of yttrium and heavy rare-earth element (YHREE) carbonate mineral. Note resorbed texture in the fluorite and the close spatial association of the YHREE mineral and dickite.
**4.1.3 YHREE Carbonate**

A YHREE carbonate is found coating dissolution surfaces and lining breccia clasts. This mineral is sometimes associated with nacrite and dickite (Fig. 5D) and is often followed by calcite. A zone of brecciation and dissolution in the green fluorite provides important insight into the timing of this YHREE carbonate, precipitating after green fluorite, directly on dissolution surfaces (Figs. 5D and 6Y).

Similarly, clasts of nacrite and fluorite in breccia samples (such as shown in Figs. 2F and 2G) are coated by a YHREE carbonate mineral that is followed by white calcite (Fig. 7). Energy dispersive spectra mapping of Y shows the pervasive nature of the YHREE mineral coating clasts as well as other surfaces (Figs. 6Y and 7B–7E). The YHREE carbonate is also arranged in nodules (Fig. 7C). Based on EDS spectra, the REE mineral contains Ca, Y, C, O, and HREEs (Fig. 7D) and is most likely lokkaite [Ca(Y, Gd, Nd, Dy)₄(CO₃)₇ · 9H₂O].

**4.1.4 Calcite**

Calcite from within the vein appears to be the latest phase, with multiple generations that may be separated by dissolution surfaces shown by the irregular contacts between generations. Many calcite samples are tabular, suggesting that they formed along fracture surfaces. Most samples have unfilled pore space between layers, suggesting calcite growth does not displace existing vein minerals or that dissolution followed growth. The layers typically show a pattern of growth that alternates between white and brown (Figs. 8A–8C). When viewed in plan view, brown calcite appears to form elongated cm-wave-length ridges on the surface (Fig. 8A). These ridges are underlain by mounded brown calcite (Fig. 8A), suggesting its formation and possibly dissolution are responsible for the ridges. The brown calcite is capped by a spongy tan calcite that appears to be a residue (Fig. 8A). When polished, the white calcite appears to be more amber with white layers (Figs. 8B and 8C). These white layers have elevated Y (Fig. 8C), while the overlying brown calcite has low Y and high U (Fig. 8C).

With SEM imaging, we observed the YHREE carbonate on the irregular surface at the base of the white calcite shown in Figure 8. Synchrotron XRF maps show the REE coating the calcite surface (Fig. 8D). The REE and Y are also elevated in the white layers of the calcite as shown by LA element maps (Fig. 8C). Synchrotron XRF maps show that some REEs occur as discrete phases within the calcite (Fig. 8E). The S-XRF element map also reveals the brecciated nature of the REE-enriched contact between white and brown calcite (Fig. 8E).
Scanning electron microscopy imaging and S-XRF mapping with TES reveal that the opaque white layers within the brecciated fluorite sample (Fig. 2E) are calcite filling dissolution voids after fluorite (Figs. 9B and 9C). Silicon, S, and Y line dissolution surfaces (Figs. 9C and 9D), and Si and Y are highly correlated (Fig. 9D). Uranium is highly concentrated in fluorite, and the U maps show cubic shapes that are now skeletal that are associated with S (Figs. 8E and 9E). Element dispersive spectra of the calcite, at high magnification, without evidence for other phases, show that Al and Si are present at this scale (Fig. 9F).

4.1.5 Mineral Relationships and REE Patterns

We studied a sample that contains all of the recognized phases described above to illuminate mineral relationships (Fig. 10). We used S-XRF mapping at hard (Fig. 10B) and tender energy (Fig. 10C) ranges to map Ca, Al, Mg, S, Si, Y, U, and Fe in this sample. Al and Mg clearly delineate nacrite (Fig. 10B). By comparison, it is clear that S, Ca, Sr, Y, and U are elevated in nacrite (Figs. 10B and 10C). Uranium is highly elevated but zoned in fluorite (Figs. 10B and 10C). The YHREE mineral, as illustrated by the map pattern of Y, occurs between calcite and nacrite (Figs. 10B and 10C), and between fluorite and calcite (Figs. 9D and 9E), but not between nacrite and fluorite.

Rare-earth element patterns based on element analyses by LA-ICPMS show differences between phases. The REE patterns for the nacrite show pronounced negative Ce and Eu anomalies, with middle and heavy REE enrichment and a hump in the MREE (Fig. 11A). Green fluorite has lower REE concentrations but a very similar pattern to the nacrite (Fig. 11B). Calcite also shows the pronounced negative Ce and Eu anomalies, but it is far more depleted in the LREEs and shows a steep rise to the HREE, quite distinct from the nacrite and fluorite REE patterns (Fig. 11C). In some calcite samples, there is a slight increase to higher enrichment in the REE heavier than Ho, perhaps due to mixing with the YHREE mineral (Fig. 11C). Rare-earth element patterns obtained on brown calcite show similar patterns with pronounced negative Ce and Eu anomalies and HREE enrichment. Calcite crust does not have particularly elevated REE, although it does show the typical HREE enrichment of calcite. The YHREE carbonate has middle to heavy REE patterns with a hump at Er (Fig. 11D). Some of the REE elevated areas are more enriched in the light REE.

4.2 U-Pb Geochronology

Fluorite, nacrite, and brown calcite within Round Top fractures are enriched in U and have favorable U-Pb ratios. There are multiple generations of all of these phases based on their relative order as well as on the differences in color, geochemistry, and ages. Traditional Tera-Wasserburg plots yield analytically indistinguishable ages to modified Tera-Wasserburg plots using 232Th-corrected 208Pb as the normalizing isotope. Thus, we follow Parrish et al. (2018) and use the 86TW plot and common Pb-corrected, weighted-average 238U-206Pb plots.
4.2.1 Fluorite

Fluorite is moderately to highly elevated in U, with concentrations of 15–1500 ppm (Data Set S2 [footnote 1]). Pb and Th concentrations are, in most cases, much lower than 1 ppm. Fluorite has a range of 238U/206Pb from 200 to 2024 and ages that range from 6.2 to 3.2 Ma (Fig. 12). The oldest fluorite identified is dark green, forms directly on the host rock (Fig. 2A), and gives an age of 6.2 ± 0.4 Ma (Fig. 12A). Slightly younger ages are found in the well-studied sample BRT-7 shown in Figures 2E, 5, 6, and 9; this sample has two geochemically different generations (Fig. 6). The older fluorite generation, found on the bottom of the sample as oriented in Figure 6, gives an age of 5.5 ± 1.1 Ma (Fig. 12B). The next oldest fluorite forms very thin coatings of dark-purple fluorite on clasts of host rock (Fig. 2B); it gives an age of 5.2 ± 0.1 Ma (Fig. 12C). A dark-green fluorite that follows purple nacrite and fluorite precipitation gives an age of 5.0 ± 0.5 Ma (Fig. 12D). Green fluorite clasts within calcite-cemented breccia (Figs. 2F and 2G) are the next youngest generation and give overlapping ages of 4.9 ± 0.3 Ma and 4.7 ± 0.2 Ma (Figs. 12E and 12F). All of the above ages are in fact indistinguishable from ca. 5 Ma. The second generation of fluorite found in the top of the BRT-7 (Figs. 2E, 5, 6, and 9) is 4.1 ± 0.6 Ma (Fig. 12G). A dark-green fluorite that follows green nacrite gives the youngest fluorite age at 3.2 ± 0.4 Ma (Fig. 12H).

4.2.2 Nacrite

Nacrite occurs in four different colors with variable U, Th, and Pb concentrations (Data Set S2 [footnote 1]). Th and Pb concentrations are far higher than fluorite, and thus the 238U/206Pb is generally lower. The ages of nacrite overlap with those of fluorite. The oldest generation of nacrite is tan and occurs as breccia clasts with host rock (Fig. 2C). This generation of nacrite is surrounded by a thin layer of purple fluorite and gives an indistinguishable age from that fluorite of 5.6 ± 1.3 Ma (Fig. 13A). A purple nacrite layer from the sample shown in Figure 2B clearly crosscuts the host rock and gives an age of 3.5 ± 1.9 Ma (Fig. 13B). Another purple nacrite layer capping green fluorite (Fig. 2D) and a pink nacrite (Fig. 2G) with surrounding green fluorite give indistinguishable ages of 3.4 ± 0.4 Ma and 3.3 ± 1.0 Ma (Figs. 13C and 13D). A green nacrite layer, also from Figure 2D, gives a slightly younger age of 3.3 ± 0.1 Ma (Fig. 13E). The youngest nacrite measured was a small green clast from the sample in Figure 2G, which gave an age of 2.8 ± 0.6 Ma (Fig. 13G).

4.2.3 Calcite

Calcite occurs as multiple generations with dissolution surfaces in between. For the most part, the calcite has low U and unfavorable 238U/206Pb (Data Set S2 [footnote 1]). A white calcite with curved crystals makes up the matrix material for most of the veins we studied and encases breccia clasts (Figs. 2F and 2G). This calcite has low U and low U-Pb and is therefore not suitable for dating; based on relative relationships, it occurs later than fluorite and the YHREE carbonate. Another calcite sample has a distinctive brown color and has elevated Y and YHREE carbonates on its lower surface (Fig. 2H). The elevated Y, as well as the color and texture, suggest it is a separate generation from the breccia-cementing white calcite. This calcite has some layers of high U-Pb. Combined, the calcite gives an age of 1.7 ± 0.1 Ma (Fig. 13F).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 U-Pb Geochronology

This study explores the utility of U-Pb dating of fluorite, nacrite, and calcite paragenesis in REE mineralization. Given the ubiquitous occurrence of these minerals in hydrothermal systems, U-Pb dating holds great potential for constraining the age of associated ore minerals. We use multiple microscopy techniques to investigate the relationships between fluorite, nacrite, and vein mineralization, and we combine these observations with LA-ICP-MS element mapping and U-Pb dating to provide absolute time constraints on REE mineralization.

An important contribution of this work is that fluorite and associated nacrite are far younger than the time of igneous crystallization and therefore must have been deposited by secondary fluid events. Rare-earth element ores are often assumed to be deposited during late stage igneous crystallization. However, the 6.2–2.8 Ma fluorite and nacrite ages strongly suggest that fluids responsible for mineralization are associated with Rio Grande rifting. Combining...
geochronological evidence with the imaging techniques, we recognize the following sequence of events at Round Top: (1) fracturing and alteration of the host rock with deposition of fluorite on fracture surfaces; (2) further fracturing of host rock and early nacrite deposition, lined by a second generation of fluorite, in this case, both purple and green; (3) even younger nacrite and fluorite line veins within the fractures and are capped by green fluorite of the same ages; (4) brecciation of host and vein material with evidence of some dissolution of fluorite; (5) breccia clasts are lined by a YHREE carbonate; and (6) several generations of calcite, some of which are themselves lined by the YHREE carbonate (Fig. 14). The calcite that surrounds breccia clasts does not have U-Pb ratios that allow us to date it, but brown calcite found in association with it is 1.7 Ma (Fig. 2H). Nacrite has high REE, Th, and U, while fluorite has low REE with the same pattern, low Th, and high U. The white calcite that postdates the YHREE carbonate has extremely HREE-enriched patterns: up to 1000× HREE concentrations of post-Archean Australian shale (PAAS). The dated brown calcite has low REE concentrations, and no REE minerals are observed after its formation. Thus, REE mobility is constrained to be between 6.2 and 1.7 Ma.

5.2 Multiple Fluid Events over the Past 6.2 Ma

The fluid responsible for earliest fracture vein mineralization produced an intimate mixture of cryptocrystalline nacrite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄) and fluorite. The presence of nacrite may suggest hydrothermal activity, as it has been found...
in association with hydrothermal fluorite in other areas (Polyakova, 1967). The presence of significant F in the fluid likely accounts for the solubility of Si and Al as well as the REE, U, and Th. As the fluid reached the fracture, changing conditions, such as seen with fault valves (Ramsay, 1980; Sibson, 1990) caused the precipitation of this nacrite/fluorite mix. The resulting deposit of nacrite/fluorite is enriched in U, Th, Y and REE suggesting that this fluid was a primary driver of trace element mobilization and enrichment. The close association between nacrite and fluorite suggests that this is a progression of precipitation from the same fluid event. U zoning within the fluorite suggests changing fluid conditions during mineral formation. REE patterns of nacrite and fluorite are similar to each other and show pronounced negative Ce and Eu anomalies, as well as enrichment of MREE-HREE relative to PAAS (or chondritic uniform reservoir [CHUR]) (Figs. 11A and 11B). The presence of a negative Ce anomaly is perhaps evidence that the fluid that deposited these minerals was highly oxidizing, which would have helped promote dissolution of primary minerals and trace-element mobilization. The negative Eu anomaly is similar to that measured by O’Neill et al. (2017) for the bulk Round Top rhyolite and is therefore not likely to be the result of fractionation in fluids related to vein mineralization. Fluorite has a range of ages from 6.2 to 3.2 Ma, with the earliest generation forming directly on the host rock. Later generations of fluorite clearly postdate nacrite precipitation because these are always found capping the nacrite surface. The nacrite ranges in age from 5.6 to 2.8 Ma. Brecciation of host rock, nacrite, and fluorite clearly demonstrates a later fluid event that likely accompanied fault movement. We find host rock and tan nacrite clasts lined by a purple fluorite that is 5.2 Ma. This fluorite has significantly greater HREE enrichment, relative to other REE, than any other
fluorite we analyzed (Fig. 11B) and occupies clast surfaces, similar to the mineralization of the YHREE mineral in later events. Fluorite and nacrite clasts ranging from 4.9 to 2.8 Ma are lined by an YHREE carbonate. A 4.1 Ma fluorite generation has resorption textures that demonstrate a fluid that was able to dissolve fluorite (Figs. 6, 9D, and 9E). It is not possible to say what caused the brecciation events, but many clasts have boundaries that clearly fit with neighboring clasts suggesting that some brecciation was in situ (Figs. 2B, 2C, and 2F). Importantly, these younger clasts are almost always lined by YHREE carbonate and are followed by white calcite with curved crystals. This calcite is depleted in LREE but is enriched HREE (Fig. 11C). We suggest that this YHREE mineral and calcite association is an evolution of precipitation from a fluid where the YHREE mineral is less soluble and precipitates first. The calcite growth could displace earlier minerals, but the brecciation must have occurred prior to the precipitation of the YHREE carbonate.

Clearly several distinct fluid events are recorded in Round Top veins. The fluorite and nacrite have a range of ages suggesting that a fluid with F was important from 6.2 to 2.8 Ma. Some of the fluorite has been brecciated and dissolved, demonstrating that a very different fluid chemistry followed fluorite mineralization. Finally, we see evidence for carbonate precipitation punctuated by multiple dissolution events that followed the brecciation events. Even with this complexity, two fluid types reasonably encompass the observations made: an early F-bearing fluid that resulted in multiple episodes of nacrite and fluorite precipitation, followed by one that dissolved fluorite and then precipitated carbonates presumably as it became saturated through mineral dissolution. However, what is less clear is the source of the REE. Rare-earth elements are highly enriched in nacrite and thus the first proposed fluid was able to mobilize REE. The REE patterns are enriched in the MREE and HREE with a pronounced positive hump across the MREEs (Fig. 11A). There are numerous REE-bearing minerals in the Round Top laccolith, and it is striking that one of the zircon generations shows abundant evidence for dissolution (Rubin et al., 1987; O’Neill et al., 2014, 2017). We hypothesize that the fluorine-bearing fluid was able to dissolve silicates and deliver the Al, Si, REE, and U to the veins. With the exception of the Ce anomaly, the REE pattern is similar to published patterns for zircons (O’Neill et al., 2017).

The second fluid can clearly dissolve fluorite as shown by resorption textures in vein fluorite. Given the oxidizing nature of this fluid, it is possible that it dissolved HREE-bearing yttrofluorite from the laccolith. Previous literature has suggested using sulfuric acid for dissolving yttrofluorite for extraction of REE (Pingitore et al., 2014; Negron et al., 2016). Within areas of the fluorite with evidence for dissolution, we see sulfur in close association with the YHREE carbonate (Fig. 9E). We speculate that the fluid was sulfuric acid bearing. A similar dissolution mechanism has been proposed in the Carlsbad area, where sulfuric acid is hypothesized to have been responsible for cavern formation in Permian carbonates (Davis, 1973; Jagnow, 1978; Davis, 1980; Hill, 1981). This sulfuric acid is thought to have resulted from Basin and Range uplift mobilizing oil and brines with associated H2S that mixed with meteoric water as it neared the surface. Likewise, oxidation of sulfide minerals in wall rocks will also generate sulfuric acid. Regardless of the mechanism, uplift associated with Rio Grande rifting as well as Basin and Range faulting is important in the Trans-Pecos region and provides mechanisms for sulfuric acid formation.

5.3 Round Top Vein Ages Match Period of Rio Grande Rift-Related Tectonism

To the north of Round Top, in the Bingham mine area of New Mexico, massive fluorite deposits in the Rio Grande rift have been attributed to a mantle source of fluorine (Partey et al., 2009). The ages for vein material at Round Top match the timing of a hiatus in Rio Grande rifting and a period of eastward tilting of the western Great Plains related to dynamic mantle upwelling (van Wijk et al., 2018). Across the Great Basin, there is a strong correlation between fluorine and transtensional tectonics (Holt et al., 2015, 2018). A recent synthesis of strain rates across the western United States demonstrates that the Rio Grande rift in the Trans Pecos region was under transtension between 12 and 4 Ma (Bahadori et al., 2018). The fluorite in the Bingham mine area has very low U and U-Pb and is not amenable to dating. Perhaps the difference at Round Top is that the fluid interacted with a U- and REE-enriched body. Based on the evolution of vein mineralization at Round Top, fluorine was important between 6.5 and 2.8 Ma, consistent with this being an interval of transtension in the Rio Grande rift. Magmatism of this age is not known in Trans-Pecos Texas (Henry and McDowell, 1986) and is limited and localized in the Rio Grande rift.
rift in southern New Mexico (Aldrich et al., 1986). The results of radiometric
dating of nacrite, fluorite, and calcite veins in this study suggest the potential
to constrain episodes of transtensional tectonics and deep fluid input even in
areas that lack contemporaneous magmatic bodies at the surface.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We show that there is great potential for LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating of hydro-
thermal minerals to illuminate the timing of fluid events and to date associated
ore deposits. At Round Top laccolith, we have evidence for multiple fluid events.
Early fluid events have elevated REE with MREE-enriched patterns in nacrite and
fluorite and range in age from 6.2 to 3.2 Ma. Later fluids brecciated and dis-
solved fluorite and nacrite and precipitated highly HREE-enriched carbonates:
An YHREE carbonate followed by calcite. These minerals could not be directly
dated but are bracketed by 2.8 Ma nacrite and a 1.7 Ma calcite that has low
REE. The most important observation here is that the timing of mobilization
greatly postdates igneous emplacement. Instead the timing is consistent with
that of Rio Grande rifting. Fluorite deposits to the north in New Mexico are sug-
gested to have a mantle source (Parthey et al., 2009). Perhaps smaller amounts
of fluorine fluxed through the Trans-Pecos area, mobilizing REE from various
accessory minerals in the laccolith. It appears that an even more aggressive
fluid followed that was capable of dissolving fluorides, the primary REE host
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future work understanding the geochemical processes that remobilize REE.
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