

1 **A Younger Dryas plateau icefield in the Monadhliath, Scotland, and**
2 **implications for regional palaeoclimate**

3
4
5 Clare M. Boston^{a, b*}, Sven Lukas^a, and Simon J. Carr^a

6
7 ^aSchool of Geography, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, UK

8 ^bDepartment of Geography, University of Portsmouth, Buckingham Building, Lion Terrace,
9 Portsmouth, PO1 3HE, UK

10
11 *Corresponding Author: clare.boston@port.ac.uk, 023 92 842498

12
13
14 **Abstract**

15 A record of Younger Dryas glaciation in Scotland is well established. However, the role of
16 the Monadhliath, a significant plateau area extending over 840 km² in central Scotland, has
17 never been investigated systematically. We present the first systematic glacial
18 geomorphological mapping across the whole region, which has led to the identification of
19 hitherto-unrecorded glacial and associated landforms. The spatial distribution of these
20 landforms indicates that the last phase of glaciation in the area was that of a local plateau
21 icefield. In addition, a clear morphostratigraphical signature provides a strong indication that
22 the icefield dates to the Younger Dryas (12.9-11.7 ka), which is supported by numerical ages
23 in the southeast of the study area. Based on the geomorphological evidence and 2D glacier
24 surface profile modelling, a 280 km² icefield is reconstructed. A novel approach is introduced
25 to quantify plateau icefield thickness for equilibrium line altitude (ELA) and

1 palaeoprecipitation calculations, resulting in greater overall data confidence compared to
2 traditional reconstruction methods. The ELA for the whole icefield is calculated to be $714 \pm$
3 25 m, whilst the ELAs of individual outlet glaciers range from 560 m in the west to 816 m in
4 the east, demonstrating a significant W-E precipitation gradient across the region during the
5 Younger Dryas. These ELAs compare well with those calculated for Younger Dryas ice
6 masses reconstructed in neighbouring regions and are in good agreement with overall
7 precipitation patterns suggested for Scotland during this time. Whilst the total amount of
8 precipitation calculated from these ELAs is highly dependent on the method used, irrespective
9 of this, the study suggests a more arid Younger Dryas climate in the region compared to the
10 present day.

11

12 **Keywords**

13 plateau icefield, Younger Dryas, Scotland, glacier reconstruction, palaeoclimate

14

15 **1. Introduction**

16 The Younger Dryas Stadial, equivalent to Greenland Stadial-1 (GS-1; 12.9-11.7 ka)
17 (Rasmussen et al., 2006; Lowe et al., 2008) and correlated with the Loch Lomond Stadial
18 (LLS) in Scotland, was a period of rapid climate change at decadal and centennial time scales
19 (Anderson, 1997; Tarasov and Peltier, 2005; Lukas, 2011). Understanding atmospheric and
20 oceanic drivers for rapid climate change is important in the prediction and evaluation of future
21 climate change scenarios, especially in the amphi-North Atlantic region where detailed
22 records document the nature of this change (e.g. Bakke et al., 2009). Scotland is a key area in
23 this respect in that it allows linking of the terrestrial signature of Younger Dryas climatic
24 change, manifest in numerous well-preserved glacial sediment-landform assemblages, to
25 records from elsewhere. The evidence preserved in Scotland can be regarded as unique in a

1 European context, largely because of absent to very limited post-depositional modification of
2 glacial and associated sediment-landform assemblages (e.g. Benn and Lukas, 2006; Golledge,
3 2010). However, despite a long history of research in the Lateglacial record in Scotland, there
4 are still numerous areas in Scotland that have not been investigated in detail and of which
5 very little is known about the palaeoglaciology, making regional comparisons of glacier
6 dynamics and palaeoclimate difficult and our understanding of events during the Last Glacial-
7 Interglacial Transition (LGIT) very incomplete.

8

9 The Monadhliath (Fig. 1) are one such area, having received a very limited proportion of
10 research attention over the last 100 years. Previous research is generally confined to the
11 southern and eastern parts of the region only, and limited to work by British Geological
12 Survey (BGS) officers at the beginning of the 20th Century (Barrow et al., 1913; Hinxman and
13 Anderson, 1915), J.A.T. Young in the 1970s (Young, 1977, 1978), and more recently by
14 Auton (1998), Phillips and Auton (2000), Gheorghiu et al. (2012) and Trelea-Newton and
15 Golledge (2012). Extensive research has however been undertaken in the adjoining region to
16 the southwest around Glen Roy where the extent of glaciation is well established (e.g.
17 Sissons, 1978, 1979a; Sissons and Cornish, 1983; Peacock, 1986; Lowe and Cairns, 1991;
18 Fabel et al., 2010; Palmer et al., 2010, 2012), although the extent and timing of glaciation in
19 Glen Turret is still subject to debate (cf. Benn and Evans, 2008; Peacock, 2009).

20

21 Recent work by Boston (2012a, b) has resulted in the first systematic mapping of the
22 Monadhliath and the adjoining area northeast of Glen Roy (Fig. 1). This regional assessment
23 of glaciogenic landforms and sediments provides a new insight into ice mass fluctuations and
24 dynamics in this critical central part of the Scottish Highlands. It adds to other recent work in
25 the region, which has hinted at the presence of a Younger Dryas plateau icefield based on

1 numerical modelling (Golledge et al., 2008) and limited local geomorphological evidence
2 from selected valleys (e.g. Gheorghiu et al., 2012; Trelea-Newton and Golledge, 2012),
3 despite a traditional belief that major ice masses did not build up in the area during the
4 Younger Dryas (Sissons, 1979b). The lack of a previous regional assessment of the field
5 evidence, likely also hampered by the persistent paradigm that localised cirques, and not
6 adjacent plateau surfaces, were the sole sources of any former ice masses, has meant that
7 previous reconstructions of any ice mass have been highly tenuous; therefore, the extent and
8 dynamics of any such ice mass in the area, if any, are currently unknown. The aims of this
9 paper are therefore to 1) present geomorphological evidence for the presence of local plateau
10 ice during the LGIT, 2) establish a relative chronology for glacial events in the region based
11 on an examination of the morphostratigraphical evidence, 3) reconstruct the extent of ice
12 masses relating to the last phase of glaciation, 4) provide estimates of former precipitation in
13 the Central Scottish Highlands during this time based on the ice mass proportions and 5)
14 discuss wider regional implications for palaeoclimate during the Younger Dryas.

15

16 **2. Study Area**

17 The Monadhliath are primarily underlain by various Late Precambrian psammites and
18 semipelites belonging to the Grampian Group, of which the two oldest subgroups, the
19 Glenshirra and Corrieyairack successions, dominate (Stephenson and Gould, 1995). Pelitic to
20 semipelitic schists from the younger Appin Group are also exposed in Glen Roy (Phillips and
21 Key, 1992), and the older Pre-Dalradian Central Highland Migmatite Complex crops out to
22 the north of Newtonmore and Kincaig, and is termed the Glen Banchor succession
23 (Robertson and Smith, 1999; Smith et al., 1999; Strachan et al., 2002). Igneous intrusions of
24 granite, microdiorite and granodiorite of predominately Silurian age also occur in the region,
25 forming the Corrieyairack, Allt Crom and Findhorn plutons (Stephenson and Gould, 1995).

1 Numerous high-level psammitic and granitic erratics occur, mostly of local origin, although
2 some granitic erratics are tentatively suggested to be of Rannoch Moor provenance (Jarman,
3 2013).

4
5 The study area comprises an upland area of plateau covering approximately 840 km² of the
6 central Highlands of Scotland, bounded to the north by the Great Glen and to the south by
7 Strathspey (Fig. 1). The plateau consists of rounded summits and has been dissected into
8 twenty-five main catchments. The overall plateau slopes northwards and its altitude ranges
9 from c. 900 m in the south, with individual summits as high as 945 m (Carn Dearg, NH 636
10 024), to c. 600 m in the north. As a result, the main watershed runs from west to east across
11 the southern edge of the plateau, and this asymmetry is manifest in short, steep catchments on
12 the south side of the plateau, with the majority of the plateau being drained by rivers flowing
13 northwards or eastwards within much larger catchments. Valleys descending from the plateau
14 to the south tend to have steep backwalls separating the valley floor from the plateau above,
15 whilst catchments in the north gently rise onto the plateau, often with no backwall.

16

17 **3. Methods**

18 Geomorphological mapping was undertaken through remote-sensing, using the NEXTMap
19 Britain (NEXTMap) dataset (Intermap Technologies, 2007) and panchromatic aerial
20 photographs, and a spatially extensive 12-week field campaign spread over two field seasons.
21 Using three different approaches to mapping allowed the advantages of each to be combined
22 to produce a detailed and accurate geomorphological map, with robust genetic interpretations.
23 Further details on this approach can be found in Boston (2012a). Sedimentological analysis,
24 following procedures outlined by Evans and Benn (2004), was also used alongside the
25 geomorphological mapping to provide additional information on the processes leading to

1 landform genesis. All methods relating to establishing relative ages, glacier reconstruction,
2 equilibrium-line altitude estimation and calculations of palaeoprecipitation are covered in
3 detail in the respective sections.

4

5 **4. Results**

6 *4.1. Geomorphological evidence*

7 Geomorphological mapping focussed on recording landforms that were pertinent to glacier
8 reconstruction such as moraines, meltwater channels, drift limits and periglacial features.
9 These landforms have been described in detail and presented in a geomorphological map by
10 Boston (2012a, b). Due to the large area covered by the study, we present a summary of the
11 glacial geomorphology of the region here, alongside map extracts (Figs. 2 and 3), a common
12 approach when covering larger areas that were previously terra incognita (cf. Lukas and
13 Bradwell, 2010).

14

15 A similar assemblage of landforms is found within many of the valleys that radiate from the
16 Monadhliath plateau. Moraines and ice-marginal meltwater channels are the most dominant
17 features and the upper parts of many valleys contain a series of small (approx. 5 m high,
18 < 30 m wide), densely-spaced, sharp-crested moraines, separated by intervening ice-marginal
19 meltwater channels ('Type 1' moraines (Boston, 2012a)). The proportion of moraines
20 compared to meltwater channels varies between valleys (see Section 5.1), but in general all of
21 the larger valleys contain clear sets of moraines. Particularly good examples of this landform
22 assemblage occur within Coire Larach, Glen Chonnal and Corrie Yairack in the west (Figs. 1
23 to 3), Coire Easgainn and the Findhorn valley to the north, and within Gleann Ballach and
24 Gleann Fionndrigh in the southeast (Figs. 1, 2). In many places, the moraines are heavily
25 dissected into mounds and ridges, but their crestlines can be linked to reconstruct former ice

1 fronts following established approaches (cf. Benn and Lukas, 2006, and references therein).
2 Many of the lateral and latero-frontal moraines extend back into the highest parts of the
3 catchments and partly onto the plateau, especially on the northern side where backwalls are
4 absent (Fig. 2). Moraines are also found on the plateau, particularly in the east, concentrated
5 within topographic lows (Boston, 2012a) (Fig. 2A).

6

7 Periglacial features are present within several valleys and on plateau summits. These include
8 solifluction lobes, blockfields and talus slopes. In the upper parts of several valleys, talus
9 slopes end abruptly, mid-way down the valley side, often culminating at the upper limit of
10 moraines. In several of the southeastern valleys, this lower talus limit can be followed
11 laterally up-valley until the talus ends and the limit continues as a sharp downslope boundary
12 of a solifluction sheet (Fig. 2D). Blockfields are a prominent feature on many of the higher
13 plateau summits (typically above 800-850 m), particularly in the southeast corner of the study
14 area.

15

16 The lower parts of many valleys are characterised by a different landform signature. In these
17 areas moraines are typically larger, both in terms of their heights (often > 10 m) and widths
18 (up to 70 m), and possess more rounded crestlines ('Type 2' moraines (Boston, 2012a); Figs.
19 2 and 3). Others form subdued mounds on the valley floor. These moraines are also spaced
20 further apart, often sporadically, and do not always have distinct ice-marginal meltwater
21 channels between them. Prominent river terraces (> 3 m in height) are also present within
22 these parts of the valleys, sometimes associated with alluvial fans, and thick talus
23 accumulations cover many valley sides (Fig. 2A).

24

1 The spatial distribution of features within many of the valleys provides unequivocal evidence
2 that the ice within them was sourced from the plateau. This is manifest in the location of
3 many lateral moraines, some of which extend partly onto the plateau in catchments that lack a
4 backwall, whilst a number of moraines occur on the plateau itself. Ice-marginal meltwater
5 channels are also found at the plateau edge in several locations, again indicating ice flow
6 radiating from the plateau. Additionally, distinct downslope limits of solifluction lobes in the
7 southeast corner of the Monadhliath lead onto the plateau and provide a clear indication of the
8 former ice surface (cf. Sissons et al., 1973; Sissons, 1977; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010).

9

10 *4.2. Chronology*

11 Numerical ages have been published for three valleys in the southeastern part of the study
12 area by Gheorghiu et al. (2012) and indicate a Younger Dryas age for the most recent glacial
13 event. However, in the absence of numerical age estimates in the remainder of the
14 Monadhliath, we present a relative chronology for palaeoglacial events in the region. Our
15 relative chronology is developed on the basis of morphostratigraphy, which uses “the spatial
16 relationship between individual landforms to assign them to events or periods” (Lukas, 2006,
17 p.721). Since this approach forms the basis of our reconstruction, the key components are
18 explained in some detail below. We then discuss this chronology in the light of previously
19 published absolute dates.

20

21 *4.2.1 Identifying a relative chronology*

22 Although a morphostratigraphical approach has been used in a large proportion of former ice
23 mass reconstructions in Scotland for several decades, it has not always been formally
24 acknowledged (e.g. Sissons, 1974; Ballantyne, 2002a, 2007a, b; Benn and Ballantyne, 2005;
25 Finlayson, 2006; Lukas, 2006; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al., 2011). This

1 approach has been particularly effective at spatially correlating mapped ice limits to
2 chronologically well-constrained limits in areas where there is limited numerical dating. This
3 is a practical solution within a context where it is usually unrealistic to be able to ascertain
4 numerical dates for every glacier limit (e.g. Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al.,
5 2011).

6

7 Recently, Lukas (2006) formalised this approach within the context of LGIT glaciation by
8 identifying a set of geomorphological criteria that can be used to test whether a particular
9 landsystem is of Younger Dryas age. This is based upon the long-standing realisation that
10 strongly contrasting sediment-landform associations have been found inside and outside
11 prominent glacier limits across most areas of Upland Britain and elsewhere (Lukas, 2006, and
12 references therein).

13

14 Criteria include differences in the type and frequency of moraines, number of river terraces
15 inside and outside clear moraine sequences, the distribution/orientation of glaciofluvial
16 landforms such as eskers and kames, the number, distribution and arrangement of glacially-
17 transported boulders or boulder clusters, the thickness of sediments on sediment-covered
18 slopes, the maturity of talus slopes, the distribution of periglacial landforms and the location
19 and termination of palaeoshorelines in areas near the coast (Lukas, 2006).

20

21 In any catchment, contrasts in the type and frequency of moraines (e.g. Types 1 and 2 here)
22 are taken as the first indication of a clear glacial limit at the outermost moraine of the upper
23 type (in this case the outermost Type 1 moraine) (cf. Lukas, 2006). If such a limit *coincides*
24 with a contrast in, for example, the number of river terraces, this is taken as further
25 confirmation that the limit in question marks a distinct palaeoglacial event. A contrast in river

1 terraces has frequently been reported to manifest itself in several river terraces outside this
2 outermost moraine, with an abrupt decline in the number, or complete disappearance, of river
3 terraces inside, i.e. upstream of, this limit (Lukas, 2006, and references therein). The
4 explanation for a difference in the number of river terraces and how this relates to glaciation
5 has been given elsewhere in more detail (Lukas, 2006), but has been recognised as a key
6 morphostratigraphical tool for > 100 years (Penck and Brückner, 1901/1909; Bridgland and
7 Westaway, 2008). Sometimes, a notable difference in the thickness of sediments and/or talus
8 on slopes inside and outside this limit can also be found, and this has been demonstrated to
9 relate to the time available for subaerial (frost) weathering and periglacial activity on slopes
10 (e.g. Ballantyne, 1991). Furthermore, such limits can often be traced obliquely across slopes
11 from clear outermost moraines in the valley bottom, strongly indicating that they represent the
12 remnants of a former ice lobe; consequently, such vertical limits can be utilised in glacier
13 reconstruction by aiding interpolation towards the former accumulation area (e.g. Lukas,
14 2010, p.187). In this example, three lines of evidence (moraines, river terraces and differences
15 in sediment thickness on slopes) converge to enable the differentiation of one distinct glacier
16 limit.

17

18 Lukas (2006) reviewed a comprehensive body of literature dating back to the 1970s that
19 supports this approach, and, to date, this formalised approach has been utilised as a first-order
20 approximation to *infer* a Younger Dryas age in two independent areas (e.g. Lukas, 2005,
21 2006; Finlayson and Bradwell, 2008) and has been used to guide later numerical dating
22 programmes to test the hypotheses put forward by Lukas (2006). In both cases, numerical
23 dating has confirmed the hypotheses of a Younger Dryas age of lake sediments accumulated
24 just outside the Younger Dryas limits (Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Lukas et al., 2010) and key
25 boulders on the outermost moraines concerned (Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al.,

1 2011). It has therefore been powerfully demonstrated that this approach is robust *if used in its*
2 *intended way*. For example, a key component of these contrasting sediment-landform
3 associations is that *their recognition formed the basis for establishing absolute age control* at
4 relevant sites, so, in other words, only after detailed mapping and identification of such
5 contrasts would a dating programme commence. Where this key geological principle of
6 '*relative prior to absolute dating*' has been ignored, as we will argue and demonstrate below
7 in Section 4.2.2, numerical ages conflict with the geomorphological interpretation, because
8 the samples have been taken out of context, i.e. without a clear understanding of the
9 landsystem that was sampled.

10

11 Following the criteria outlined above and by Lukas (2006), two different glacial landform
12 assemblages are recognised within the upper and lower parts of the valleys in the
13 Monadhliath, and indicate deposition during two phases of local glaciation. The landform
14 signature in the upper parts of a large number of valleys is very similar to areas elsewhere in
15 Scotland that are inside dated Younger Dryas limits, and therefore we argue that the most
16 recent phase of glaciation in the Monadhliath also occurred at this time. Clearly identifiable
17 limits can be found in most major valleys in the study area, and as discussed above, limits are
18 most confidently assigned when several lines of evidence converge at the same location
19 (Table 1). In minor valleys where abundant direct evidence constraining ice limits was not
20 available, ice limits are based on spatial relationships with neighbouring valleys, and indirect
21 evidence mapped such as the configuration of meltwater channels.

22

23 Assignment of landforms in the upper parts of valleys to the Younger Dryas implies that
24 glacial features in the lower parts, notably the Type 2 moraines, relate to an older phase of
25 local plateau icefield glaciation and/or deposition by regional ice in the Spey Valley. We

1 suggest that this is most likely to have occurred at the end of the Dimlington Stadial
2 (correlated with Greenland Stadial 2 (GS-2); Lowe et al., 2008) following thinning and retreat
3 of regional ice cover, as prior to this the region was submerged beneath the Last British-Irish
4 Ice Sheet (cf. Clark et al., 2012).

5
6 This relative chronology is supported by morphostratigraphic correlation of the glacier limits
7 in the southwest of the study area to the Younger Dryas ice-dammed lake systems of Glen
8 Roy. This association has previously been suggested by Johnson-Ferguson (2004) and Benn
9 and Evans (2008) through the presence of moraines on top of subaqueous grounding-line fans
10 at the head of Glen Turret. These fans emanate from the Teanga Bige and Teanga Mòire
11 catchments and are associated with deposition within the 350 m lake (Boston, 2012a, b) (Fig.
12 3). Here, we argue that these moraines represent the maximum limit of Younger Dryas ice in
13 Glen Turret, based on the same morphostratigraphic criteria applied to the rest of the study
14 area and supported by the altitudes of Younger Dryas limits (360-465 m) in all neighbouring
15 valleys (see Boston et al., 2013, for further discussion). As found in other parts of the
16 Monadhliath, there is strong evidence in the southwest for a more extensive phase of local
17 plateau glaciation prior to the Younger Dryas. This is particularly apparent in valleys such as
18 Glen Buck, Glen Chonnal, Glen Shesgnan and Corrie Yairack where there are numerous
19 large, sporadically-spaced moraines, often surrounded by a prominent river terrace. We
20 therefore argue that the Glen Turret Fan was also deposited during this earlier phase of
21 plateau glaciation. This suggests that a similar ice-damming event to that during the Younger
22 Dryas may have occurred during this time. This is not unreasonable given that this phase of
23 glaciation most likely occurred during an ‘unzipping’ of local and regional ice at the end of
24 the Dimlington Stadial, which would provide prime conditions for ice-damming as has been

1 proposed elsewhere along the Spey Valley (e.g. Brazier et al., 1998; Phillips and Auton, 2000;
2 Everest and Kubik, 2006; Auton, 2013).

3

4 *4.2.2 Previous absolute dating work*

5 Boulders sampled from within three valleys in the southeast of the Monadhliath have been
6 analysed for ^{10}Be surface exposure dating (SED) (Gheorghiu et al., 2012), yielding individual
7 age estimates between 9.7 ± 0.9 ka and 12.5 ± 1.2 ka for the most recent phase of
8 deglaciation, using a global production rate in the CRONUS Earth calculator. The validity of
9 this production rate has recently been questioned (Balco et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2010;
10 Fenton et al., 2011), and these dates have subsequently been recalculated to between $11.3 \pm$
11 0.7 ka and 14.9 ± 0.9 ka, using a local production rate of 3.92 ± 0.18 a/g/yr (Gheorghiu and
12 Fabel, 2013).

13

14 The results of this work broadly support the relative chronology of events identified above, in
15 that the numerical ages support the assertion that the most recent phase of glaciation in the
16 Monadhliath occurred during the Younger Dryas. However, there are, in some cases large,
17 discrepancies between the maximum limits of the Younger Dryas glaciers reconstructed by
18 Gheorghiu et al. (2012) and those presented here (Fig. 4). We argue that this results from a
19 disregard of the geomorphological and morphostratigraphic relationships by Gheorghiu et al.
20 (2012), which adversely affected their sampling strategy and interpretation of their results, as
21 elaborated on below.

22

23 One of our key criticisms of the work is that the glacier limits reconstructed by Gheorghiu et
24 al. (2012) appear to be based entirely upon where their samples were taken rather than on the
25 clear geomorphological contrasts described above. For example, Gheorghiu et al. (2012, p.

1 142) state that “the YD limit of the glacier in Gleann Lochain is indicated by the deposition of
2 Moraine B at 11.8 ± 1.1 ka BP” (recalculated to 13.6 ± 0.8 ka; Gheorghiu and Fabel, 2013)
3 (Moraine B located at position B in Fig. 4). However, by only sampling this moraine, they
4 have failed to test whether this moraine, rather than other moraines down valley, represents
5 the Younger Dryas limit. We argue that Moraine A (position A in Fig. 4) is part of the same
6 landform assemblage and that its orientation indicates deposition by ice sourced from Gleann
7 Lochain, debouching as a piedmont lobe into Glen Banchor, rather than by regional ice as
8 suggested by Gheorghiu et al. (2012). In addition, the morphostratigraphy indicates that
9 moraines A and B belong to a different palaeoglacial event to those moraines further up
10 valley; moraines A and B belong to an assemblage of large (up to 10 m) sporadically-placed
11 moraines with rounded crestlines which are surrounded by a well-defined river terrace (Type
12 2), in contrast to moraines in the upper part of the valley that are closely-spaced, with a dense
13 network of intervening meltwater channels and no well-developed river terraces (Type 1).
14 We therefore argue that Moraine B is more likely to pre-date the Younger Dryas and suggest
15 that the date is too young, as a result of exhumation or toppling during moraine stabilisation
16 (e.g. Lüthgens and Böse, 2012). Given that the revised date for this moraine of 13.6 ± 0.8 ka
17 largely predates the beginning of the Younger Dryas, the most reasonable and likely
18 interpretation is that the moraine was deposited during deglaciation towards the end of the
19 Dimlington Stadial.

20

21 In the neighbouring valley of Gleann Ballach, two boulders sampled on top of a large ridge
22 (H; Fig. 4) give a mean age of 11.2 ± 1.1 ka (recalculated to 13.2 ± 0.8 ka; Gheorghiu and
23 Fabel, 2013). Whilst Gheorghiu et al. (2012) acknowledge a glaciolacustrine origin for the
24 ridge, caused by an ice-damming event by Late Devensian regional ice in Glen Banchor, their
25 continued use of exposure ages to define glacier limits has led to placement of the Younger

1 Dryas limit at the northern side of this ridge. The authors describe the glacier as having
2 “abutted the north side of the pre-existing lake deposit” (p.142), failing to recognise the
3 abundant evidence for glaciotectonic disturbance and possible tectonic thickening of the
4 sequence, predominantly in a northeasterly direction from ice located in Glen Banchor to the
5 south (Auton, 2013).

6

7 Further evidence of this regional ice advancing northwards into Gleann Ballach is found
8 immediately to the north of the glaciolacustrine ridge, in the form of a series of subdued
9 lateral moraines (I; Fig. 4). These moraines terminate as they are cross-cut by younger
10 moraines from local ice moving southwards down Gleann Ballach. The outermost local
11 lateral moraine therefore depicts the maximum position that a subsequent local glacier
12 advance reached, indicating that it did not reach as far south as the glaciolacustrine ridge.
13 This is supported by the presence of a significant terrace, which continues upstream of the
14 ridge, until it reaches the outermost local moraine. This morphostratigraphical evidence
15 indicates a Younger Dryas age for the local moraines in agreement with the remainder of the
16 surface exposure ages obtained by Gheorghiu et al. (2012). However, based on this
17 morphostratigraphical and sedimentological evidence, we argue that the surface exposure
18 ages for boulders on the glaciolacustrine ridge should be interpreted as being too young, and
19 the ridge should not have been used to mark the maximum limit of a Younger Dryas glacier.
20 A likely cause for the young age, although dismissed by Gheorghiu et al. (2012), is that fine-
21 grained (i.e. glaciolacustrine) sediments can easily be entrained by strong katabatic wind in
22 glacier forelands, leading to surface lowering (e.g. Reuther et al., 2005; Schaller et al., 2009;
23 Lukas et al., 2012) and boulder exhumation.

24

1 Lastly, a major discrepancy occurs between the surface exposure ages and the
2 morphostratigraphical signature in the upper part of Gleann Chaorainn (Fig. 4). Here, both
3 Boston (2012b) and Trelea-Newton and Golledge (2012) interpret the closely-spaced
4 moraines and the absence of a prominent terrace or extensive periglacial features (cf. Lukas,
5 2006) in the upper part of the valley to be indicative of deposition during the Younger Dryas.
6 However, the three ages obtained from boulders on moraines (J; Fig. 4) (interpreted by
7 Gheorghiu et al. (2012) as a fluvially-dissected surface of till) are widely scattered: 16.2 ± 1.5
8 ka, 14.1 ± 1.3 ka and 11.6 ± 1.0 ka (recalculated to 19.3 ± 1.1 ka, 16.8 ± 0.9 , 13.7 ± 0.8 ;
9 Gheorghiu and Fabel, 2013). Gheorghiu et al. (2012) dismiss the youngest date as the result
10 of either exhumation or overturning and therefore do not reconstruct a Younger Dryas glacier
11 in this valley. Thus, there is inconsistency both between the three dates and the way in which
12 Gheorghiu et al. (2012) interpret these ages compared to those in the other two valleys, with
13 no clear reasoning provided. Based on morphostratigraphical relationships, we argue that
14 these dates are too old and may have been affected by nuclide inheritance. This is certainly
15 possible, given that the orientation of the moraines and meltwater channels indicates a short,
16 thin outlet glacier, which may not have had the erosive capacity to ‘reset the nuclide clock’
17 (cf. Heyman et al., 2011).

18

19 Based on the similar landform assemblages and clear morphostratigraphic signature for two
20 palaeoglacial events across the three valleys discussed above and Gleann Fionndrigh from
21 which no numerical ages were obtained, we have reconstructed glaciers in the heads of all
22 four valleys during the Younger Dryas. This fits with the geomorphological evidence across
23 the Monadhliath for a Younger Dryas plateau icefield. In comparison, as a consequence of
24 their reliance on surface exposure ages to define Younger Dryas glacier limits, Gheorghiu et
25 al. (2012) present a very inconsistent reconstruction of Younger Dryas ice in this area. The

1 Younger Dryas maximum in Gleann Lochain is depicted at approximately 470 m OD near the
2 mouth of the valley, whilst ice is restricted to 800 m OD on the plateau to the north of Gleann
3 Chaorainn, resulting in a sharp rise in their calculated ELAs (Fig. 4).

4
5 In summary, our main criticism of the work by Gheorghiu et al. (2012) is the lack of
6 understanding of the landsystem and morphostratigraphy in the study area, which should
7 always form the precursor to a landform dating programme (Lowe and Walker, 2014). Only
8 from such a solid foundation would a systematic and well-targeted process of sampling for
9 chronological reconstruction have been possible. Gheorghiu et al. (2012) appear to have
10 followed an emerging methodology in which the sampling and analytical procedure of SED is
11 valued more than the geomorphological context that it is being employed to decipher. Where
12 this has happened elsewhere (e.g. Southern Scandinavian Ice Sheet margin: Rinterknecht et
13 al., 2005, 2006; Houmark-Nielsen et al., 2012; Lüthgens et al., 2011; New Zealand: Schaefer
14 et al., 2009; Winkler and Matthews, 2010; Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012), it has invariably led
15 to premature chronological reconstructions and resulted in unnecessary confusion rather than
16 progress. We welcome rigorous testing of the relative chronology presented here, based on a
17 detailed sampling strategy designed to actually test the maximum Younger Dryas glacier
18 limits that are strongly indicated by the morphostratigraphical signature.

19

20 *4.3. Younger Dryas plateau icefield reconstruction*

21 The identification of Younger Dryas glacier limits, combined with geomorphological
22 evidence that ice was sourced from the plateau, allowed the former plateau icefield to be
23 reconstructed within ESRI ArcGIS. Where distinct lateral moraines, upper limits of sediment
24 (drift limits), and sharp lower boundaries of talus slopes and solifluction lobes occur, the

1 upper limit of the former ice surface could be confidently reconstructed and extrapolated to
2 help constrain the ice surface on the plateau and in neighbouring valleys.
3
4 In several areas geomorphological evidence was lacking, so that constraining ice thickness
5 across much of the plateau was near impossible to estimate based on the geomorphological
6 evidence alone. This was in part due to uncertainty as to what the lower boundaries of the
7 blockfields and solifluction lobes on the plateau summits represent. Blockfields are relict
8 features that are proposed to have formed as far back as the Neogene (Nesje, 1989; Rea et al.,
9 1996; Whalley et al., 1997, 2004; Sumner and Meiklejohn, 2004; Fjellanger et al., 2006;
10 Paasche et al., 2006), although recent work indicates they may have predominantly formed
11 through physical weathering, such as frost wedging, under periglacial conditions during the
12 Quaternary (Ballantyne, 1998, 2010a; Goodfellow et al., 2009; Goodfellow, 2012; Hopkinson
13 and Ballantyne, 2014). Irrespective of this debate, the extensive blockfields on many of the
14 summits in the Monadhliath would not have formed on ice-free summits during the Younger
15 Dryas alone (*sensu*. Fabel et al., 2012). Their presence would therefore suggest that these
16 locations have not been subject to extensive periods of glacial erosion either during the
17 Younger Dryas or earlier phases of glaciation. This indicates that the blockfields either
18 remained as nunataks above any warm-based ice (e.g. Ballantyne, 1997; Ballantyne et al.,
19 1997), or were covered and protected by cold-based ice (e.g. Whalley et al., 1981; Gellatly et
20 al., 1988; Kleman, 1994; Rea et al., 1996; Fjellanger et al., 2006; Ballantyne, 2010b; Fabel et
21 al., 2012). Similarly, although the solifluction lobes could have formed during the Younger
22 Dryas, the absence of a sharp lower boundary to any of the lobes on the plateau summits
23 makes it difficult to use them to delineate the upper ice surface, in contrast to the solifluction
24 lobes at the plateau-valley transitions in the southeast of the study area. This lack of a sharp
25 boundary indicates that the solifluction lobes could also either be relict features that were

1 covered by cold-based ice during the Younger Dryas, or that they are still active, as
2 conditions for solifluction have prevailed during the Holocene in areas above 550 m in
3 Scotland (Ballantyne, 2008).

4

5 In order to resolve the issues concerning plateau ice thickness and to examine the assumption
6 that all valley glaciers were connected to ice on the plateau above them, two 2D glacier
7 surface profile models were applied in combination with the available geomorphological
8 evidence. This approach was used to guide ice thickness in the reconstruction and ensure that
9 the minimum and maximum boundaries were glaciologically feasible. Like the majority of
10 glacier reconstructions, particularly for Younger Dryas glaciers in Scotland, the method was
11 still predominantly subjective due to the emphasis on the interpretation of the
12 geomorphological evidence, but examination of the model outputs allowed a more objective
13 element to be included, particularly in the areas where geomorphological evidence was
14 lacking.

15

16 The first model by Benn and Hulton (2010) is a ‘perfectly plastic’ flowline model adapted
17 from Nye (1951, 1952) and van der Veen (1999), and various versions of this model have
18 been used in previous glacier reconstructions (e.g. Schilling and Hollin, 1981; Locke, 1995;
19 Rea and Evans, 2007; Carr and Coleman, 2007; Vieira, 2008). The authors specifically
20 highlight its use for defining otherwise unconstrained ice surfaces on plateaux. Inputs to the
21 model are bed topography and ice surface elevations, where known along the glacier centre
22 line. A shape factor (f) is also required to estimate the effect of lateral drag from the valley
23 sides. Basal shear stress is then altered to initially constrain the modelled profile to any
24 known ice surface elevations before being used to extrapolate the profile to areas where
25 geomorphological evidence is absent.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A key problem with this model is the wide range of shear stresses that can be used to generate the ice surface profile. In modern valley glaciers, basal shear stresses are generally estimated to range between 50 and 150 kPa (Benn and Evans, 2010). However, there is a large difference in the heights of the modelled surface profiles that are produced using the lower and upper extremes of this range and the valley topography often causes a greater constraint on the scope of possible ice surface elevations; in many valleys geomorphological evidence dictates that the ice surface must have extended onto the plateau and/or geomorphological evidence in neighbouring valleys dictates maximum ice thickness. Therefore, shear stresses of a similar magnitude to those in the geomorphologically-constrained part of the reconstructed glacier were used to extrapolate the former glacier surface into unconstrained areas. These were typically around 50 kPa. The shear stresses were increased if the topography steepened and lowered if the gradient decreased, including an adjustment to zero near the ice divide (Benn and Hulton, 2010). The Benn and Hulton (2010) model was therefore used with most confidence to reconstruct the minimum and maximum surface elevations of the upper parts of outlet glaciers that were well-constrained for the majority of their profile (Fig. 5).

The second model used was devised by Ng et al. (2010) to test whether a col was formerly submerged by ice and, if so, the minimum height of the ice above the col. This is achieved through examination of variations in the curvature of modern glacier surface profiles using eqn. (1) (Nye, 1951, 1952).

$$h(x) = C\sqrt{x} \tag{1}$$

1 and the constant C as:
$$C = \left(\frac{2\tau_0}{\rho g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

2 where x is the horizontal distance from the ice margin in an up-glacier direction, h is the height above
3 the glacier margin, τ_0 is the basal shear stress, ρ is the ice density and g is gravitational acceleration.

4

5 Ng et al. (2010) favour this equation, which defines the parabolic surface profile of a glacier
6 resting on a flat bed rather than a slope, to overcome the problem of extrapolating the bed
7 topography from beneath modern glaciers. The constant C describes how ‘stiff’ the flow of
8 ice is and this value increases with ice stiffness. Ng et al. (2010) calculate two values for C
9 from the surface profiles of 200 ice masses (Fig. 6). C^* describes the whole of the glacier
10 profile and \tilde{C} ‘best fits’ eqn. (2) to the glacier profile (see Ng et al., 2010 for details). Based
11 on the range of C^* and \tilde{C} values within the modern dataset, Ng et al. (2010) define their
12 minimum values based upon glacier length, since the influence of bed topography on the
13 surface profile increases as glacier length decreases. Ng et al. (2010) specify that the
14 minimum value for C^* within valley glaciers is $5.2 \text{ m}^{1/2}$ and demonstrate that this can be used
15 to identify the minimum ice surface height at the ice divide (Fig. 6).

16

17 In the present study area the lengths of the former outlet glaciers are relatively small and
18 therefore lie at the lower end of the range of glacier lengths in the Ng et al. (2010) dataset,
19 where C values are severely affected by the bed topography. As a result, for the majority of
20 outlets, the minimum value of $5.2 \text{ m}^{1/2}$ for C^* produced ice profiles that did not reach the
21 height of the col despite geomorphological evidence clearly indicating the presence of ice
22 over some cols. In other areas, where either the valley gradient was low, or the majority of the
23 outlet catchment was on the plateau, the minimum C^* value of $5.2 \text{ m}^{1/2}$ produced an
24 unrealistically high minimum surface profile.

1
2 The modern analogue dataset used by Ng et al. (2010) was acquired from one of the authors
3 (I.D. Barr, pers. comm., 2011) and is plotted below (Fig. 7) to encompass glacier length, the
4 C^* value and, importantly, glacier relief (H). Appropriate minimum, maximum and ‘typical’
5 C^* values were then identified from Figure 7 for individual former outlet glaciers in the study
6 area. To do this, for each outlet glacier to be reconstructed, a range of ± 50 m either side of
7 its altitudinal relief and $\pm 30\%$ of its length were used to define an envelope of C^* values
8 from which a minimum, maximum and ‘typical’ C^* value was taken. This method, although
9 admittedly crude, provided a way of selecting minimum and maximum C^* values for each
10 outlet glacier that provided a more realistic constraint on the range of possible ice thicknesses,
11 based on the glacier’s length and altitudinal range, than the original suggestion by Ng et al.
12 (2010). However, for some outlets, this still resulted in a wide range of potential ice surface
13 elevations, potentially due to the fact that the location of the modern glaciers used in Figure 7
14 was not considered and therefore differences in the thermal regime between the modern and
15 reconstructed glaciers were not taken into account here.

16
17 The use of the two modelling approaches, *combined with constraints from the*
18 *geomorphological evidence*, allowed minimum and maximum heights for the plateau ice
19 surface to be estimated. An example of this process is shown in Figure 8. Since the
20 geomorphological evidence indicates a plateau icefield, ice surface altitudes of individual
21 outlet glaciers in neighbouring valleys and across the plateau (i.e. north-south) had to be
22 treated together as the altitude across ice-divides must be the same (Fig. 8B). This meant that
23 the modelled surface profiles could not always be followed exactly (although were followed
24 as closely as possible), even where geomorphological evidence was lacking (Fig. 8C). In a

1 complex plateau ice cap setting this seems to be the only feasible, transparent and
2 reproducible approach.

3

4 Thus, in an attempt to acknowledge and quantify varying levels of uncertainty across the
5 reconstruction, the approach described above was used to reconstruct a minimum, maximum
6 and 'average' thickness icefield (Fig. 9). These minimum and maximum reconstructions were
7 then used to provide a quantitative estimate of uncertainty in subsequent ELA and
8 palaeoprecipitation calculations (see Section 4.4). Ice contours were drawn at 50 m intervals
9 to depict ice thickness. The contours were hand drawn and their position estimated using the
10 underlying topography and guided by the surface profiles produced by the Benn and Hulton
11 (2010) model. Following the shape of contours on modern glaciers, the contours were drawn
12 to curve downglacier in the estimated ablation zone and upglacier in the estimated
13 accumulation zone, whilst more or less straight across in the zone around the estimated ELA.
14 The estimated ablation and accumulation zones will have some bearing on the ELAs
15 calculated in Section 4.4, since the curvature of the contours controls the size of each
16 altitudinal envelope used to calculate the ELAs. However, this is a standard procedure for
17 drawing glacier contours in reconstructions (e.g. Gray, 1982; Benn and Ballantyne, 2005;
18 Carr and Coleman, 2007; Ballantyne, 2002a, 2007a, b; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010) and its
19 influence on the calculated ELAs is likely to be minimal. In areas where the minimum,
20 maximum and average reconstructions varied, the contours were also drawn so that the
21 maximum reconstruction contours depicted thicker ice than the average and minimum
22 reconstructions.

23

24 The results of this unprecedented integrated approach to palaeoglacier reconstruction indicate
25 that two coalescent plateau icefields, covering a total area of 280km², developed over the

1 central part of the Monadhliath and the adjoining western upland area during the Younger
2 Dryas, with all former valley glaciers fed by and connected to ice sourced on the plateau. The
3 most obvious area of uncertainty is in the southeast, concerning whether blockfield-covered
4 summits were indeed nunataks, and, if so, to what extent (Fig. 9). The geomorphological
5 evidence (lateral moraines and sharp lower boundaries of talus slopes and solifluction lobes)
6 (Fig. 2D) prescribes that the glaciers in Gleann Lochain, Gleann Ballach and Gleann
7 Fionndrigh were connected to ice on the plateau. However, the spatial extent of this
8 connection is unknown and could be as limited as that depicted in the minimum
9 reconstruction (Fig. 9B), with implications for the longevity of the connection of these outlet
10 glaciers to plateau ice during retreat.

11

12 *4.4. Palaeoclimate during the Younger Dryas*

13 The equilibrium line altitude (ELA) is the altitude on a glacier's surface at which net annual
14 accumulation is equal to ablation, thus providing an indication of where glacier mass balance
15 is equal to zero. The ELA is therefore sensitive to variations in precipitation (correlated with
16 accumulation) and melt-season air temperature (correlated with ablation), and hence changes
17 in the ELA provide an important indicator of fluctuations in local to regional climate (Benn
18 and Evans, 2010). The ELA is therefore often used in palaeoclimate reconstructions based on
19 the former dimensions of glaciers at their maximum extent (e.g. Ballantyne, 2002a, 2007a, b;
20 Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Bakke et al., 2005a, b; Rea and Evans, 2007; Lukas and
21 Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al., 2011; Bendle and Glasser, 2012). Calculated palaeo-ELAs
22 are usually referred to as steady-state ELAs (Benn et al., 2005) since an assumption is made
23 that the reconstructed glacier was in equilibrium with climate at the time it was at its
24 maximum position. However, this is notional since it is unlikely that all glaciers
25 reconstructed within a particular area were in equilibrium at their maximum positions due to

1 short-lived advances (Roe, 2011). It is also unlikely that individual outlets reached their
2 maxima simultaneously (Section 5.2; Lukas and Benn, 2006; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010).
3
4 Whilst a number of methods have been suggested to calculate the ELAs of former glaciers
5 (see Meierding (1982) for a comprehensive review), the three used predominantly in previous
6 reconstructions of Younger Dryas glaciers in Scotland are the Area Altitude Balance Ratio
7 (AABR; Furbish and Andrews, 1984; Benn and Gemmell, 1997; Osmaston, 2005), the
8 Accumulation Area Ratio (AAR; Porter, 1975; Torsnes et al., 1993; Benn and Lehmkuhl,
9 2000) and the Area Weighted Mean Altitude (AWMA; Sissons, 1974). The AABR is
10 currently considered the most reliable method for calculating palaeo-ELAs since it takes into
11 account glacier hypsometry and incorporates different accumulation and ablation gradients
12 through the use of a balance ratio (Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Osmaston, 2005; Rea, 2009).
13 However, a key assumption of this method is that both the accumulation and ablation
14 gradients are linear, a factor that remains unknown for any former glacier reconstructions.
15 The majority of accumulation and ablation gradients of glaciers within a dataset of sixty-six
16 modern glaciers examined by Rea (2009) fulfilled this assumption, however, indicating that
17 the assumption is likely to hold true at least for the majority of reconstructed glaciers. The
18 AABR method can only be considered representative, however, if an appropriate balance ratio
19 is used (Rea, 2009). Previous palaeoglaciological research in Scotland has used AABRs of
20 1.67, 1.8 and 2.0 following Benn and Gemmell (1997). More recent work by Rea (2009)
21 calculated an average AABR of 1.9 ± 0.81 (\pm is one standard deviation) for twenty-three mid-
22 latitude maritime (MLM) glaciers examined in a larger study.
23
24 The Rea (2009) dataset provides the most extensive range of balance ratios from a range of
25 glacier types, but the majority of these glaciers were in retreat, rather than in steady-state

1 equilibrium as assumed for the maximum extent of reconstructed glaciers (Rea, 2009), which
2 may introduce additional error into the palaeo-ELA calculations. In addition, the wide ranges
3 on the derived AABRs for specific regions identified by Rea (2009) are significant, with the
4 standard deviation of ± 0.81 for MLM glaciers, demonstrating a wide scope of balance ratios
5 of glaciers at similar latitudes. Nonetheless, the Rea (2009) dataset currently provides the
6 largest number of balance ratios for MLM glaciers, thereby providing the most representative
7 range of AABRs for calculating AABR ELAs in the study area. In addition, inclusion of one
8 standard deviation (± 0.81) into the ELA calculations encompasses the previously used
9 balance ratios of 1.67, 1.8 and 2.0. The differences between the ELAs calculated using these
10 balance ratios is actually very small (a few metres; Table 2), particularly in comparison to
11 other uncertainties related to palaeoclimate reconstruction, which are outlined throughout.
12 We therefore suggest that an AABR of 1.9 ± 0.81 covers a credible range of values and is
13 appropriate for use in future studies of Younger Dryas glaciers in Scotland. Considering the
14 Monadhliath Icefield specifically, however, it must be noted that whilst the MLM dataset
15 contains AABR values for five Norwegian plateau icecap outlet glaciers, AABRs for a whole
16 icefield or icecap are not included. Additionally, these outlet glaciers have AABRs between
17 1.19 and 1.64, substantially lower than the average of 1.9, although within one standard
18 deviation, indicating that ELAs calculated here for individual glaciers using the AABR of 1.9
19 ± 0.81 should be considered as minima. This is because lower AABR values will result in
20 higher ELA estimations.

21

22 An ELA is estimated using this approach for the average-thickness Monadhliath Icefield
23 using 50 m contour interval areas. In the same way, ELAs are also calculated for those
24 individual outlet glaciers which had a range of relief spanning at least four 50 m contour
25 intervals. Ice divides for these glaciers are assumed to be at the current watershed. ELAs are

1 also calculated for the minimum and maximum thickness icefield reconstructions in order to
2 quantify uncertainty associated with the reconstruction, alongside the uncertainties associated
3 with the 1.9 ± 0.81 AABR. These ELAs and associated ranges are presented in Table 2,
4 which for comparison includes ELAs calculated from the previously used AABRs of 1.67, 1.8
5 and 2.0, AARs of 0.5 and 0.6, and the AWMA methods for the region (e.g. Sissons and
6 Sutherland, 1976; Sissons, 1979b; Ballantyne and Wain-Hobson, 1980; Benn and Ballantyne,
7 2005; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al., 2011). The ELAs calculated for each
8 glacier using the different methods fall within a range of 10% of one another showing a
9 reasonable level of agreement between each method. Within potential errors, these ELAs are
10 therefore largely indistinguishable.

11

12 Using the 1.9 ± 0.81 AABR value, the ELA for the average Monadhliath Icefield is $714 \pm$
13 25 m. Also using the average reconstruction, there is a clear rise in ELAs of individual
14 glaciers from west to east across the region, with a range of 560 – 646 m in the west, 649 –
15 754 m in the central sector and 738 – 816 m in the east (Fig. 10). The highest ELAs are found
16 on the southern side of the plateau and the lowest occur on the northern side, although the
17 difference between the north and south-facing glacier ELAs is less pronounced than
18 differences between those in the east and west. This is consistent with other studies that have
19 identified a strong west-east precipitation gradient (e.g. Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Lukas
20 and Benn, 2006; Golledge, 2010; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010) indicating that conditions for
21 glacier growth were more favourable on the west of the Monadhliath, due to a dominant
22 eastward movement of moist airmasses from the Atlantic Ocean (cf. Golledge et al., 2008;
23 Palmer et al., 2012). Since the AABR method is considered to be the most reliable, ELAs
24 calculated using an AABR of 1.9 ± 0.81 are used to estimate former precipitation in the area.

25

1 In order to uphold a steady-state ELA, a balance is required between annual precipitation and
2 summer air temperatures to maintain mass flux through the ELA and keep the glacier in
3 equilibrium (Benn and Evans, 2010). As precipitation levels decrease, regional ELAs tend to
4 rise because lower temperatures are required to balance accumulation and ablation totals.
5 Examination of the association between mean summer temperature and total annual
6 precipitation at the ELA of 70 glaciers from mid- and high- latitudes allowed Ohmura et al.
7 (1992) to develop an equation to describe this relationship:

8

$$9 \quad P_a = 645 + 296T_3 + 9T_3^2 \quad (3)$$

10

11 Where P_a is the annual precipitation (mm a^{-1}) and T_3 is the 3-month mean summer temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) at
12 the equilibrium line.

13

14 This relationship allows either temperature or precipitation to be calculated if an independent
15 value is known for the other. It has been used extensively to derive palaeoprecipitation at the
16 ELA of former glaciers in combination with an independent temperature proxy (Kerschner et
17 al., 2000; Carr, 2001; Bendle and Glasser, 2012), usually derived as mean July temperatures
18 inferred from subfossil chironomids assemblages, for Scottish Younger Dryas glaciers (e.g.
19 Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al., 2011). Its suitability
20 for palaeoclimatic reconstruction has been debated, however, due to differences in incoming
21 radiation and factors such as aspect, wind direction and topography that may affect the
22 precipitation-temperature relationship at a local scale (Dahl and Nesje, 1996; Dahl et al.,
23 1997; Kaser and Osmaston, 2002; Benn et al., 2005; Evans, 2006; Braithwaite, 2008;
24 Golledge et al., 2010). Others argue that this ‘smoothing-out’ of local variations is
25 advantageous, since it leaves the dataset more reliable compared to attempting to account for
26 local variability in areas where no modern glacier data exists (Benn and Ballantyne, 2005;

1 Lukas and Bradwell, 2010). Despite potential shortcomings, and in the absence of a more
2 suitable equation, the Ohmura et al. (1992) relationship has formed the basis for the majority
3 of recent palaeoclimatic reconstructions based on palaeo-ELAs in Scotland.

4
5 There is, however, a discrepancy in the amount of precipitation suggested by
6 palaeoglaciological reconstructions that have used this relationship (e.g. Benn and Ballantyne,
7 2005; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010) and general circulation models (GCMs) (e.g. Björck et al.,
8 2002; Jost et al., 2005), where the GCMs suggest a much drier climate. Golledge et al. (2010)
9 suggest this inconsistency could be explained by an increase in continentality, caused by
10 extensive sea-ice development in winter (Isarin et al., 1998; Isarin and Rensen, 1999), which
11 may cause the Younger Dryas climate in Scotland and resultant glacier dimensions to fall
12 outside of or near the margins of validity of the Ohmura relationship. This is supported by
13 biological proxies and periglacial evidence that indicates that the annual temperature range in
14 Scotland during the Younger Dryas was about 30°C (Atkinson et al., 1987; Ballantyne and
15 Harris, 1994). As an acknowledgment of this complexity and arguments made above,
16 Golledge et al. (2010) advocate the use of a new function specifically designed for Younger
17 Dryas palaeoprecipitation calculations in Scotland. Golledge et al. (2010) argue that this new
18 precipitation-temperature function (eqn. 4), which is based upon a model of the Scottish
19 Younger Dryas ice cap (Golledge et al., 2008), and incorporates Younger Dryas climate
20 estimates from the Greenland ice core record and regional biological proxy data (annual
21 temperature range, 30°C), will provide a more realistic estimation of Younger Dryas
22 palaeoprecipitation in Scotland and recommend its adoption into such studies.

23

$$24 \quad P = S(14.2T_3^2 + 248.2T_3 + 213.5) \quad (4)$$

25

1 Where P is effective precipitation, S is the seasonality constant; $S = 1$ for neutral type, $S = 1.4$ for
2 summer-dominated and $S = 0.8$ for winter-dominated precipitation seasonality, T_3 is the mean 3-
3 month summer temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) at the ELA.

4

5 The seasonality constant (S) allows the equation to be altered to account for neutral-, winter-
6 and summer-type precipitation. Golledge et al. (2010) argue that the time of year at which the
7 majority of precipitation falls will alter the amount that is required to maintain a glacier in
8 steady state for a particular ELA. For example, in summer a large proportion of precipitation
9 will either be lost to ablation or will fall as rain, enhancing ablation by advective heat transfer,
10 and therefore larger annual quantities are required to maintain the same ELA. Therefore
11 Golledge et al. (2010) recommend use of the term *effective* precipitation.

12

13 Palaeoprecipitation for the Monadhliath is calculated using both the Ohmura et al. (1992) and
14 the Golledge et al. (2010) equations, enabling comparison with previous studies and
15 comparison between the two methods. Two values for summer temperature at sea level of
16 $8.5 \pm 0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ (mean July temperature) and 6.38°C are used, in accordance with other recent
17 work (e.g. Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Finlayson, 2006; Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson
18 et al., 2011). The $8.5 \pm 0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ value is based on chironomid data from Whitrig Bog (125 m
19 OD) and Abernethy Forest (220 m OD), which lie approximately 260 km southeast and 30-90
20 km east of the Monadhliath respectively (Brooks and Birks, 2000, 2001; Brooks et al., 2012).
21 Further details can be found in Benn and Ballantyne (2005).

22

23 The second value of 6.38°C is derived from modelling experiments by Golledge et al. (2008).
24 It is advocated by Golledge (2008) as an alternative value that takes into account the effects of
25 localised cooling of air temperatures by glaciers (Khodakov, 1975; Braithwaite, 1980; Singh
26 et al., 2000; Hughes and Braithwaite, 2008), unlike chironomid-derived temperatures that are

1 derived from ice-free areas, and has been used to calculate palaeoprecipitation by Finlayson et
2 al. (2011) for the Younger Dryas icecap on Beinn Dearg in northern Scotland.

3
4 Use of either of these temperatures, derived from the coldest part of the Younger Dryas, is
5 potentially at odds with a complex build-up history of Scottish Younger Dryas ice masses,
6 which is likely to have started in more temperate conditions prior to the Younger Dryas (cf.
7 Lukas and Bradwell, 2010). This means that precipitation calculated using this temperature
8 value could potentially underestimate precipitation totals during glacier build-up, adding
9 further uncertainty to these values.

10
11 In order to transform the mean July summer temperature of $8.5 \pm 0.3^\circ \text{C}$ into a mean summer
12 temperature, as required by both equations, eqn. (5) was used, as advocated by Benn and
13 Ballantyne (2005) from analysis of meteorological data from Scotland and Scandinavia. This
14 equation assumes that the current Scandinavian and Scottish summer climates are good
15 analogues for summer climate in Scotland during the Younger Dryas. However, in the
16 absence of any other data, the equation is used here and considered to be a reasonable
17 approximation.

$$18$$
$$19 \quad T_3 = 0.97T_J \quad (5)$$

20
21 where T_3 is the mean summer temperature and T_J is the mean July temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) at the ELA.

22
23 Environmental lapse rates are highly variable and a wide range has been documented in
24 modern glacial and periglacial environments (e.g. Jonsell et al., 2013; Pike et al., 2013), thus
25 adding significant uncertainty to this stage of the palaeoprecipitation calculation. To keep our

1 calculations consistent with previous palaeoglacier reconstructions in Scotland, we use
2 environmental lapse rates of $0.006\text{--}0.007\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$ to derive T_3 at the ELA for both temperature
3 values.

4
5 An average lapse rate of $0.0065\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$ is used for the average-thickness Monadhliath Icefield
6 ELAs, and palaeoprecipitation values for the Monadhliath Icefield and its outlet glaciers are
7 displayed in Table 3 following the methods described above. The lower ($0.006\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$) and
8 upper ($0.007\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$) lapse rates, are incorporated into the uncertainty at a later stage using the
9 minimum and maximum-thickness icefield reconstructions, and a standard error of $\pm 200\text{ mm}$
10 also added to incorporate variations in the relationship between air temperature and ablation
11 (Ohmura et al., 1992). The lower boundary of uncertainty (minimum precipitation) is
12 calculated using a 1.09 AABR ELA (lower error bracket of the AABR 1.9 ± 0.81) for the
13 maximum icefield reconstruction, since this produces the highest ELA. The lower margin
14 ($8.2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) of the mean July sea-level temperature of $8.5 \pm 0.3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ is then used alongside the
15 lowest adiabatic lapse rate ($0.006\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$). Conversely, the upper boundary of uncertainty
16 (maximum precipitation) is calculated using a 2.71 AABR ELA from the upper boundary of
17 the 1.9 ± 0.81 balance ratio from the minimum icefield reconstruction, using a mean July sea-
18 level temperature of $8.8\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and an adiabatic lapse rate of $0.007\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C m}^{-1}$. Of note is the use of
19 the maximum thickness icefield reconstruction to calculate the minimum precipitation values
20 and vice versa due to the effect that a thicker or thinner plateau icefield has on raising or
21 lowering the ELA, and that using a lower lapse rate with a the lower July sea-level
22 temperature produces a higher July temperature at the ELA. However, these combinations
23 were selected with the sole purpose of producing the largest range of potential precipitation
24 values, and thus acknowledge all uncertainties regarding balance ratios, July temperatures and
25 environmental lapse rates.

1

2 Following the method outlined above and incorporating these uncertainties, average
3 palaeoprecipitation of the Monadhliath Icefield is calculated at $1829 \pm 491 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ at the
4 714 m ELA using the Ohmura relationship and a mean July temperature at sea level of
5 $8.5 \pm 0.3^\circ \text{ C}$. Using this temperature, values for summer-dominated, neutral and winter-
6 dominated precipitation with an annual temperature range of 30° C are $1809 \pm 592 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$,
7 $1292 \pm 480 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ and $1034 \pm 424 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ at the ELA respectively. Precipitation values for
8 the icefield calculated using the summer temperature of 6.38° C are significantly lower (Table
9 3). Palaeoprecipitation is also calculated for major outlet glaciers using the mean July
10 temperature of $8.5 \pm 0.3^\circ \text{ C}$ and shows a decrease in precipitation from west to east, reflecting
11 the rise in ELAs.

12

13 In order to compare the precipitation values with other studies the equivalent sea-level
14 precipitation totals are calculated. Since precipitation increases non-linearly with altitude,
15 Ballantyne (2002a) devised a relationship to calculate the corresponding precipitation at
16 different altitudes using:

17

$$18 \quad P_{Z1} = P_{Z2}/(1+P^*)^{0.01(Z2-Z1)} \quad (6)$$

19

20 Where P_{Z1} and P_{Z2} are the amounts of precipitation (mm a^{-1}) at sea level and the ELA respectively.
21 P^* is the proportional increase in precipitation per 100 m increase in elevation. Based on a dataset for
22 Ben Nevis, which is approximately 30 km southwest of the study area, Ballantyne (2002) shows that
23 $P^* = 0.0578$ and this value is used here.

24

25 The sea-level equivalent precipitation for the Monadhliath Icefield is found to be 1224 ± 409
26 mm a^{-1} using the Ohmura et al. (1992) equation, and $1211 \pm 480 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$, $865 \pm 400 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$,

1 and $692 \pm 360 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$, for the summer-, neutral- and winter-dominated precipitation types
2 respectively using the Golledge et al. (2010) function (Table 3).

3

4 *4.5. The cooling effect of glaciers on local air temperatures*

5 Unlike the value of $6.38 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ derived from modelling experiments (Golledge, 2008), the
6 chironomid-derived July palaeotemperature of $8.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ does not account for the effect of
7 air temperature cooling by glaciers. Consequently, the 2°C difference in these values results
8 in a stark contrast between the palaeoprecipitation values calculated for each temperature
9 (Table 3). This is investigated further for a selected number of outlet glaciers and indicates
10 that use of $6.38 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ results in a reduction in precipitation values of up to 50% compared to
11 those calculated using the $8.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ July sea-level temperature inferred from chironomid
12 assemblages, dependent on ELA (Table 4).

13

14 According to Khodakov (1975) glaciers may reduce the surrounding air temperature by
15 between 1.6 and 1.9°C for a glacier of $10\text{-}20 \text{ km}$ in length (eqn. (7)).

16

$$17 \log\Delta T = 0.28\log L - 0.07 \quad (7)$$

18

19 Where ΔT is the change in temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) and L is the length the glacier (km).

20

21 Using eqn. (7) to reduce the temperature at the ELA for the $8.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ July sea-level
22 temperature, precipitation values are recalculated for a selected number of outlet glaciers
23 (Table 4). The effect of glacier cooling on air temperature cannot be considered for the
24 icefield as a whole or more complex outlet glaciers, however, since no single value can be
25 obtained for glacier length.

1
2 Since the majority of outlet glaciers in the Monadhliath are less than 5 km in length, the
3 reduction in temperature calculated using eqn. (7) is lower than the 2°C used by Finlayson et
4 al. (2011) and results in precipitation reductions of between 17% and 33% for the Ohmura et
5 al. (1992) relationship, and between 23% and 46% for the Golledge et al. (2010) equation
6 (Table 4), dependent on glacier length and ELA. It is clear from these calculations that, whilst
7 the cooling effect that glaciers have on local air temperatures is significant, there is
8 considerable uncertainty surrounding the amount that temperature at the ELA of individual
9 glaciers will be lowered, which in turn can notably alter the amount of precipitation
10 calculated. We suggest that the precipitation totals presented in Table 3 for the 8.5 ± 0.3 °C
11 July sea-level temperature should be considered first to allow comparison with previous
12 studies, but that these should be regarded as maximum values to account for any effects of
13 glacier cooling.

14

15 **5. Discussion**

16 *5.1. Icefield dimensions and thermal regime*

17 The Younger Dryas plateau icefield reconstructed in this study covers an area of c. 280 km²
18 and is of similar proportions to that generated through proxy-climate-based numerical
19 modelling by Golledge et al. (2008) (Fig. 11). The model is of insufficient resolution to
20 reproduce ice flowing into any of the major outlet valleys, remaining on the plateau only, but
21 it provides a remarkably close match in terms of eastwards extent of plateau ice to that based
22 on the empirical field evidence presented here. In the west, the model is unable to reproduce
23 the established limits of the West Highlands Ice Cap, since it cannot replicate ice-dammed
24 lake formation in Glen Spean, Glen Roy and Glen Gloy and therefore is less comparable with
25 this Monadhliath Icefield reconstruction. Golledge et al. (2008) suggested that the

1 Monadhliath Icefield remained separate from the main West Highlands Ice Cap because of
2 these lakes, and this previously-suggested ice configuration conforms well with the empirical
3 field evidence presented here.

4

5 In terms of glacier thermal regime, the geomorphological evidence suggests that the
6 Monadhliath Icefield was polythermal. This is linked to the earlier discussion on blockfields
7 (Section 4.3), where coverage of blockfields by ice, as in this reconstruction, suggests that
8 these areas were cold-based (cf. Fabel et al., 2012), whilst moraines in the outlet valleys
9 indicate warm-based ice. This assertion of cold-based plateau ice is also evidenced by a large
10 quantity of ice-marginal meltwater channels that occur on the plateau, including those at the
11 plateau edge above Gleann Ballach, which are indicative of a cold-based landsystem (cf.
12 Dyke, 1993; Rea and Evans, 2003). Cold-based Younger Dryas plateau ice also allows
13 preservation of other, older landforms, and it is possible that some of the large isolated
14 moraines on the plateau may relate to an earlier phase of glaciation.

15

16 In other parts of the plateau, smaller, closely-spaced moraines occur (Boston, 2012a, b) and
17 are suggested to be of Younger Dryas age due to their morphology, close spacing and position
18 in the source area of reconstructed Younger Dryas outlet glaciers. These moraines appear to
19 indicate that, during the final stages of Younger Dryas deglaciation, plateau ice actively
20 retreated into topographic lows on the plateau. This occurred mainly between Glen Markie
21 and the Eskin and Abhainn Crò Chlach catchments in the eastern sector of the Monadhliath,
22 but also further west above Coire Laogh, Glen Brein, Coire Easgainn and Corrie Yairack.

23

24 From examination of the geomorphology within the outlet valleys, it is apparent that the
25 density of moraines varies significantly between them. Of the 53 outlet valleys identified,

1 only seventeen have well-preserved, closely-spaced recessional moraines. Glaciers in the
2 remaining 36 are reconstructed based on the presence of sporadic moraines, ice-marginal
3 meltwater channels and evidence from neighbouring valleys that suggests that ice must have
4 been present. Of these valleys, 13 are dominated by lateral meltwater channels, with some
5 moraines, whilst the remainder contain only lateral meltwater channels. While not every
6 valley in other similarly-sized areas in Scotland contains moraines, the number of valleys
7 without clear constructional glaciogenic landforms in the Monadhliath is certainly distinctive
8 (cf. Lukas and Bradwell, 2010; Finlayson et al., 2011). We admit that due to the lack of
9 geomorphological evidence in these valleys, we are less confident of the presence or extent of
10 outlet glaciers here, however, based on reconstructed ice thicknesses on the plateau and in
11 neighbouring areas, it seems unlikely that ice was altogether absent from these areas.

12

13 Reasons for the limited number of moraines are numerous and include: 1) low debris turnover
14 due to a lack of debris entrainment, possibly coupled with a thin snout, which could lead to a
15 cold-based thermal regime (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2003); 2) a lack of sediment readily available for
16 re-entrainment (cf. Ballantyne, 2002b, c), although, in most of the outlet valleys, stream
17 exposures reveal thick diamictic deposits in the valley bottoms, indicating a plentiful supply
18 of debris; 3) some outlet glaciers may have experienced uninterrupted rather oscillatory
19 retreat, which may be linked to 1) above; 4) moraines could have been deposited but not
20 preserved, either due to a) a well-coupled glacial and fluvial system that allowed proglacial
21 streams to immediately remove any sediment that was deposited at the ice margin or b) the
22 presence and subsequent meltout of buried ice (Lukas, 2007; Evans, 2009; Brook and Paine,
23 2012), and 5) burial of small, moraines by a) peat or b) subsequent hillslope processes (e.g.
24 Müller et al., 1983) (particularly relevant for lateral moraines), 6) differences in bed
25 topography (e.g. slope steepness) (Barr and Lovell, 2014).

1
2 Of these factors low debris turnover (1) and/or low moraine preservation due to the presence
3 of buried ice (4b), continuous retreat (3) and burial by hillslope processes and peat formation
4 (5) are the most likely reasons for the limited number of observable moraines in these outlet
5 valleys. Both factors 1 and 4b are indicative of cold-based to polythermal conditions at the
6 glacier bed (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2003). This is plausible given the low gradient of these valleys,
7 which often descend gently from the plateau, with no backwall, meaning that little strain
8 heating would have occurred as the glacier flowed from the plateau, in comparison to glaciers
9 that flowed into the larger valleys (e.g. Evans, 2010). The majority of these glaciers are also
10 reconstructed as thinner than the major warm-based glaciers, which would again support the
11 notion that these glaciers did not reach pressure melting point (Rea and Evans, 2003) and that
12 permafrost could penetrate underneath thinner snouts (cf. Björnsson et al., 1996). On this
13 basis, we therefore suggest that the resulting geomorphology within the outlet valleys most
14 likely lies on a process-form continuum between cold-based and warm-based thermal regimes
15 (cf. Evans, 2010), resulting in a landscape dominated by meltwater channels and moraines to
16 a varying extent. This mosaic of thermal regimes has previously been recognised beneath
17 both plateau icefields and ice sheets by numerous authors including Sugden (1968), Dyke
18 (1993), Rea et al. (1998), Evans et al. (2002), Hall and Glasser (2003), Kleman et al. (2008)
19 and Evans (2010).

20

21 *5.2. Timing of maximum glaciation*

22 The timing of the maximum extent of Younger Dryas glaciation in Scotland has been subject
23 to significant discussion recently, and opinions diverge from a late stadial maximum (Palmer
24 et al., 2010, 2012; MacLeod et al., 2011) to the more traditional mid-stadial maximum (cf.
25 Benn et al., 1992; Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Golledge et al., 2008; Lukas and Bradwell,

1 2010; Ballantyne, 2012), whilst recent controversial work might indicate early deglaciation of
2 Rannoch Moor, beneath the central zone of the West Highlands Icefield, at 12.2 ka BP
3 (Bromley et al., 2014). In this context we merely note that the role of Rannoch Moor as a
4 centre of ice dispersal is not entirely clear and that there may be issues with the lack of
5 context provided by these authors. The stratigraphical relationship of the western part of the
6 Monadhliath Icefield with the Glen Roy ice-dammed lakes suggests that outlet glaciers in this
7 area reached their maxima following lake drainage, which Fabel et al. (2010) and Palmer et
8 al. (2010, 2012) suggest occurred towards the end of the stadial. This could have been caused
9 by a change from a calving to terrestrial ice margin rather than a climatic signal, however
10 (e.g. Reitner, 2007). Conversely, a late-stadial advance in the east would be at odds with the
11 SED ages obtained by Gheorghiu et al. (2012) which on the whole suggest the outlet glaciers
12 reached their maxima in the early to mid-stadial, after recalculation using a local production
13 rate (Gheorghiu and Fabel, 2013) (12.0 ± 0.9 and 13.0 ± 0.7 ka BP in Gleann Lochain, and
14 12.6 ± 0.7 and 13.3 ± 0.7 ka BP in Gleann Ballach). However, differences between dates on
15 the same moraine and the uncertainties of 700 to 900 years associated with the SED method
16 make this difficult to assess.

17

18 There is a lack of significant end moraines in many valleys, where the largest interpreted
19 Younger Dryas moraines are often located behind the outermost moraines (e.g. Coire
20 Easgainn, the Findhorn Valley, Gleann Ballach, Corrie Yairack, Coire Larach). Some of the
21 mapped river terraces also start just within the outermost moraines (e.g. Glen Shesgnan, the
22 Dulnain Valley). These morphostratigraphic relationships have been observed elsewhere in
23 the Scottish Highlands (e.g. Sissons, 1974) and have been suggested to indicate a two-phased
24 Younger Dryas advance (see also Peacock et al., 1989; Merritt et al., 2003), whereby the
25 outlet glaciers are suggested to have only briefly remained at their maximum positions, prior

1 to slight retreat and stabilisation for longer further upvalley. Such a hypothesis of two-phase
2 Younger Dryas glaciation may be tested in future as higher-resolution numerical dating
3 methods are refined or become available.

4

5 *5.3. Regional Palaeoclimatic Inferences*

6 The decrease in precipitation from west to east across the Monadhliath indicates a steep
7 precipitation gradient, with reductions in precipitation of up to 43% in the east compared to
8 the west, based on sea-level equivalents. Table 5 compares the palaeoprecipitation at sea level
9 calculated for the Monadhliath Icefield with sea-level equivalent palaeoprecipitation values
10 for published ELAs of other Younger Dryas ice masses in Scotland, which are recalculated
11 using identical methods to those described in Section 4.4 to enable comparison. The marked
12 contrast between precipitation totals in the west and east of the Monadhliath closely fits to
13 those calculated for neighbouring areas; values calculated for the western sector are very
14 similar to those calculated for Creag Meagaidh and Drumochter, showing strong consistency
15 across studies in the western part of the field area (Benn and Ballantyne, 2005; Finlayson,
16 2006), whilst precipitation in the eastern sector of Monadhliath is similar to that of the Gaick
17 Plateau and southeast Grampians (Sissons, 1974; Sissons and Sutherland, 1976). In this
18 respect, precipitation calculated for the Cairngorms seems anomalously low (Sissons, 1979c)
19 and a re-evaluation of some of this older work using newer methods for mapping, glacier
20 reconstruction and ELA calculation would be helpful for better assessing regional
21 precipitation patterns, as has been demonstrated elsewhere (i.e. Lukas and Bradwell, 2010).

22

23 *5.4. The effect of seasonality on precipitation at the ELA*

24 The assertion made by Golledge et al. (2010) that the Younger Dryas climate in Scotland was
25 more arid than currently recognised using the Ohmura et al. (1992) relationship is highly

1 dependent on the degree of seasonal precipitation bias, and the reduction of air temperature
2 caused by each glacier as discussed above. As shown in Tables 3 and 5 a summer-type
3 precipitation for the function produces a similar level of precipitation as the Ohmura
4 relationship, whilst neutral- or winter-type precipitation would require significantly less
5 precipitation to maintain the same ELA. Recent research indicates that the development of
6 winter sea ice in the North Atlantic during at least the first half of the Younger Dryas (Bakke
7 et al., 2009) diverted storm tracks south of the sea-ice margin and towards continental Europe,
8 generating a more stable, arid environment in Scotland and Norway (Isarin et al., 1998; Isarin
9 and Rensen, 1999; Bakke et al., 2009; Golledge et al., 2010; Palmer et al., 2012). By contrast,
10 increasing temperatures in the latter part of the stadial are thought to have caused the break-up
11 of this sea ice, allowing storm tracks to move northwards across Scotland and northern
12 Norway, the frequency of which was controlled by the fluctuating sea-ice margin (Bakke et
13 al., 2009). These changes in sea-ice extent suggest that during the early part of the stadial
14 winter precipitation was likely to be suppressed due to extensive sea ice and also possibly
15 reduced during the summer months. In the latter part of the stadial, however, the increase in
16 the number of storm tracks passing over Scotland would have led to increased precipitation in
17 potentially both the summer and winter months (Palmer et al., 2012). This is contrary to the
18 pattern suggested previously by Benn et al. (1992).

19

20 The timing of maximum glaciation (Section 5.2) is therefore critical in evaluating the
21 seasonality bias that may have occurred. A mid-stadial maximum would indicate that the
22 majority of precipitation arriving on Scottish glaciers occurred during the summer months and
23 therefore a summer-precipitation bias, with totals similar to those derived using the Ohmura
24 relationship, may be most appropriate. On the other hand, a late-stadial maximum resulting
25 from increased precipitation during both the winter and summer months, suggests a neutral

1 precipitation bias, although a summer bias during glacier build-up would still need to be
2 accounted for.

3

4 It is evident that there is a large level of uncertainty surrounding identification of an
5 appropriate degree of seasonality bias to include in the Golledge et al. (2010) equation. The
6 function is clearly useful in drawing attention to and beginning to understand the complexities
7 surrounding how palaeoprecipitation might be calculated using glaciers as a proxy. However,
8 further constraint on 1) the timing of maximum glaciation, 2) the degree of seasonal
9 precipitation bias, and 3) the amount of localised air temperature cooling at the glacier surface
10 is required before confident estimates of palaeoprecipitation in Scotland can be made. As a
11 first approximation, however, we suggest that by assuming an initial mid-stadial maximum
12 (summer-type precipitation), and taking into consideration the effects of glacier air
13 temperature cooling not accounted for in Tables 3 and 5, values derived from a neutral-type
14 precipitation may be most appropriate for the Monadhliath Icefield. We reaffirm, however,
15 that despite uncertainties regarding absolute precipitation values, we have confidence in the
16 trend suggested by the data, which indicates an eastwards decline in precipitation, in line with
17 previous work (e.g. Sisson, 1979b).

18

19 *5.5. Comparison to modern precipitation totals*

20 Within the context of the previous discussion, we finally attempt to make a comparison to
21 modern precipitation within the Monadhliath. We reiterate that with the uncertainties
22 associated with seasonal precipitation bias and the effect of glacier air temperature cooling,
23 the use of the neutral-type precipitation values in Tables 3 and 5 is a first approximation to
24 enable some comparison to be made, and all three precipitation types are shown in Table 6.
25 Average precipitation for ten years (2000 to 2009 inclusive) was acquired for three sites in the

1 Monadhliath (Table 6). The modern data also shows a significant decrease in precipitation
2 across the area, varying from 2112 mm a^{-1} at Braeroy Lodge (220 m OD; NN 336 914) in the
3 west, to 1317 mm a^{-1} and 1325 mm a^{-1} further east at the Spey Dam (270 m OD; NN 582 973)
4 and Coignafearn Lodge (390 m OD; NH 710 179) respectively. These data therefore denote a
5 steeper present-day precipitation gradient than indicated by the reconstructed Younger Dryas
6 precipitation estimations presented (Tables 3 and 6). As a result, the greatest difference occurs
7 in the west, where modern precipitation is 800 mm a^{-1} higher than the neutral-type
8 precipitation calculated for the Younger Dryas. Overall, the comparison indicates that if a
9 neutral-type precipitation is assumed, then precipitation across the whole of the Monadhliath
10 was significantly reduced compared to present. Indeed, even if a summer-type precipitation
11 bias or the Ohmura relationship is used, the results still indicate reduced precipitation in this
12 central part of Scotland during the Younger Dryas compared to the present day.

13

14 **6. Conclusions**

15 The main findings of this research are summarised as follows:

- 16 • The first systematic geomorphological mapping of the Monadhliath reveals evidence
17 for two phases of plateau icefield glaciation following deglaciation of the last British-
18 Irish Ice Sheet.
- 19 • We argue, using morphostratigraphical principles, that the most recent phase of
20 plateau icefield glaciation occurred during the Younger Dryas (Loch Lomond Stadial,
21 GS-1, 12.9-11.7 ka). This is supported by surface exposure dates in the southeast of
22 the region (Gheorghiu et al., 2012), which indicate the presence of ice in two outlet
23 valleys during the Younger Dryas.
- 24 • The Younger Dryas icefield is reconstructed using a combination of geomorphological
25 evidence and two 2D glacier surface profile models (Benn and Hulton, 2010; Ng et al.,

1 2010). This indicates that at this time two coalescent icefields were present over the
2 central Monadhliath plateau and adjoining western upland to the north of Glen Roy,
3 covering an area of approximately 280 km².

- 4 • The greatest uncertainty in the reconstruction is associated with ice thickness on the
5 plateau due to a lack of geomorphological evidence. Therefore minimum and
6 maximum thickness icefields are also produced to attempt to quantify this uncertainty.
- 7 • ELAs are calculated for the icefield and all major outlet valleys, using the AABR,
8 AAR and AMWA methods. Using an AABR of 1.9 ± 0.81 , the ELA of the
9 Monadhliath Icefield is calculated to be 714 ± 25 m, which takes into account
10 uncertainty quantified using minimum and maximum thickness reconstructions.
11 ELAs calculated for individual outlet glaciers show a clear rise in ELAs from west to
12 east across the region, with a range of 560-646 m in the west, 649-754 m in the central
13 sector and 738-816 m in the east.
- 14 • Assuming a mean July sea-level temperature of 8.5 ± 0.3 °C, palaeoprecipitation at
15 sea level is estimated to have been 1224 ± 409 mma⁻¹ using the Ohmura et al. (1992)
16 equation and 1211 ± 480 mma⁻¹, 865 ± 400 mma⁻¹, 692 ± 360 mma⁻¹, using the
17 Golledge et al. (2010) equation with summer-, neutral- and winter-type precipitation
18 seasonality respectively. Whilst further work is required to establish the degree of
19 seasonality that may have occurred during the Younger Dryas, we suggest here that
20 the value derived from the neutral-type precipitation may be most appropriate in order
21 to take into account the cooling effect of glaciers on air temperature. Compared with
22 modern precipitation data, these values indicate lower precipitation during the
23 Younger Dryas than at present in the Monadhliath.
- 24 • Comparison with other studies in Scotland shows that the figures calculated here fit
25 well with the regional pattern of Younger Dryas ELAs, indicating an eastwards

1 decline in precipitation across Scotland. ELAs in the western sector of the
2 Monadhliath (average 610 m) are comparable to those calculated for the nearby
3 Drumochter Hills (626 m; Benn and Ballantyne, 2005) and Creag Meagaidh (625 m;
4 Finlayson, 2006), whilst those for the eastern sector (average 777 m) are comparable
5 to the Gaick (787 m; Sissons, 1974) and the southeast Grampians (790 m; Sissons and
6 Sutherland, 1976).

9 **Acknowledgements**

10 Field assistance is gratefully acknowledged from Ricky Stevens, Niall Lehane, Amanda
11 Ferguson, Bethan Davies, Alison Boston, Jennifer Lodwick, Adam Wallace, Will Hughes,
12 Matt Frith and Sam Kent. Numerous estate owners are thanked for permission to undertake
13 fieldwork in the study area. Jon Merritt is acknowledged for providing access to the aerial
14 photograph collection at the BGS in Edinburgh, and with David Evans and Clive Auton is
15 thanked for discussions and comments on several aspects of this paper. Colin Ballantyne and
16 two anonymous reviewers are gratefully acknowledged for their constructive reviews. The
17 research was undertaken while CMB was in receipt of a NERC Algorithm PhD Studentship
18 (NE/G52368X/1) at QMUL and an additional small fieldwork grant was provided by the
19 Royal Scottish Geographical Society.

22 **References**

- 23 Anderson, D.E., 1997. Younger Dryas research and its implications for understanding abrupt
24 climate change. *Progress in Physical Geography* 21, 230-249.
- 25 Atkinson, T.C., Briffa, K.R., Coope G.R. 1987. Seasonal temperatures in Britain during the

1 past 22,000 years, reconstructed using beetle remains. *Nature* 325: 587-592.

2 Auton, C.A., 1998. Aspects of the Quaternary Geology of 1:50 000 Sheet 74W (Tomatin).
3 Onshore Geology Series. British Geological Survey Technical Report WA/98/21, NERC.

4 Auton, C.A., 2013. The origin of the glaciotectonised lacustrine sediments in Gleann Ballach.
5 In: Boston, C.M., Lukas, S., Merritt, J.W. (Eds.), 2013. The Quaternary of the
6 Monadhliath Mountains and the Great Glen: Field Guide. Quaternary Research
7 Association, London, pp. 191-195.

8 Bakke, J., Dahl, S.O., Nesje, A., 2005a. Lateglacial and early Holocene palaeoclimatic
9 reconstruction based on glacier fluctuations and equilibrium-line altitudes at northern
10 Folgefonna, Hardanger, western Norway. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 20, 179-198.

11 Bakke, J., Dahl, S.O., Paasche, Ø., Løvlie, R., Nesje, A., 2005b. Glacier fluctuations,
12 equilibrium-line altitudes and palaeoclimate in Lyngen, northern Norway, during the
13 Lateglacial and Holocene. *The Holocene* 15, 518-540.

14 Bakke, J., Lie, O., Heegaard, E., Dokken, T., Haug, G., Birks, H.H., Dulski, P., Nilsen, T.,
15 2009. Rapid oceanic and atmospheric changes during the Younger Dryas cold period.
16 *Nature Geoscience* 2, 1-4.

17 Balco, G., Briner, J.P., Finkel, R.C., Rayburn, J.A., Ridge, J.C., Schaefer, J.M., 2009.
18 Regional beryllium-10 production rate calibration for late-glacial northeastern North
19 America. *Quaternary Chronology* 4, 92-107.

20 Ballantyne, C.K. 1989. The Loch Lomond Readvance on the Isles of Skye, Scotland: glacier
21 reconstruction and palaeoclimatic implications. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 4: 95-108.

22 Ballantyne, C.K. 1991: Holocene geomorphic activity in the Scottish Highlands. *Scottish*
23 *Geographical Magazine* 107, 84-98.

24 Ballantyne, C.K., 1997. Periglacial trimlines in the Scottish Highlands. *Quaternary*
25 *International* 38-9, 119-136.

- 1 Ballantyne, C.K., 1998. The last ice sheet in north-west Scotland: reconstruction and
2 implications. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 17, 1149-1184.
- 3 Ballantyne, C.K., 2002a. The Loch Lomond Readvance on the Isle of Mull, Scotland: glacier
4 reconstruction and palaeoclimatic implications. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 17, 759-
5 771.
- 6 Ballantyne, C.K. 2002b. Paraglacial geomorphology. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21: 1935-
7 2017.
- 8 Ballantyne, C.K. 2002c. A general model of paraglacial landscape response. *Holocene* 12:
9 371-376.
- 10 Ballantyne, C.K. 2006. Loch Lomond Stadial Glaciers in the Uig Hills, Western Lewis,
11 Scotland. *Scottish Geographical Journal* 122: 256-273.
- 12 Ballantyne, C.K., 2007a. The Loch Lomond readvance on North Arran, Scotland: Glacier
13 reconstruction and palaeoclimatic implications. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 22, 343-
14 359.
- 15 Ballantyne, C.K., 2007b. Loch Lomond Stadial glaciers in North Harris, Outer Hebrides,
16 North-West Scotland: glacier reconstruction and palaeoclimatic implications. *Quaternary*
17 *Science Reviews* 26, 3134-3149.
- 18 Ballantyne, C.K., 2008. After the ice: Holocene geomorphic activity in the Scottish
19 Highlands. *Scottish Geographical Journal* 124, 8-52.
- 20 Ballantyne, C.K., 2010a. A general model of autochthonous blockfield evolution. *Permafrost*
21 *and Periglacial Processes* 21, 289-300.
- 22 Ballantyne, C.K., 2010b. Extent and deglacial chronology of the last British-Irish Ice Sheet:
23 implications of exposure dating using cosmogenic isotopes. *Journal of Quaternary*
24 *Science* 25, 515-534.
- 25 Ballantyne, C.K., 2012. Chronology of glaciation and deglaciation during the Loch Lomond

- 1 (Younger Dryas) Stade in the Scottish Highlands: implications of recalibrated 10Be
2 exposure ages. *Boreas* 41, 513-526.
- 3 Ballantyne, C.K., Harris, C. 1994. The periglaciation of Great Britain. Cambridge University
4 Press, Cambridge.
- 5 Ballantyne C.K., Wain-Hobson T. 1980. The Loch Lomond Advance on the Island of Rhum.
6 *Scottish Journal of Geology* 16: 1-10.
- 7 Ballantyne, C.K., Hall, A.M., Phillips, W., Binnie, S., Kubik, P.W., 2007. Age and
8 significance of former low-altitude corrie glaciers on Hoy, Orkney Islands. *Scottish*
9 *Journal of Geology* 43, 107-114.
- 10 Ballantyne, C.K., McCarroll, D., Nesje, A., Dahl, S.O., 1997. Periglacial trimlines, former
11 nunataks and the altitude of the last ice sheet in Wester Ross, northwest Scotland. *Journal*
12 *of Quaternary Science* 12, 225-238.
- 13 Barr, I.D., Lovell, H. 2014. A review of topographic controls on moraine distribution.
14 *Geomorphology* 226, 44-64.
- 15 Barrow, G., Hinxman, L.W., Cunningham Craig, E.H., 1913. The geology of upper
16 Strathspey, Gaick, and the Forest of Atholl (Explanation of Sheet 64). *Memoirs of the*
17 *Geological Survey, Scotland*. Edinburgh.
- 18 Benn, D.I., Ballantyne, C.K., 2005. Palaeoclimatic reconstruction from loch Lomond
19 Readvance glaciers in the West Drumochter Hills, Scotland. *Journal of Quaternary*
20 *Science* 20, 577-592.
- 21 Benn, D.I., Evans, D.J.A., 2008. A Younger Dryas ice cap to the north of Glen Roy: a new
22 perspective on the origin of the Turret Fan. In: Palmer, A.P., Lowe, J.J., Rose, J. (Eds.),
23 *The Quaternary of Glen Roy and vicinity: Field Guide*. Quaternary Research Association,
24 London, pp. 158-161.
- 25 Benn, D.I., Evans, D.J.A., 2010. *Glaciers and Glaciation*. 2nd Edition. Hodder, London.

- 1 Benn, D.I., Gemmell., A.M.D., 1997. Calculating equilibrium line altitudes of former glaciers
2 by the balance ratio method: a new computer spreadsheet. *Glacial Geology and*
3 *Geomorphology* <http://ggg.ac.uk/ggg/papers/full/1997/tn011997/tn01.html>.
- 4 Benn, D.I., Hulton, N.R.J., 2010. An Excel™ spreadsheet program for reconstructing the
5 surface profile of former mountain glaciers and ice caps. *Computers & Geosciences* 36,
6 605-610.
- 7 Benn, D.I., Lehmkühl, F., 2000. Mass balance and equilibrium-line altitudes of glaciers in
8 high-mountain environments. Conference on Late Quaternary Glaciation and
9 Palaeoclimate of the Tiberan Plateau and Bordering Mountains. *Quaternary International*
10 65-66, 15-29.
- 11 Benn, D.I., Lukas, S., 2006. Younger Dryas glacial landsystems in North West Scotland: An
12 assessment of modern analogues and palaeoclimatic implications. *Quaternary Science*
13 *Reviews* 25, 2390-2408.
- 14 Benn, D.I., Lowe, J.J., Walker, M.J.C., 1992. Glacier response to climatic-change during the
15 Loch-Lomond Stadial and early Flandrian - Geomorphological and palynological
16 evidence from the Isle-of-Skye, Scotland. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 7, 125-144.
- 17 Benn, D.I., Owen, L.A., Osmaston, H.A., Seltzer, G.O., Porter, S.C., Mark, B., 2005.
18 Reconstruction of equilibrium-line altitudes for tropical and sub-tropical glaciers.
19 *Quaternary International* 138-139, 8-21.
- 20 Bendle, J.M., Glasser, N.F., 2012. Palaeoclimatic reconstruction from Lateglacial (Younger
21 Dryas Chronozone) cirque glaciers in Snowdonia, North Wales. *Proceedings of the*
22 *Geologists' Association* 123, 130–145.
- 23 Björck, S., Bennike, O., Rosén, P., Andresen, C.S., Bohncke, S., Kaas, E., Conley, D., 2002.
24 Anomalously mild Younger Dryas summer conditions in southern Greenland. *Geology*
25 30, 427-430.

1 Björnsson, H., Gjessing, Y., Hamram, S.E., Hagen, J.O., Liestøl, O., Pálsson, F., Erlingsson,
2 B., 1996. The thermal regime of subpolar glaciers mapped by multi-frequency radio-echo
3 soundings. *Journal of Glaciology* 12, 23-31.

4 Boston, C.M., 2012a. A glacial geomorphological map of the Monadhliath Mountains,
5 Scotland. *Journal of Maps* 8, 437-444.

6 Boston, C.M., 2012b. A Lateglacial Plateau Icefield in the Monadhliath Mountains, Scotland:
7 reconstruction, dynamics and palaeoclimatic implications. PhD Thesis, Queen Mary
8 University of London, UK.

9 Boston, C.M., Lukas, S., Carr, S.J., 2013. Overview of Younger Dryas Glaciation in the
10 Monadhliath Mountains. In: Boston, C.M., Lukas, S., Merritt, J.W. (Eds.), 2013. *The*
11 *Quaternary of the Monadhliath Mountains and the Great Glen: Field Guide*. Quaternary
12 Research Association, London, pp. 41-58.

13 Braithwaite, R.J. 1980. On glacier energy balance, ablation and air temperature. *Journal of*
14 *Glaciology* 27: 381-391.

15 Braithwaite, R.J., 2008. Temperature and precipitation climate at the equilibrium line altitude
16 of glaciers expressed by the degree-day factor for snow melting. *Journal of Glaciology*
17 54, 437-444.

18 Brazier, V., Kirkbride, M.P., Gordon, J.E., 1998. Active ice-sheet deglaciation and ice-
19 dammed lakes in the northern Cairngorm Mountains, Scotland. *Boreas* 27, 297-310.

20 Bridgland, D.R. & Westaway, R. 2008. Preservation patterns of Late Cenozoic fluvial
21 deposits and their implications: results from IGCP 449. *Quaternary International*, 189, 5-
22 38.

23 Bromley, G.R.M., Putnam, A.E., Rademaker, K.M., Lowell, T.V., Schaefer, J.M., Hall, B.,
24 Winckler, G., Birkel, S.D., Borns, H.W., 2014. Younger Dryas deglaciation of Scotland
25 driven by warming summer. *PNAS*, 111, 6215-6219.

- 1 Brook, M.S., Paine, S. 2012. Ablation of ice-cored moraine in a humid, maritime climate: Fox
2 Glacier, New Zealand. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 94, 339-349.
- 3 Brooks, S.J., Birks, H.J.B., 2000. Chironomid-inferred Late-glacial air temperatures at
4 Whitrig Bog, south-east Scotland. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 15, 759-764.
- 5 Brooks, S.J., Birks, H.J.B., 2001. Chironomid-inferred air temperatures from Lateglacial and
6 Holocene sites in north-west Europe: progress and problems. *Quaternary Science*
7 *Reviews* 20, 1723-1741.
- 8 Brooks, S.J., Matthews, I.P., Birks, H.H., Birks, H.J.B., 2012. High resolution Lateglacial and
9 early-Holocene summer air temperature records from Scotland inferred from chironomid
10 assemblages. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 41, 67-82.
- 11 Carr, S.J., 2001. A glaciological approach for the discrimination of Loch Lomond Stadial
12 glacial landforms in the Brecon Beacons, South Wales. *Proceedings of the Geologists’*
13 *Association*, 112, 253–262.
- 14 Carr, S.J., and Coleman, C.G., 2007. An improved technique for the reconstruction of former
15 glacier mass-balance and dynamics. *Geomorphology* 92, 76-90.
- 16 Clark C.D., Hughes, A.L.C., Greenwood, S.L. Jordan C., Sejrup, H.P. 2012. Pattern and
17 timing of retreat of the last British-Irish Ice Sheet. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 44, 112-
18 146.
- 19 Dahl, S.O., Nesje, A., 1996. A new approach to calculating Holocene winter precipitation by
20 combining glacier equilibrium-line altitudes and pine-tree limits: case study from
21 Hardangerjøkulen, central southern Norway. *The Holocene* 6, 381-398.
- 22 Dahl, S.O., Nesje, A., Ovstedal, J., 1997. Cirque glaciers as evidence for a thin Younger
23 Dryas ice sheet in east-central southern Norway. *Boreas* 26, 161–180.
- 24 Dyke, A.S., 1993. Landscapes of cold-centred Late Wisconsinan ice caps, Arctic Canada.
25 *Progress in Physical Geography* 17, 223-247.

- 1 Evans, D.J.A. 2009. Controlled moraines: origins, characteristics and palaeoglaciological
2 implications. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28:183-208
- 3 Evans, D.J.A. 2010. Controlled moraine development and debris transport pathways in
4 polythermal plateau icefields: examples from Tungnafellsjökull, Iceland. *Earth Surface
5 Processes and Landforms* 35: 1430-1444.
- 6 Evans, D.J.A., Benn, D.I. (Eds.), 2004. A practical guide to the study of glacial sediments.
7 Arnold, London.
- 8 Evans, D.J.A., Rea, B.R., Hansom, J.D., Whalley, W.B., 2002. Geomorphology and style of
9 plateau icefield deglaciation in fjord terrains: the example of Troms-Finnmark, north
10 Norway. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 17, 221-239.
- 11 Evans I.S. 2006. Local aspect asymmetry of mountain glaciation: a global survey of
12 consistency of favoured directions for glacier numbers and altitudes. *Geomorphology* 73:
13 166–184.
- 14 Everest, J.D., Kubik, P., 2006. The deglaciation of eastern Scotland: cosmogenic ^{10}Be
15 evidence for a Lateglacial stillstand. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 21, 95-104.
- 16 Fabel, D., Ballantyne, C.K., Xu, S., 2012. Trimlines, blockfields, mountain-top erratics and
17 the vertical dimensions of the last British–Irish Ice Sheet in NW Scotland. *Quaternary
18 Science Reviews*, 55, 91-102.
- 19 Fabel, D., Small, D., Miguens-Rodriguez, M., Freeman, S.P.H.T., 2010. Cosmogenic nuclide
20 exposure ages from the Parallel Roads of Glen Roy, Scotland. *Journal of Quaternary
21 Science* 25, 597-603.
- 22 Fenton, C.R., Hermanns, R.L., Blikra, L.H., Kubik, P.W., Bryant, C., Niedermann, S.,
23 Meixner, A., Goethals, M.M. 2011. Regional ^{10}Be production rate calibration for the past
24 12 ka deduced from the radiocarbon-dated Grøtlandsura and Russenes rock avalanches at
25 69°N, Norway. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 6, 437- 452.

- 1 Finlayson, A.G., 2006. Glacial Geomorphology of the Creag Meagaidh Massif, Western
2 Grampian Highlands: Implications for Local Glaciation and Palaeoclimate during the
3 Loch Lomond Stadial. *Scottish Geographical Journal* 122, 293-307.
- 4 Finlayson, A.G., Bradwell, T., 2008. Morphological characteristics and glaciological
5 significance of Rogen moraine in Northern Scotland. *Geomorphology*, 101, 607-617.
- 6 Finlayson, A.G., Golledge, N.R., Bradwell, T., Fabel, D., 2011. Evolution of a Lateglacial
7 mountain ice cap in northern Scotland. *Boreas* 40, 536-554.
- 8 Fjellanger, J., Sørbel, L., Linge, H., Brook, E.J., Raisbeck, G.M., Yiou, F., 2006. Glacial
9 survival of blockfields on the Varanger Peninsula, northern Norway. *Geomorphology* 82,
10 255-272.
- 11 Furbish, D.J., Andrews, J.T., 1984. The use of hypsometry to indicate long-term stability and
12 response of valley glaciers to changes in mass transfer. *Journal of Glaciology* 30, 199-
13 211.
- 14 Gellatly, A.F., Gordon, J.E., Whalley, W.B., Hansom, J.D., 1988. Thermal regime and
15 geomorphology of plateau ice caps in northern Norway: observations and implications.
16 *Geology* 16, 983-986.
- 17 Gheorghiu, D.M., Fabel, D., 2013. Revised surface exposure ages in the southeast part of the
18 Monadhliath Mountains, Scotland. In: Boston, C.M., Lukas, S., Merritt, J.W. (Eds.), *The*
19 *Quaternary of the Monadhliath Mountains and the Great Glen: Field Guide*. Quaternary
20 Research Association, London, pp. 183-189.
- 21 Gheorghiu, D.M., Fabel, D., Hansom, J.D., Xu, S., 2012. Lateglacial surface exposure dating
22 in the Monadhliath Mountains, Central Highlands, Scotland. *Quaternary Science*
23 *Reviews* 41, 132-146.
- 24 Golledge, N.R. 2008. Glacial geology and glaciology of the Younger Dryas ice cap in
25 Scotland. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh.

- 1 Golledge, N.R., 2010. Glaciation of Scotland during the Younger Dryas: A review. *Journal of*
2 *Quaternary Science* 25, 550-566.
- 3 Golledge, N.R., Hubbard, A., Bradwell, T., 2010. Influence of seasonality on glacier mass
4 balance, and implications for palaeoclimate reconstructions. *Climate Dynamics* 30, 757-
5 700.
- 6 Golledge, N.R., Hubbard, A., Sugden, D.E., 2008. High-resolution numerical simulation of
7 Younger Dryas glaciation in Scotland. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, 888-904.
- 8 Goodfellow, B.W., 2012. A granulometry and secondary mineral fingerprint of chemical
9 weathering in periglacial landscapes and its application to blockfield origins. *Quaternary*
10 *Science Reviews* 57, 121-135.
- 11 Goodfellow, B.W., Fredin, I.O., Derron, M.-H., Stroeven, A.P., 2009. Weathering processes
12 and Quaternary origin of an alpine blockfield in Arctic Sweden. *Boreas* 38, 379-398.
- 13 Gray, J.M. 1982. The last glaciers (Loch Lomond Advance) in Snowdonia, N. Wales.
14 *Geological Journal*, 17, 111-133.
- 15 Hall, A.M., Glasser, N.F., 2003. Reconstructing the basal thermal regime of an ice stream in a
16 landscape of selective linear erosion: Glen Avon, Cairngorm Mountains, Scotland.
17 *Boreas* 32, 191-207.
- 18 Heyman, J., Stroeven, A.P., Harbor, J.M., Caffee, M.W., 2011. Too young or too old:
19 Evaluating cosmogenic exposure dating based on an analysis of compiled boulder
20 exposure ages. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 302, 71-80.
- 21 Hinxman, L.W., Anderson, E.M., 1915. The geology of Mid-Strathspey and Strathdearn,
22 including the country between Kingussie and Grantown. Sheet 74 (Scotland). *Memoir of*
23 *the Geological Survey, Scotland*. HMSO, Edinburgh.
- 24 Hopkinson, C., Ballantyne, C.K., 2014. Age and origin of blockfields on Scottish Mountains.
25 *Scottish Geographical Journal* 130, 116-141.

1 Houmark-Nielsen, M., Linge, H., Fabel, D., Schnabel, C., Xu, S., Wilcken, K.M., Binnie, S.,
2 2012. Cosmogenic surface exposure dating the last deglaciation in Denmark:
3 Discrepancies with independent age constraints suggest delayed periglacial landform
4 stabilization. *Quaternary Geochronology* 13, 1-17.

5 Hughes, P.D., Braithwaite, R.J., 2008. Application of a degree-day model to reconstruct
6 Pleistocene glacial climates. *Quaternary Research* 69, 110-116.

7 Intermap Technologies. 2007. NEXTMap Britain: Digital terrain mapping of the UK. NERC
8 Earth Observation Data Centre, 2014. Available from
9 http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/view/neodc.nerc.ac.uk__ATOM__dataent_11658383444211836

10 Isarin, R.F.B., Rensen, H., 1999. Reconstructing and modelling late Weischelian climates: the
11 Younger Dryas in Europe as a case study. *Earth Science Reviews* 48, 1-38.

12 Isarin, R.F.B., Rensen, H., Vandenberghe, J., 1998. The impact of the North Atlantic Ocean
13 on the Younger Dryas climate in north western and central Europe. *Journal of Quaternary*
14 *Science* 13, 447-454.

15 Jarman, D., 2013. Landscape evolution of the Monadhliath Mountains. In: Boston, C.M.,
16 Lukas, S., Merritt, J.W. (Eds.), *The Quaternary of the Monadhliath Mountains and the*
17 *Great Glen: Field Guide*. Quaternary Research Association, London, pp. 9-24.

18 Johnson-Ferguson, I., 2004. Glacial-lacustrine sediments and landforms in Glen Turret,
19 western Scotland. BSc Thesis, University of St Andrews, UK.

20 Jonsell, U., Hock, R., and Duguay, M. 2013. Recent air and ground temperature increases at
21 Tarfala Research Station, Sweden. *Polar Research*, 32, 19807
22 doi:10.3402/polar.v32i0.19807

23 Jost, A., Lunt, D., Kageyama, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Peyron, O., Valdes, P.J., Ramstein, G.,
24 2005. High-resolutions simulations of the last glacial maximum climate over Europe: a
25 solution to discrepancies with continental palaeoclimate reconstructions? *Climate*

1 Dynamics 24, 577-590.

2 Kaser, G., Osmaston, H.A., 2002. Tropical Glaciers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

3 Kerschner, H., Kaser, G., Sailer, R., 2000. Alpine Younger Dryas glaciers as paleo-
4 precipitation gauges. *Annals of Glaciology* 31, 80-84.

5 Khodakov, V.G., 1975. Glaciers as water resource indicators of the glacial areas of the USSR.
6 In: Proceedings of the Moscow Snow and Ice Symposium, August 1971. International
7 Association of Hydrological Sciences 104, 22-29.

8 Kirkbride, M. P. Winkler, S., 2012. Correlation of Late Quaternary moraines: impact of
9 climate variability, glacier response, and chronological resolution. *Quaternary Science*
10 *Reviews* 46, 1-29.

11 Kleman, J., 1994. Preservation of landforms under ice sheets and ice caps. *Geomorphology* 9,
12 19-32.

13 Kleman, J., Stroeven, A.P., Lundqvist, J., 2008. Patterns of Quaternary ice sheet erosion and
14 deposition in Fennoscandia. *Geomorphology* 97, 73-90.

15 Locke, W.W., 1995. Modelling of icecap glaciation of the northern Rocky Mountains of
16 Montana. *Geomorphology* 14, 123-130.

17 Lowe, J.J., Cairns, P., 1991. New pollen-stratigraphic evidence for the deglaciation and lake
18 drainage chronology of the Glen Roy-Glen Spean area. *Scottish Journal of Geology* 27,
19 41-56.

20 Lowe, J.J., Walker, M.J.C., 2014. *Reconstructing Quaternary Environments*. Third Edition.
21 Routledge, London.

22 Lowe, J.J., Rasmussen, S.O., Björck, S., Hoek, W.Z., Steffensen, J.P., Walker, M.J.C., Yu,
23 Z.C., group, t.I., 2008. Synchronisation of palaeoenvironmental events in the North
24 Atlantic region during the Last Termination: a revised protocol recommended by the
25 INTIMATE group. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, 6-17.

- 1 Lukas, S., 2005. A test of the englacial thrusting hypothesis of 'hummocky' moraine
2 formation - case studies from the north-west Highlands, Scotland. *Boreas*, 34, 287-307.
- 3 Lukas, S., 2006. Morphostratigraphic principles in glacier reconstruction - a perspective from
4 the British Younger Dryas. *Progress in Physical Geography* 30, 719-736.
- 5 Lukas, S. 2007. 'A test of the englacial thrusting hypothesis of 'hummocky' moraine
6 formation: case studies from the northwest Highlands, Scotland.': reply to comments.
7 *Boreas* 36: 108-113.
- 8 Lukas, S., 2010. Road side stops of glacial landform assemblages produced by the West
9 Sutherland Icefield during the Younger Dryas. In: Lukas, S., Bradwell, T., (Eds.), *The*
10 *Quaternary of Western Sutherland and adjacent areas: Field Guide*. Quaternary Research
11 Association, London, pp. 187-189.
- 12 Lukas, S., 2011. Younger Dryas. In: Singh, V., Singh, P., Haritashya, U.K. (Eds.),
13 *Encyclopaedia of Snow, Ice and Glaciers*. Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 1229-1232.
- 14 Lukas, S. and Benn, D.I., 2006. Retreat dynamics of Younger Dryas glaciers in the far NW
15 Scottish Highlands reconstructed from moraine sequences. *Scottish Geographical*
16 *Journal*, 122, 308-325.
- 17 Lukas, S., Bradwell, T., 2010. Reconstruction of a Lateglacial (Younger Dryas) mountain ice
18 field in Sutherland, northwestern Scotland, and its palaeoclimatic implications. *Journal of*
19 *Quaternary Science* 25, 567-580.
- 20 Lukas, S., Benn, D.I., Bradwell, T., Reinardy, B.T.I., 2010. Establishing a chronology of
21 glaciation: The Loch Stack coring site. In: Lukas, S., Bradwell, T., (Eds.), *The*
22 *Quaternary of Western Sutherland and adjacent areas: Field Guide*. Quaternary Research
23 Association, London, pp. 205-210.
- 24 Lukas, S., Preusser, F., Anselmetti, F.S., Tinner, W. (2012). Testing the potential of
25 luminescence dating of high-alpine lake sediments. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 8, 23-32.

- 1 Lüthgens, C., Böse, M., 2012. From morphostratigraphy to geochronology - on the dating of
2 ice marginal positions. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 44, 26-36.
- 3 Lüthgens, C., Böse, M., Preusser, F., 2011. The age of the Pomeranian ice marginal position
4 in north-eastern Germany determined by Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL)
5 dating of glaciofluvial (sandur) sediments. *Boreas* 40, 598-615.
- 6 MacLeod, A., Palmer, A.P., Lowe, J.J., Rose, J., Bryant, C., Merritt, J.W., 2011. Timing of
7 glacier response to Younger Dryas climatic cooling in Scotland. *Global and Planetary
8 Change* 79, 264-274.
- 9 Meierding, T.C., 1982. Late Pleistocene glacial equilibrium-line altitudes in the Colorado
10 Front Range - a comparison of methods. *Quaternary Research* 18, 289-310.
- 11 Merritt, J.W., Coope, G.R., Walker, M.J.C., 2003. The Torrie Lateglacial organic site and
12 Auchenlaich pit, Callander. In: Evans, D.J.A. (Eds.), *The Quaternary of the Western
13 Highland Boundary: Field Guide*. Quaternary Research Association, London, pp. 126-
14 133.
- 15 Müller, H.-N., Kerschner, H., Küttel, M., 1983. The Val de Nendaz (Valais, Switzerland). A
16 type locality for the Egesen advance and the Daun advance in the western Alps, In:
17 Schroeder-Lanz, H. (Ed.), *Late- and postglacial oscillations of glaciers: glacial and
18 periglacial forms*. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 73-82.
- 19 Nesje, A., 1989. The geographical and altitudinal distribution of blockfields in southern
20 Norway and its significance to the Pleistocene ice sheets. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie,
21 Supplementband* 72, 41-53.
- 22 Ng, F.S.L., Barr, I.D., Clark, C.D., 2010. Using the surface profiles of modern ice masses to
23 inform palaeo-glacier reconstructions. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29, 3240-3255.
- 24 Nye, J.F., 1951. The flow of glaciers and ice-sheets as a problem in plasticity. *Proceedings of
25 the Royal Society of London, Series A* 207, 554-572.

- 1 Nye, J.F., 1952. The mechanics of glacier flow. *Journal of Glaciology* 2, 82-93.
- 2 Ó Cofaigh, C., Evans, D.J.A., England, J. 2003. Ice-marginal terrestrial landsystems: sub-
3 polar glacier margins of the Canadian and Greenland high Arctic. In: Evans, D.J.A.,
4 (ed.), *Glacial Landsystems*. London, Arnold, pp. 44-64.
- 5 Ohmura, A., Kasser, P., Funk, M., 1992. Climate at the equilibrium line of glaciers. *Journal of*
6 *Glaciology* 38, 397-411.
- 7 Osmaston, H., 2005. Estimates of glacier equilibrium line altitudes by the Area x Altitude, the
8 Area x Altitude Balance Ratio and the Area x Altitude Balance Index methods and their
9 validation. *Quaternary International* 138-139, 22-31.
- 10 Paasche, Ø., Strømsøe, J.R., Dahl, S.O., Linge, H., 2006. Weathering characteristics of arctic
11 islands in northern Norway. *Geomorphology* 82, 430-452.
- 12 Palmer, A.P., Rose, J., Lowe, J.J., MacLeod, A., 2010. Annually resolved events of Younger
13 Dryas glaciation in Lochaber (Glen Roy and Glen Spean), western Scottish Highlands.
14 *Journal of Quaternary Science* 25, 581-596.
- 15 Palmer, A.P., Rose, J., Rasmussen, S.O., 2012. Evidence for phase-locked changes in climate
16 between Scotland and Greenland during GS-1 (Younger Dryas) using micromorphology
17 of glaciolacustrine varves from Glen Roy. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 36, 114-123.
- 18 Peacock, J.D., 1986. Alluvial fans and outwash in upper Glen Roy. *Scottish Journal of*
19 *Geology* 33, 347-366.
- 20 Peacock, J.D., 2009. The QRA 2008 field guide to the Quaternary of Glen Roy and vicinity: a
21 discussion. *Quaternary Newsletter* 118, 1-7.
- 22 Peacock, J.D., Harkness, D.D., Housley, R.A., Little, J.A., Paul, M.A., 1989. Radiocarbon
23 ages for a glaciomarine bed associated with the maximum extent of the Loch Lomond
24 Readvance in west Benderloch, Argyll. *Scottish Journal of Geology* 25, 69-79.
- 25 Penck, A. and Brückner, E. 1901/1909: *Die Alpen im Eiszeitalter*. Leipzig: Tauchnitz, 716

1 pp.

2 Phillips, E.R., Auton, C.A., 2000. Micromorphological evidence for polyphase deformation of
3 glaciolacustrine sediments from Strathspey, Scotland. In: Maltman, A.J., Hubbard, B.,
4 Hambrey, M.J. (Eds.), *Deformation of Glacial Materials*. Geological Society of London,
5 Special Publication No. 176, pp. 279-292.

6 Phillips, E.R., Key, R.M., 1992. Porphyroblast-fabric relationships: an example from the
7 Appin Group in the Glen Roy area. *Scottish Journal of Geology* 28, 89-101.

8 Pike, G., Pepin, N. C., Schaefer, M. 2013. High latitude local scale temperature complexity:
9 the example of Kevo Valley, Finnish Lapland. *International Journal of Climatology* 33,
10 2050-2067.

11 Porter, S.C., 1975. Equilibrium line altitudes of late Quaternary glaciers in Southern Alps,
12 New Zealand. *Quaternary Research* 5, 27-47.

13 Putnam, A.E., Schaefer, J.M., Barrell, D.J.A., Vandergoes, M., Denton, G.H., Kaplan, M.R.,
14 Finkel, R.C., Schwartz, R., Goehring, B.M., Kelley, S.E., 2010. In situ cosmogenic ¹⁰Be
15 production-rate calibration from the Southern Alps, New Zealand. *Quaternary*
16 *Geochronology* 5, 392-409.

17 Rasmussen, S.O., Andersen, K.K., Svensson, A.M., Steffensen, J.P., Vinther, B.M., Clausen,
18 H.B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Larsen, L.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Bigler, M.,
19 Rothlisberger, R., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M.E., Ruth, U., 2006. A new
20 Greenland ice core chronology for the last glacial termination. *Journal of Geophysical*
21 *Research* 111, D6102, DOI:10.1029/2005JD006079.

22 Rea, B.R., 2009. Defining modern day Area-Altitude Balance Ratios (AABRs) and their use
23 in glacier-climate reconstructions. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 237-248.

24 Rea, B.R., Evans, D.J.A., 2003. Plateau Icefield Landsystems. In: Evans, D.J.A. (Eds.),
25 *Glacial Landsystems*. Hodder Arnold, London, pp. 407-431

- 1 Rea, B.R., Evans, D.J.A., 2007. Quantifying climate and glacier mass balance in north
2 Norway during the YD. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 246, 307-
3 330.
- 4 Rea, B.R., Walley, B.W., Evans, D.J.A., Gordon, J.E., McDougall, D.A., 1998. Plateau
5 Icefields: Geomorphology and Dynamics. *Quaternary Proceedings* 6, 35 - 54.
- 6 Rea, B.R., Whalley, W.B., Rainey, M.M., Gordon, J.E., 1996. Blockfields, old or new?
7 Evidence and implications from some plateaus in northern Norway. *Geomorphology* 15,
8 109-121.
- 9 Reitner, J.M., 2007. Glacial dynamics at the beginning of Termination I in the Eastern Alps
10 and their stratigraphic implications. *Quaternary International* 164-165, 64-84.
- 11 Reuther, A.U., Ivy-Ochs, S., Heine, K., 2005. Application of surface exposure dating in
12 glacial geomorphology and the interpretation of moraine ages. *Zeitschrift für*
13 *Geomorphologie Supplement Band* 142, 335-359.
- 14 Rinterknecht, V.R., Marks, L., Piotrowski, J.A., Raisbeck, G.M., Yiou, F., Brook, E.J., Clark,
15 P.U., 2005. Cosmogenic Be-10 ages on the Pomeranian Moraine, Poland. *Boreas* 34,
16 186-191.
- 17 Rinterknecht, V.R., Clark, P.U., Raisbeck, G.M., Yiou, F., Bitinas, A., Brook, E.J., Marks, L.,
18 Zelcs, V., Lunkka, J.P., Pavlovskaya, I.E., Piotrowski, J.A., Raukas, A., 2006. The last
19 deglaciation of the southeastern sector of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet. *Science* 311, 1449-
20 1452.
- 21 Roe, G.H. 2011. What do glaciers tell us about climate variability and climate change?
22 *Journal of Glaciology* 57, 567-578.
- 23 Robertson, S., Smith, M., 1999. The significance of the Geal Charn-Ossian Steep Belt in
24 basin development in the Central Scottish Highlands. *Journal of the Geological Society*
25 of London 156, 1175-1182.

- 1 Schaefer, J.M., Denton, G.H., Kaplan, M.R., Putnam, A., Finkel, R.C., Barrell, D.J.A.,
2 Andersen, B.G., Schwartz, R., Mackintosh, A., Chinn, T., Schluchter, C., 2009. High-
3 Frequency Holocene Glacier Fluctuations in New Zealand Differ from the Northern
4 Signature. *Science* 324, 622-625.
- 5 Schaller, M., Ehlers T.A., Blum, J.D., Kallenberg, M.A., 2009. Quantifying glacial moraine
6 age, denudation, and soil mixing with cosmogenic nuclide depth profiles. *Journal of*
7 *Geophysical Research* 114, F01012, doi:10.1029/2007JF000921.
- 8 Schilling, D.H., Hollin, J.T., 1981. Numerical reconstructions of valley glaciers and small ice
9 caps. In: Hughes, T.J. (Eds.), *The Last Great Ice Sheets*. Wiley, New York, pp. 207-220.
- 10 Singh, P., Kumar, N., Arora, M., 2000. Degree-day factors for snow and ice for Dokriani
11 Glacier, Garhwal Himalayas. *Journal of Hydrology* 235, 1-11.
- 12 Sissons, J.B., 1974. A Late-glacial ice cap in central-Grampians, Scotland. *Transactions of the*
13 *Institute of British Geographers* 95-114.
- 14 Sissons, J.B., 1977. The Loch Lomond Readvance in the Northern Mainland of Scotland. In:
15 Gray, J.M., Lowe, J.J. (Eds.), *Studies in the Scottish Lateglacial Environment*. Pergamon
16 Press, Oxford, pp. 45-59.
- 17 Sissons, J.B., 1978. The parallel roads of Glen Roy and adjacent glens, Scotland. *Boreas* 7,
18 229-244.
- 19 Sissons, J.B., 1979a. The limit of the Loch Lomond Advance in Glen Roy and vicinity.
20 *Scottish Journal of Geology* 15, 31-42.
- 21 Sissons, J.B., 1979b. Loch Lomond Stadial in the British Isles. *Nature* 280, 199-203.
- 22 Sissons, J.B., 1979c. Loch Lomond advance in the Cairngorm Mountains. *Scottish*
23 *Geographical Magazine* 95, 66-82.
- 24 Sissons, J.B., Cornish, R., 1983. Fluvial landforms associated with ice-dammed lake drainage
25 in upper Glen Roy, Scotland. *Proceedings of the Geologists Association* 94, 45-52.

- 1 Sissons, J.B., Lowe, J.J., Thompson, K.S., Walker, M.J.C., 1973. Loch Lomond readvance in
2 Grampian Highlands of Scotland. *Nature-Physical Science* 244, 75-77.
- 3 Sissons, J.B., Sutherland, D.G., 1976. Climatic inferences from former glaciers in the south-
4 east Grampian Highlands, Scotland. *Journal of Glaciology* 17, 325-346.
- 5 Smith, M., Robertson, S., Rollin, K.E., 1999. Rift basin architecture and stratigraphical
6 implications for basement-cover relationships in the Neoproterozoic Grampian Group of
7 the Scottish Caledonides. *Journal of the Geological Society of London* 156, 1163-1173.
- 8 Stephenson, D., Gould, D., 1995. *British regional geology: the Grampian Highlands* (4th
9 edition). HMSO for the British Geological Survey, London.
- 10 Strachan, R.A., Smith, M., Harris, A.L., Fettes, D.J., 2002. The Northern Grampian Highland
11 and Grampian terranes. In: Trewin, N.H. (Eds.), *The Geology of Scotland*. The
12 Geological Society of London, pp. 81-148.
- 13 Sugden, D.E., 1968. The selectivity of glacial erosion in the Cairngorm Mountains, Scotland.
14 *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 45, 75-92.
- 15 Sumner, P.D., Meiklejohn, K.I., 2004. On the development of autochthonous blockfields in
16 the grey basalts of sub-Antarctic Marion Island. *Polar Geography* 28, 120-132.
- 17 Tarasov, L., Peltier, W.R., 2005. Arctic freshwater forcing of the Younger Dryas cold
18 reversal. *Nature* 435, 662-665.
- 19 Torsnes, I., Rye, N., Nesje, A., 1993. Modern and Little Ice Age equilibrium line altitudes on
20 outlet valley glaciers from Jostedalbreen, Western Norway – an evaluation of different
21 approaches to their calculation. *Arctic and Alpine Research* 25, 106-116.
- 22 Trelea-Newton, M., Golledge, N.R., 2012. The Younger Dryas glaciation in the southeastern
23 Monadhliath Mountains, Scotland: glacier reconstruction and palaeoclimate implications.
24 *Boreas* 41, 614-628.
- 25 van der Veen, C.J., 1999. *Fundamentals of Glacier Dynamics*. Balkema, Rotterdam.

- 1 Vieira, G., 2008. Combined numerical and geomorphological reconstruction of the Serra da
2 Estrela plateau icefield, Portugal. *Geomorphology* 97, 190-207.
- 3 Whalley, W.B., Gordon, J.E., Thompson, D.L., 1981. Periglacial features on the margins of a
4 receding plateau ice cap, Lyngen, North Norway. *Journal of Glaciology* 27, 492-496.
- 5 Whalley, W.B., Rea, B.R., Rainey, M.M., 2004. Weathering, blockfields and fracture systems
6 and the implications for long-term landscape formation: some evidence from Lyngen and
7 Öksfjordjokelen areas in north Norway. *Polar Geography* 28, 93-119.
- 8 Whalley, W.B., Rea, B.R., Rainey, M.M., McAlister, J.J., 1997. Rock weathering in
9 blockfields: some preliminary data from mountain plateaus in North Norway. Geological
10 Society, London, Special Publication No. 120, 133-145.
- 11 Winkler, S., Matthews, J.A., 2010. Holocene glacier chronologies: Are ‘high-resolution’
12 global and inter-hemispheric comparisons possible? *The Holocene* 20, 1137-1147.
- 13 Young, J.A.T., 1977. Glacial geomorphology of the Dulnain valley, Inverness-shire. *Scottish*
14 *Journal of Geology* 13, 59-74.
- 15 Young, J.A.T., 1978. The landforms of the upper Strathspey. *Scottish Geographical Magazine*
16 94, 76-94.

17

18 **Figures**

19

20 Figure 1. Topographic map to show the location of the Monadhliath in Scotland, and all
21 valleys referred to in Table 1 and the text. UK Outline from Ordnance Survey © Crown
22 copyright 2010. NEXTMap DSM hillshade model from Intermap Technologies (2007).

23

24 Figure 2. Extracts from the glacial geomorphological map presented by Boston (2012a). A)
25 The Findhorn Valley in the northeast of the study area (NH 661 135); B) Corrie Yairack to

1 the southwest (NN 443 970); C) Corrie Easgann, a tributary to Glen Killin in the central
2 north (NH 523 078); D) Gleann Lochain (NH 632 006), Gleann Ballach (NH 652 013) and
3 Gleann Fionndrigh (NH 665 017), tributaries of Glen Banchor in the southeast.

4

5 Figure 3. Glacial geomorphological map of Glen Turret and the upland area to the northeast.
6 Adapted from Boston (2012a).

7

8 Figure 4. Glacial geomorphological map, adapted from Boston (2012a), of the four Glen
9 Banchor tributary valleys that were examined by Gheorghiu et al. (2012). This map includes
10 a comparison of the Younger Dryas limits identified in this paper (red) and by Gheorghiu et
11 al. (2012) (yellow). Letters correspond to those used by Gheorghiu et al. (2012) and
12 additional locations discussed in the text.

13

14 Figure 5. Example of the use of the Benn and Hulton (2010) model to extrapolate the surface
15 profile of a glacier (Easgann) from an area (usually the lower part) that is well constrained by
16 geomorphological evidence to an area (often plateau) for which there is no evidence to
17 constrain ice thickness. Both maximum and minimum thickness models are initially guided
18 by the geomorphological evidence, in this case lateral moraines, which required a maximum
19 yield stress of 250 kPa to replicate the steep surface profile of this particular glacier as it
20 flowed from the plateau. Most modelled glaciers in this study required shear stresses below
21 200 kPa to match the geomorphological evidence, however. The shear stress was then
22 reduced towards zero near the ice divide on the plateau, as recommended by Benn and Hulton
23 (2010).

24

25 Figure 6. Mathematical equations used to define glacier surface profiles for a) the parabolic

1 surface of a glacier as defined by Nye (1951, 1952) (eqn. 1). b) Diagram to illustrate the use
2 of C^* and \tilde{C} to best fit the parabola to the surface profiles sampled by Ng *et al.* (2010). From
3 Ng *et al.* (2010, p. 3242).

4

5 Figure 7. Scatter plot showing glacier relief (H) against C^* , where the size of the data points
6 corresponds to the length of the glacier. Data from Ng *et al.* (2010).

7

8 Figure 8. Illustration of the combined approach used to identify maximum and minimum
9 boundaries of ice thickness on the plateau for the Monadhliath Icefield: A) numbered glacier
10 centre lines used in this example, which correspond to the table in C; B) conceptual diagram
11 of the process by which modelled ice thicknesses were combined with geomorphological
12 evidence and evidence in neighbouring valleys. In the example, the south facing glacier must
13 be connected to the plateau due to modelled and geomorphological evidence in the
14 neighbouring north-facing valley for a reasonable thickness of ice at the ice divide. Likewise
15 the northern glacier cannot be as thick as modelled, due to constraints on ice thickness of the
16 southern glacier; C) table presenting maximum, minimum and average values for ice
17 thickness of major outlet glaciers in the central sector of the Monadhliath, based on glacier
18 surface profile modelling (Benn and Hulton, 2010; Ng *et al.*, 2010) and any
19 geomorphological/topographic constraints as described in the notes section below. The units
20 for C^* are $m^{1/2}$ and No MA = no modern analogue.

21

22 Figure 9. Average (A), minimum (B) and maximum (C) reconstructions of the Younger Dryas
23 Monadhliath Icefield. Numbered glacier outlets correspond to those in Table 2. NEXTMap
24 DSM hillshade model from Intermap Technologies (2007).

25

1 Figure 10. Major outlet glaciers of the Monadhliath Icefield classed according to their ELA in
2 groups of 50 m intervals, showing an increase from the western to central to eastern sectors.
3 NEXTMap DSM hillshade model from Intermap Technologies (2007).

4
5

6 Figure 11. Comparison of the reconstructed Monadhliath Icefield (orange) in comparison to
7 modelled Younger Dryas ice extent in the Monadhliath from an ‘optimum fit’ 500 m
8 resolution three-dimensional thermomechanical ice-sheet model that was constrained by field
9 evidence in other areas of Scotland. Adapted from Golledge et al. (2008).

10

11 Table 1. Criteria used to define the maximum limits of Younger Dryas outlet glaciers in the
12 Monadhliath. The location of each valley is shown in Figure 1.

13

14 Table 2. Equilibrium line altitudes for the Monadhliath Icefield and major outlet glaciers
15 calculated using the AABR, AAR and AMWA approaches. Uncertainty is calculated using
16 the maximum and minimum icefield reconstructions, and for the ELAs calculated using the
17 AABR of 1.9, the range of ± 0.81 (Rea, 2009) is also included in the uncertainty. Glaciers are
18 organised by area of the Monadhliath and from west to east within these groups. The
19 dominant direction of flow for each glacier is given in brackets and the number corresponds
20 to their location on Fig. 9.

21

22 Table 3. Palaeoprecipitation values and uncertainty for the Monadhliath Icefield and major
23 outlet glaciers at their respective ELAs and at sea level, calculated using the $AABR = 1.9 \pm$
24 0.81 ELA. The results of both the Ohmura et al. (1992) and Golledge et al. (2010)
25 precipitation-temperature relationships are displayed, where S-type = summer type

1 precipitation, N-type = neutral type precipitation and W-type = winter type precipitation.
2 Palaeoprecipitation values for the Monadhliath Icefield are presented for both a mean July
3 temperature at sea level of $8.5 \pm 0.3^\circ \text{C}$ (Benn & Ballantyne, 2005) and a summer sea-level
4 temperature of 6.4°C (Golledge, 2008). A mean July temperature at sea level of $8.5 \pm 0.3^\circ \text{C}$
5 only was used to calculate the palaeoprecipitation values for the major outlet glaciers. These
6 glaciers are organised by area of the Monadhliath and from west to east within these groups.

7

8 Table 4. The percentage change from the precipitation values presented in Table 3 after
9 including the effect of cooling by glaciers on the temperature at the ELA.

10

11 Table 5. Annual precipitation values for Younger Dryas sites in Scotland, arranged from west
12 to east, based on published ELAs, but calculated using the methods described here. The table
13 includes the Monadhliath Icefield and average values for the western, central and eastern
14 sectors.

15

16 Table 6. A) Modern precipitation data averaged over a 10 year period between 2000 and 2009
17 for three Met Office weather stations in the western (Braeroy), central (Spey Dam) and
18 eastern (Coignafearn) sectors of the Monadhliath. Uncertainty is one standard deviation. B)
19 Average Younger Dryas precipitation, calculated using the Golledge et al. (2010)
20 precipitation-temperature function, for the western, central and eastern sectors of the
21 Monadhliath Icefield, with uncertainty calculated from the maximum and minimum icefield
22 reconstructions, as described in the text. Modern precipitation data provided by the British
23 Atmospheric Data Centre (NERC) and verified using the FetchClimate (2012) web
24 application.

25