H2A.Z Facilitates Access of Active and Repressive Complexes to Chromatin in Embryonic Stem Cell Self-Renewal and Differentiation
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SUMMARY

Chromatin modifications have been implicated in the self-renewal and differentiation of embryonic stem cells (ESCs). However, the function of histone variant H2A.Z in ESCs remains unclear. We show that H2A.Z is highly enriched at promoters and enhancers and is required for both efficient self-renewal and differentiation of murine ESCs. H2A.Z deposition leads to an abnormal nucleosome structure, decreased nucleosome occupancy, and increased chromatin accessibility. In self-renewing ESCs, knockdown of H2A.Z compromises OCT4 binding to its target genes and leads to decreased binding of MLL complexes to active genes and of PRC2 complex to repressed genes. During differentiation of ESCs, inhibition of H2A.Z also compromises RA-induced RARα binding, activation of differentiation markers, and the repression of pluripotency genes. We propose that H2A.Z mediates such contrasting activities by acting as a general facilitator that generates access for a variety of complexes, both activating and repressive.

INTRODUCTION

Recent studies have revealed that eukaryotic genomes are characterized by a large number of histone modification patterns and chromatin states, which are dependent on the differentiation status of cells (Ernst et al., 2011). In all cell types, inactive genes are mainly associated with the repressive H3K27me3 mark, while nucleosomes at active promoters and enhancers are often associated with multiple different modifications including a variety of histone methylations, histone acetylation, and deposition of histone variants (Barski et al., 2007; Bruce et al., 2005; Goldberg et al., 2010; Guenther et al., 2007; Heintzman et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2005; Mikkelsen et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008).

The histone variant H2A.Z is conserved from yeast to humans and is implicated in multiple nuclear processes, including genome integrity, X chromosome inactivation, DNA repair, and transcriptional regulation (Zlatanova and Thakar, 2008), by impacting chromatin structure (Goldman et al., 2010; Jin and Felsenfeld, 2007; Kim et al., 2009; Thambirajah et al., 2006; Tolstorukov et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2002, 2004). H2A.Z is localized to promoters of active genes in various systems, suggesting a positive role in gene regulation (Barski et al., 2007; Bruce et al., 2005; Conerly et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2009; Dryhurst et al., 2009; Hardy et al., 2009; Leach et al., 2000; Ren and Gorovsky, 2001; Valdés-Mora et al., 2012; Zilberman et al., 2008). In contrast, H2A.Z was first described as a component of pericentric heterochromatin (Rangasamy et al., 2003). Particularly in embryonic stem cells (ESCs), H2A.Z was reported to localize exclusively at polycomb complex target genes and mediate the targeting of PRC2 and repression of these genes, but not be required for the self-renewal of ESCs (Creighton et al., 2008).

To clarify the function of H2A.Z in ESCs and to understand how H2A.Z functions together with other mechanisms of chromatin modification such as histone methylation by the MLL family of histone H3K4 methyltransferases, we investigated the genomic distribution profiles of H2A.Z, acetylated H2A.Z, histone modifications H3K4me3 and H3K27me3, and RbBP5 (a core subunit of the MLL complexes) in mouse ESCs using ChIP-seq and tested the activities of H2A.Z in ESC self-renewal and differentiation. Our data indicate that H2A.Z is enriched at active enhancers and promoters and facilitates chromatin accessibility to allow binding of a variety of active and repressive complexes required for ESC self-renewal and differentiation.

RESULTS

H2A.Z Is Highly Enriched at Active Promoters and Enhancers in ESCs

We profiled the genome-wide distribution of H2A.Z in mouse ESCs with ChIP-seq using antibodies that recognize acetylated H2A.Z (hereafter aH2A.Z) (Bruce et al., 2005) and H2A.Z
irrespective of its state of acetylation (hereafter pan H2A.Z). This led to the identification of 51,536 islands of pan H2A.Z and 32,248 islands of acH2A.Z using the SICER program (Zang et al., 2009). The islands are highly enriched at promoter and enhancer regions (Figures S1A–S1C). A positive correlation between gene expression levels and the enrichment of pan H2A.Z and acH2A.Z surrounding TSSs was found (Figure 1A). The percentage of H2A.Z acetylation increased with gene expression level (Figure S1D). Interestingly, even at the promoters of genes without detectable levels of expression, two-thirds of the associated H2A.Z islands were acetylated (Figure S1D). We next examined the pan H2A.Z and acH2A.Z levels at enhancers, defined by intergenic p300 sites, and grouped by the levels of H3K27ac, a marker of active enhancers.
H2A.Z and H3K4me3 are more likely to be active

Among the H2A.Z-bound enhancers, 63% are also associated with H3K4me3. We found that the H2A.Z-bound enhancers with H3K4me3 are associated with higher levels of H3K27ac and RNA Pol II and have higher chromatin accessibility than enhancers lacking H3K4me3 (Figure 1Ei–1Eiii). The expression levels of putative target genes (the nearest gene within ±100 kb) for H2A.Z-bound enhancers with H3K4me3 are significantly higher than those without H3K4me3 (Figure 1Ei), suggesting that enhancers with both H2A.Z and H3K4me3 are more likely to be active. The top gene ontology (GO) terms of target genes of H3K4me3-positive H2A.Z-containing enhancers are mainly associated with housekeeping functions such as the regulation of cellular, metabolic, and biosynthetic processes (Figure S1Li), while those for H3K4me3-negative enhancers show preference toward system development and cell differentiation (Figure S1Lii).

H2A.Z promotes H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 by facilitating the binding of the MLL and PRC2 complexes

To test if H2A.Z facilitates histone methylation, we knocked down H2A.Z using shRNAs (Figure S2A) and measured global changes in H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 by ChIP-seq. We first quantified the histone modifications in defined regions (promoters, enhancers) and plotted the fold change (FC) of each histone modification between control and H2A.Z knockdown cells versus the average level of the modification (termed MA analyses hereafter). At promoters we found that H3K4me3 modification was only modestly affected by knocking down H2A.Z, whereas a stronger effect was detected with the H3K27me3 modification (Figure S2B). At enhancers, H2A.Z knockdown resulted in a substantial decrease in both H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 signals (Figure 2A). It is interesting to note that greater decreases in H3K4me3 were detected at weaker sites than at stronger sites (Figure 2A, left panel).

H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 modifications are generated by the MLL and PRC2 complexes, respectively (Schuettengruber et al., 2007). Our data showed that RbBP5, a core subunit of MLL complexes (Cho et al., 2007; Dou et al., 2006), colocalizes with the H3K4me3 and pan/achH2A.Z islands (Figure S2C, upper panels), while SUZ12, a core subunit of the PRC2 complex (Cao and Zhang, 2004), predominantly localizes to the H3K27me3 islands (Figure S2C, lower panels). Consistent with the histone modification changes, both RbBP5 and SUZ12 exhibited substantial decreases in binding to enhancers (Figure 2B) and promoters in the H2A.Z knockdown cells (Figure S2D). Interestingly, H2A.Z knockdown led to greater decreases in RbBP5 binding at enhancers with lower levels of H3K4me3 in contrast to sites with higher levels of H3K4me3 (Figure 2C, left panel), which is consistent with the greater loss of H3K4me3 at enhancers with lower H3K4me3 levels (Figure 2A, left panel). In contrast, SUZ12 binding decreased at all H3K27me3 sites (Figure 2C, right panel).

These results indicate that H2A.Z regulates H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 methylations by facilitating the targeting of the MLL and PRC2 complexes to promoters and particularly to enhancers.
H3K4me3 Promotes H2A.Z Deposition at Enhancers

To test whether H3K4me3 modification influences H2A.Z deposition, we knocked down MLL4, an H3K4 methyltransferase highly expressed in ESCs (Cho et al., 2009) (Figure S2E). Although the expression of MLL4 was decreased by 80%, we did not find detectible changes in overall levels of H3K4me3 by western blotting (data not shown). To determine whether MLL4 nevertheless regulates H3K4 methylation at specific enhancers, we mapped the genome-wide distribution of H3K4me3 in the control and MLL4 knockdown cells and quantified the changes at enhancers by MA analyses. Interestingly, H3K4me3 decreased at a large number of enhancers following MLL4 knockdown, with more severe loss of methylation signals being at enhancers with lower levels of this modification (Figure 2D, left panel). Profiling the distribution of H2A.Z in these cells revealed substantial decreases of H2A.Z at enhancers in

Figure 2. Interregulation of H2A.Z, H3K4me3, and H3K27me3 at Enhancers

(A) MA analysis (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details) for H3K4me3 (left panel) and H3K27me3 (right panel) in enhancer regions. The analysis was made from H2A.Z knockdown cells and shLuc control.

(B) MA analysis for RbBP5 (left panel) and Suz12 (right panel) in enhancer regions, as in (A).

(C) Left panel: RbBP5 islands from enhancer regions were sorted into quartiles by the levels of H3K4me3. Shown for each group is a boxplot (see Figure 1E legend for explanation) for the FC of RbBP5 tag density (shH2A.Z/shLuc). Right panel: as left panel, except the calculation was made for Suz12 and H3K27me3. p values were calculated by the t test.

(D) MA analysis for H3K4me3 (left panel) and H2A.Z (right panel) in enhancer regions. The analysis was made from MLL4 knockdown cells and shLuc control.

(E) Left panel: H2A.Z islands from enhancer regions were sorted into quartiles by the levels of RbBP5 binding. Shown for each group is a boxplot (see Figure 1E legend for explanation) for the FC of RbBP5 tag density (shMLL4/shLuc). Right panel: as left panel, except the calculation was made for H2A.Z and H3K4me3. p values were calculated by the t test.

(F) Empirical cumulative distribution for the FC of H2A.Z ChIP-seq tag density (shMLL4/shLuc) for enhancers that show H3K4me3 decrease by more than 1.5-fold upon MLL4 knockdown (red line). y axis shows the percent of enhancers that exhibit a lower FC of H2A.Z level than the value specified by the x axis. Enhancers that show H3K4me3 increase by more than 1.5-fold are chosen as control (green line). A line shifted to the left means a systematically larger decrease in the H2A.Z levels. p value was calculated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. See also Figure S2 and Table S1.
H2A.Z Regulates ESC Self-Renewal/Differentiation

Figure 3. H2A.Z Regulates Nucleosome Organization and Chromatin Accessibility at Enhancers
(A) The nucleosome level at p300-bound enhancers is significantly increased after knockdown of H2A.Z.
(B) Percentage of short fragments (<120 bp) as a function of position relative to the p300 binding site.
(C) Normalized BNase-seq tag density (blue) and nucleosome tag density (black) in regions ±1 kb around TSS. Inferred nucleosome positions are numbered as indicated. The regions immediately upstream of the TSS and the linker region between nucleosomes +1 and +2 that are preferentially digested by Benzonase are highlighted in gray.
(D) Normalized BNase-seq tag densities across TSSs (upper panel) and across intergenic p300 binding sites (lower panel). The TSSs and p300 binding sites were sorted into five equal-sized groups based on gene expression level and H3K27ac level, respectively.
(E) UCSC Genome Browser images for the BNase-seq tag distribution in H2A.Z knockdown and control cells. Potential enhancer regions associated with Klf4 (left) and Tbx3 (right) are chosen as examples. p300 genomic regions that show significantly decreased levels of chromatin accessibility following knockdown of H2A.Z are highlighted with red rectangles.
(F) The percentages of hypersensitive sites that show a significant increase in accessibility (green), decrease in accessibility (red), or no change in accessibility (gray) following knockdown of H2A.Z. See also Figure S3.

H2A.Z Regulates Chromatin Accessibility

We previously proposed that deposition of histone variants H2A.Z and H3.3 in transcriptional regulatory regions destabilizes nucleosome structure and provides opportunities for the binding of transcription factors (Jin et al., 2009). Another recent report suggested that, in colon cancer cells, H2A.Z is an essential factor for gene reactivation by facilitating nucleosome removal (Yang et al., 2012). To test whether deposition of H2A.Z in ESCs regulates nucleosome occupancy and chromatin accessibility at transcriptional regulatory regions, we determined the global nucleosome distribution in control and H2A.Z knockdown cells using MNase-seq (Schones et al., 2008). Consistent with the previous reports (Hu et al., 2011; Tillo et al., 2010), in control ESs, enhancers are associated with increased nucleosome occupancy relative to neighboring regions (Figure 3A, black line), and H2A.Z knockdown leads to increased nucleosome levels at enhancer sites (Figure 3A, red versus black lines). These data indicate that replacement of H2A.Z with canonical H2A leads to enhanced nucleosome deposition. Thus H2A.Z binding at enhancers destabilizes the local nucleosome structure and facilitates nucleosome removal.

In human T cells, nucleosomes with H2A.Z protect only ~120 bp of DNA from MNase digestion, a fragment shorter...
Figure 4. H2A.Z Is Required for ESC Self-Renewal

(A) H2A.Z RNAi ESC colonies are scored by morphology: compact and round (ES-like), flattened, and intermediate. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(B) GFP + ESCs were sorted into a 96-well plate with a murine embryonic fibroblast (MEF) feeder layer. One week later, colonies were scored by morphology. The experiments were carried out for H2A.Z knockdown ESCs and control ESCs side-by-side and were repeated four times. The percentages of colonies that maintain ES-like morphology (compact and round) are represented as mean ± SEM. The p value was calculated by the t test.
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than that given by canonical nucleosomes (Tolstorukov et al., 2009). In ESCs, short DNA fragments (90–120 bp) resulting from MNase digestion were enriched in regions surrounding intergenic p300 binding sites and positively correlated with enhancer activities as measured by the level of H3K27ac (Figure S3A). This is consistent with the hypothesis that nucleosomes are unstable at these regulatory regions. Importantly, knockdown of H2A.Z resulted in a 2- to 3-fold reduction in the density of short fragments surrounding intergenic p300 binding sites (Figure 3B), indicating that H2A.Z deposition contributes to the generation of short DNA fragments, i.e., to an unstable nucleosome structure and decreased nucleosome occupancy at enhancers.

To test whether H2A.Z deposition affects chromatin accessibility, we treated the control and H2A.Z knockdown cells with Benzonase (the nuclease from *Serratia marcescens*) followed by deep sequencing of chromatin cleavage sites (BNase-seq). This approach is similar to DNase-seq but has the advantage of being less sensitive to enzyme concentration (Gronvold et al., 2012). The BNase cleavage sites were highly enriched immediately upstream of TSS and in linker regions (Figure 3C). The BNase-seq tag density was positively correlated with gene expression levels at promoters and with H3K27ac levels at enhancers (Figure 3D), indicating that cleavage by Benzonase is a good indicator of chromatin accessibility. Moreover, intergenic regions more accessible to Benzonase were associated with higher levels of H2A.Z acetylation and H3K4me3 and with lower levels of H3K27me3 (Figure S3B). Interestingly, knockdown of H2A.Z resulted in significantly decreased chromatin accessibility at a subset of genomic regions, as exemplified by several enhancer regions near *Kif4* and *Tbx3* (Figure 3E, highlighted in red boxes). Globally, we found that 19.4% of Benzonase hypersensitive sites showed a significant reduction of BNase cleavage following H2A.Z knockdown, while only 0.7% exhibited increases (Figure 3F). Intriguingly, the regions with lower chromatin accessibility, as measured by the average Benzonase tag density, were subject to greater decreases in chromatin accessibility after knockdown (Figures S3C and S3D). To determine if the reduced chromatin accessibility is correlated with decreased binding of MLL complexes, we monitored RbBP5 binding and found that hypersensitive sites with reduced accessibility were subject to greater decreases in RbBP5 binding after the H2A.Z knockdown (Figure S3E). To test whether the decrease in chromatin accessibility is related to changes in gene expression, we identified 2,986 genes with decreased accessibility at hypersensitive sites located ±100 kb around the TSS and compared these with the 1,204 genes with changed expression. The analysis revealed that 205 were shared between the two sets, which is highly significant (binominal test, p < 0.0001) (Figure S3F). These 205 genes are enriched in GO terms of system development and embryonic development (Figure S3G).

Overall, these results indicate that deposition of H2A.Z results in an abnormal and unstable nucleosome structure leading to decreased nucleosome occupancy and thereby increasing chromatin accessibility, particularly at enhancers.

H2A.Z Is Required for Efficient Self-Renewal and Pluripotency of ESCs

The observation that H2A.Z is critically involved in modulating nucleosome occupancy and chromatin accessibility at enhancers implies that it plays important roles in ESC function. We therefore investigated whether it contributes to the self-renewal of ESCs using several different assays. (1) By examining ESC colony morphology, we found that only 56% of colonies that grew for 7 days after H2A.Z knockdown (shH2A.Z) exhibited ESC morphology, while 81% of the colonies that grew from the control ESCs (shLuc) maintained ESC morphology (Figures 4A and 4B); (2) H2A.Z knockdown ESC colonies tended to become flat and had lower alkaline phosphatase activity (Figure 4C); (3) flow cytometry analysis revealed that a greater fraction of cells had lower SSEA1 expression after 2 days of H2A.Z knockdown (13.9% in control and 24.5% in knockdown cells) and increased SSEA4 expression (1.3% in control and 14.5% in knockdown cells), although OCT4 expression in the majority of cells did not change much (Figure 4D); (4) knockdown of H2A.Z resulted in substantial decreases in expression of pluripotency genes such as *Sox2*, *Esrrb*, *Tbx3*, and *Klf4* and increases in expression of differentiation genes such as *Brachyury*, *Hand1*, and *Wnt7b* (Figures 4E and S4A). In addition, genes responsive to H2A.Z knockdown in ESCs are enriched in GO terms of system development and embryonic development (Figure S4B), (5) Comparing genes responsive to H2A.Z knockdown in ESCs and those whose expression was altered at embryoid bodies (EBs) on day 3 during EB formation revealed a highly significant overlap between the two groups (Figure 4F). Overall, these results indicate a loss of pluripotency and self-renewal capacity of ESCs after knockdown of H2A.Z, accompanied by premature differentiation. Thus H2A.Z critically contributes to the self-renewal and pluripotency of ESCs as well as to their differentiation.

H2A.Z Facilitates Targeting of OCT4 to Genes Critical to ESC Pluripotency

To understand the mechanisms whereby H2A.Z contributes to the self-renewal of ESCs, we tested whether H2A.Z regulates targeting of the transcription factor OCT4. Using ChiP-seq, we identified genome-wide OCT4 binding sites in the H2A.Z knockdown and control ESCs. Analysis indicated that H2A.Z binding is highly elevated at OCT4 binding sites in control ESCs (Figure 5A). Knockdown of H2A.Z leads to substantially

(C) H2A.Z knockdown (shH2A.Z) and shLuc control ESCs stained for alkaline phosphatase activity.

(D) FACS analysis showing the distribution of expression of SSEA-1 (a pluripotency marker for murine ESCs) and SSEA-4 (a differentiation marker for murine ESCs) relative to OCT4 (a pluripotency transcription factor) for ESCs with H2A.Z knockdown (shH2A.Z) and shLuc control cells. The analysis was done after 2 days of culture following replating from individual ESC colonies.

(E) RT-PCR results (averages of three replicates) for mRNAs of four pluripotency genes and two early differentiation markers in the H2A.Z knockdown (shH2A.Z) and shLuc control ESCs. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(F) Venn diagrams for genes responsive to H2A.Z knockdown in ESCs (up- or downregulated by more than 1.5-fold and FDR < 0.001; left circles) and genes that are up- or downregulated during EB formation from ESCs to EB day 3 (EB-associated genes; right circles). See also Figure S4.
Figure 5. H2A.Z Is Required for Efficient Binding of OCT4

(A) Average profile of H2A.Z tag density around OCT4 binding sites in mouse ESCs.
(B) UCSC Genome Browser image of tag distribution of OCT4, RbBP5, and H3K4me3 in the putative enhancer regions downstream of the Klf4 gene in control and H2A.Z knockdown ESCs. The genomic region that shows significant decreases in the levels of Oct4, RbBP5, and H3K4me3 is highlighted by the rectangle.
(C) Average profile of OCT4 tag density around intergenic p300 binding sites in the H2A.Z knockdown (shH2A.Z) and control (shLuc) ES cells.
(D) The percentages of OCT4 binding sites that showed a significant increase (green), decrease (red), or no change (gray) after knockdown of H2A.Z.
(E) Left panel: intergenic OCT4 binding sites that colocalize with RbBP5 are separated into two groups based on whether or not OCT4 binding is significantly decreased upon H2A.Z knockdown (FC > 1.5, FDR < 0.001). The empirical cumulative distribution is plotted for the FC of RbBP5 ChIP-seq tag density for each group (knockdown/control). Right panel: same as the left panel, except the calculation was made for SUZ12 (x axis). A line shifting to the left means a greater decrease in RbBP5 (or SUZ12) binding levels. p values were calculated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests.

These results indicate that H2A.Z is needed for efficient targeting of OCT4, which is likely involved in the recruitment of the MLL and PRC2 complexes to active and silent genes, respectively.

H2A.Z Is Required for Efficient Differentiation of ESCs

The results in Figure 4 indicate that ESCs with reduced H2A.Z expression tend to differentiate. To further test whether knockdown of H2A.Z promotes ESC differentiation, we monitored the formation of EBs from control and H2A.Z knockdown ESCs. The EBs from the H2A.Z knockdown cells were morphologically different from the control ESCs: although a primitive endoderm layer from control cells formed at day 4, this layer was not detectable in the knockdown cells at day 4 and only became apparent at day 7 (Figure 6A, red arrow heads). RT-PCR analyses showed that H2A.Z remained suppressed during the period of 14 day differentiation in the knockdown cells (Figure 6B). In the knockdown cells (shH2A.Z), the expression of pluripotency genes Nanog, Oct4, and Klf4 is somewhat compromised at day 1 of differentiation, but they remain active at 14 days in contrast to the control cells (shLuc) (Figure 6B, inserts). Consistent with delayed endodermal differentiation phenotypes, knockdown of H2A.Z resulted in decreased induction of a number of endodermal transcription factors (Gata6, Hnf1b, and Hnf4a), markers of visceral endoderm (Afp, Ttr, and...
Bmp2), and parietal endoderm (Dab2) at day 3 and to a lesser extent at day 7 (Figures 6B, S5A, and S5B). In addition, mesodermal markers Brachyury, Hand1, Nkx2-5, Bmp4, and Runx1 and ectodermal markers Sox4 and Nestin were aberrantly expressed but showed no generalizable pattern of consistent up- or downregulation (Figures 6B, S5A, and S5B). These results indicate that knockdown of H2A.Z compromises both gene activation and repression in EB formation.

To test this notion systematically, we identified genes that are activated or repressed in day 3 EBs as compared to ESCs and then noted the proportion of these two groups of genes that failed to be efficiently activated or repressed in the H2A.Z knockdown EBs; this was 35.5% of the activated and 14.4% of the repressed genes, which is significantly higher than the number of genes that remained unchanged during EB formation (Figure 6C). to day 3 and calculated the fraction of these genes that exhibited a lower induction level in the H2A.Z knockdown EBs as compared to the control EBs. We found that this fraction is significantly higher than expected (Figure 6D, left), indicating that knockdown of H2A.Z compromised the induction of these genes. Similarly, knockdown of H2A.Z also compromised the repression of genes during EB formation (Figure 6D, right). The defect in gene upregulation was particularly significant for endodermal markers at day 3 (Figure S5A), which is consistent with the defect in endodermal phenotype shown in Figure 6A.

In conclusion, although knockdown of H2A.Z leads to decreased expression of many pluripotency genes and upregulation of differentiation genes, it is also required for the silencing of ESC-specific genes and the optimal activation of differentiation genes during differentiation of ESCs.
H2A.Z Is Required for Efficient Binding of RARα Following Retinoic Acid Treatment

To test whether H2A.Z facilitates transcription factor binding during ESC differentiation, we analyzed the binding profiles of RARα following withdrawal of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and addition of retinoic acid (RA) to ESCs, which initiates ESC differentiation to the neuronal lineage (Diez del Corral and Storey, 2004). Interestingly, a vast majority of the genomic regions bound by RARα after RA exposure were enriched with H2A.Z in ESCs (Figures 7A and 7B), indicating that the chromatin of many developmental enhancers is preconfigured by H2A.Z at earlier stages of development (Amat and Gudas, 2011). Although RARα expression was not affected by H2A.Z knockdown, the binding of RARα was significantly compromised genome-wide: 25% of its bindings showed a decrease, while only 2% showed an increase in knockdown of H2A.Z (Figure 7C). Remarkably, the RARα binding sites preconfigured with H2A.Z in ESCs exhibited more of a decrease in RARα binding than the sites without H2A.Z (Figure 7D), suggesting that setting a chromatin configuration by H2A.Z in ESCs facilitates transcription factor binding during later development.

DISCUSSION

How Does H2A.Z Contribute to the Regulation of Transcription?

Similar to differentiated cells (Barski et al., 2007; Bruce et al., 2005; Cui et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2009), we found that H2A.Z is linked to gene activation in murine ESCs, and its acetylation state correlates with the level of gene expression. H2A.Z colocalizes with H3K4me3 in transcriptional regulatory regions including promoters and enhancers. While this manuscript was being...
revised, the colocalization of H2A.Z with H3K4me3 in mouse ESCs was also reported by Ku et al. (2012) who also noted the relationship between its state of acetylation and the level of gene expression. The H2A.Z level at enhancers is positively correlated with H3K27ac, a mark of enhancer activity (Hawkins et al., 2011; Creighton et al., 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011), suggesting that it also influences the activity of enhancers. Incorporation of H2A.Z into nucleosomes leads to an unstable structure in vitro (Jin et al., 2009). Furthermore, it was recently shown that H2A.Z deposition at promoter regions facilitates gene activation following 5-Aza-CdR-induced demethylation in cancer cells; this is achieved by a reduction of nucleosome occupancy and generating a permissive environment (Yang et al., 2012).

Consistent with these observations, inclusion of H2A.Z generates an abnormal nucleosome and protects only 120 bp of DNA from MNase digestion in T cells (Tolstorukov et al., 2009). Thus H2A.Z appears to regulate chromatin accessibility by modulating nucleosome occupancy at regulatory regions. Indeed, our data indicate that knocking down H2A.Z in murine ESCs leads to a decrease in the number of smaller H2A.Z nucleosomes and an increase in general nucleosome occupancy at critical cis-regulatory regions. Consistent with these results, knockdown of H2A.Z results in decreased chromatin accessibility when probed by Benzonase digestion. These results support the hypothesis that H2A.Z is an essential component of an unstable nucleosome, from which the octamer can readily be evicted or displaced, as described earlier (Jin et al., 2009).

The loss or displacement of nucleosomes from DNA allows the binding of factors/complexes at various cis elements where H2A.Z is found including transcription complexes at active TSS, PRC2 at bivalent TSS, and sequence-specific transcription factors at enhancers. H2A.Z therefore appears as a general facilitator for the activity of a wide variety of other functional factors/complexes.

How Does H2A.Z Contribute to the Self-Renewal and Differentiation of ESCs?
We demonstrate that H2A.Z is required for efficient self-renewal of ESCs. In the H2A.Z knockdown cells, expression of many transcription factors critical for ESC function such as Klf4, Tbx3, and Sox2 was decreased. It has previously been shown that the pluripotency transcription factor, OCT4, binds to enhancers and mediates activation of characteristic ESC genes. OCT4 binding could directly promote the assembly of the transcription machinery or promote H3K4 methylation by recruiting the MLL complexes (Ang et al., 2011). Our data indicate that H2A.Z-mediated chromatin accessibility is critical for OCT4 targeting to enhancers; knockdown of H2A.Z compromises OCT4 binding to the downstream enhancers of the Klf4 gene. At a global level, inhibition of H2A.Z decreased OCT4 binding over a large fraction of its target sites, which leads to less efficient recruitment of MLL complexes, decreased H3K4 methylation, and downregulation of key ESC genes.

Inhibition of H2A.Z also led to increased expression of differentiation genes in ESCs. Others have shown (Creighton et al., 2008), and we confirm here, that reduction of H2A.Z compromises targeting of the PRC2 complex and decreases H3K27 trimethylation, resulting in activation of differentiation genes normally repressed in ESCs. Our data suggest that H2A.Z-mediated OCT4 targeting might also be involved in recruitment of SUZ12 to a subset of the repressed enhancers. Although inhibition of H2A.Z triggers premature differentiation of ESCs, H2A.Z is required for efficient ESC differentiation. Similar to the self-renewal of ESCs, the chromatin accessibility mediated by deposition of H2A.Z may be critical for full activation of differentiation genes and complete repression of ESC-specific genes during differentiation of ESCs. Indeed, we observed that both gene activation and repression during EB formation were compromised on H2A.Z knockdown. Furthermore, we find that preconfiguration of chromatin by H2A.Z is required for optimal targeting of RARα during RA-induced differentiation of ESCs.

In summary, we provide data showing differential roles of H2A.Z at active and repressed genes in the self-renewal and differentiation of ESCs (Figure 7E). H2A.Z facilitates expression of many pluripotency genes and also the repression of differentiation genes by generating chromatin accessibility and thereby facilitating the efficient targeting of activating and repressive complexes, respectively. During differentiation of ESCs, optimal induction of differentiation genes and the complete silencing of pluripotency genes also require H2A.Z to facilitate access of the appropriate complexes. For these reasons we propose that H2A.Z is a general facilitator that generates access for a wide variety of activating and repressive complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cells and Cell Culture
CMTI and R1 murine ESC lines were routinely cultured on feeder-coated dishes in ESC-qualified Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with various growth factors. More details and analysis of ESC morphology, EB formation, and RA treatment are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Knockdown of H2A.Z and MLL4 Using shRNA
The RNA interference constructs targeting mouse H2A.Z and MLL4 were generated by inserting target sequences (Supplemental Experimental Procedures) into pGreenPuro Lentivector (System Biosciences). The lentiviral particles were packaged in 293T cells with the psPAX2 packaging plasmid, and lentiviral supernatants were then used to infect mouse ESCs for knockdown experiments.

Chromatin Preparation, Antibodies, and ChIP-Seq
ChIP-seq experiments were performed as described previously (Barski et al., 2007) with antibodies against pan H2A.Z and acH2A.Z (Bruce et al., 2005). Other antibodies used in ChIP-seq experiments of this study are listed in Table S1.

BNase-Seq for Chromatin Accessibility Assay
The method of Benzonase digestion for a genome-wide profiling of chromatin accessibility followed that described by Gronvold et al. (2012) with some modifications (Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Public ChIP-Seq Data
Other ChIP-seq data obtained from the GEO database for mouse ESCs include H3K27ac, H3K4me3, H3K27me3, H3K36me3, and p300 (Creighton et al., 2010; Mikkelsen et al., 2007).

Data Analysis
Sequence alignment and peak calling, definition of genomic regions, heatmaps for the distribution of histone modifications at promoters and enhancers, Pearson coefficients for similarity of spatial distributions between two epigenetic markers, MA analysis, and definition of differentially expressed genes are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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