Lignocellulose degradation mechanisms across the Tree of Life

Organisms use diverse mechanisms involving multiple complementary enzymes, particularly glycoside hydrolases (GHs), to deconstruct lignocellulose. Lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases (LPMOs) produced by bacteria and fungi facilitate deconstruction as does the Fenton chemistry of brown-rot fungi. Lignin depolymerisation is achieved by white-rot fungi and certain bacteria, using peroxidases and laccases. Meta-omics is now revealing the complexity of prokaryotic degradative activity in lignocellulose-rich environments. Protists from termite guts and some oomycetes produce multiple lignocellulolytic enzymes. Lignocellulose-consuming animals secrete some GHs, but most harbour a diverse enzyme-secreting gut microflora in a mutualism that is particularly complex in termites. Shipworms however, house GH-secreting and LPMO-secreting bacteria separate from the site of digestion and the isopod Limnoria relies on endogenous enzymes alone. The omics revolution is identifying many novel enzymes and paradigms for biomass deconstruction, but more emphasis on function is required, particularly for enzyme cocktails, in which LPMOs may play an important role.
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Introduction
Land plants direct most photosynthetically fixed carbon into lignocellulose, a composite of the polymers cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin and lignin. During the life of the plant, this complex matrix provides structural integrity, and resistance to herbivores and pathogens, so most lignocellulosic biomass is processed by saprophytes and detritivores in detrital food webs. Biomass can be used as a feedstock for biofuel generation, but is recalcitrant to enzymatic processing due to barriers to enzyme access that arise from the paracrystallinity of cellulose, the complexity of the hemicellulose coating of cellulose microfibrils, and the interpenetration and encapsulation of polysaccharide components by lignin. In industrial processes, recalcitrance is overcome by severe chemical and physical pre-treatments, but organisms achieve lignocellulose deconstruction under physiologically tolerable conditions. To assist the prospecting of biodiversity for lignocellulolytic mechanisms with potential for biotechnology applications, a discussion meeting was held in September 2013 at the Linnean Society in London, which reviewed the vast array of mechanisms across the Tree of Life. This article captures and updates the diverse chemical and organismal perspectives brought to the subject by the participants in the meeting.

Diversity of deconstruction mechanisms
Organisms achieve lignocellulose deconstruction in diverse ways. Oxidative attack, hemicellulases and, in animals, mechanical disruption all reduce recalcitrance,
which improves access for depolymerising enzymes. Information on carbohydrate-active enzymes and sub-
strate-binding proteins (carbohydrate-binding modules) is collated within the CAZy database [1]. Peptide pattern recognition (PPR) has recently been used to assist the classification of GH and AA families into subfamilies, based on predicted function, and to provide a tool for mining genome data for new enzymes [2]. Here we focus on the CAZy categories of glycoside hydrolases (GHs) and Auxiliary Activities (AAs) — redox enzymes that act with GHs, often in a synergistic manner.

Enzymatic depolymerisation of cellulose and hemicelluloses

The enzymatic degradation of cellulose and hemicellu-
lose is accomplished in Nature via the collective action of multiple carbohydrate-active enzymes, typically acting together as a cocktail with complementary, synergistic activities and modes of action [3**]. GHs are the primary enzymes that cleave glycosidic linkages present in cellulose and hemicellulose. GHs are assisted in their function by polysaccharide esterases that remove methyl, acetyl and phenolic esters, allowing the GHs to function on hemicelluloses [4]. In some cases, polysaccharides are also depolymerised by the action of polysaccharide lyases [4]. Across the Tree of Life, the GH cocktail composition is greatly dependent on the kingdom of the cellulolytic organism, the evolutionary pressure the organism has faced, and the environmental niche wherein it resides. For example, filamentous cellulolytic fungi produce GH Family 7 enzymes, which are potent cellobiohydrolases [3**], but in prokaryotes this function is provided by other families such as GH48. Until recently, it was also long thought that GH7 enzymes were only found in fungi, but recent studies have revealed their existence in other eukaryotic kingdoms of life [5,6]. Despite phylogenetic diversity, remarkable sequence and structural similarities occur within this GH family (e.g., Figure 1a,b), though the enzyme surface properties may be markedly different (Figure 1c). Greater diversities of sequence and function are found within other GH families.

Cellulolytic enzymes can also be arranged in multiple domain architectures. For example, some rumen bacteria and fungi employ a large, multi-modal cellulosome approach with many catalytic units on a large scaffold [7], whereas many prokaryotic and eukaryotic species employ free enzyme paradigms with single catalytic units able to diffuse and act independently (Figure 2a,b). Some enzymes have interpolation between these paradigms wherein a single protein contains more than one active site [8*], for example, a multimodular enzyme with GH5, GH6, CBM5 and CBM10 domains has been found [9*].

Oxidative polysaccharide depolymerisation

Recently, a new oxidative enzymatic paradigm was discovered for cleavage of polysaccharide linkages [10]; these enzymes have been termed lytic polysaccharide
monooxygenases (LPMOs), but some were originally classified as GH Family 61 cellulases and others, Family 33 Carbohydrate-Binding Modules. Cellulose-degrading LPMOs are now assigned to AA family 9, which contains fungal enzymes, and AA10 with predominantly bacterial enzymes [11**]. LPMOs can act on crystalline cellulose [11**], but also hemicelluloses [12]. They act by direct oxidative attack on the polymer chains (Figure 2a) through a flat active site with a centrally located copper atom [13*]. Non-enzymatic deconstruction of the cellu-
lose can also be demonstrated, including iron-dependent Fenton chemistries found in the brown rot wood-degradi-
ing fungi [14].

Lignin depolymerisation

Lignin is a heterogeneous, alkyl-aromatic polymer found in plant cell walls formed from three aromatic alcohols that differ in their extent of methoxylation. Multiple strategies exist in Nature for the modification of lignin, though a much more limited range of organisms can achieve lignin degradation than cellulose degradation. White rot basidiomycetes and some ligninolytic bacteria serve as the primary degraders of lignins via the action of secreted oxidative enzymes such as peroxidases and laccases [15,16**] (Figure 2c), producing a pool of heterogeneous aromatics. These are ultimately metabolized by the secreting organism or other microbes. Brown rot fungi, which have no lignin degrading enzymes, employ small molecule reactive species to depolymerize lignin (Figure 2d), cleave the propyl side chain, and also demethoxylate the ring before repolymerizing the material elsewhere as a means of freeing the cellulolic components and generating greater access for deconstruction [14]. The modified lignin is not metabolized by brown rot fungi and instead persists in the soil.

Diversity of lignocellulose-degrading organisms

Cellulose is generated by a diversity of marine organisms so cellulose breakdown is probably to have an ancient origin. The evolution of lignin degradation, however, coincided with the decline in organic carbon burial at the end of the Permian [17]. Land plants appeared after most the major branches of the Tree of Life had already diverged, so the ability to deconstruct lignocellulose has multiple origins and has continued to evolve in diverse smaller branches widely, but sparsely dispersed across the Tree of Life (Figure 3). For example, the ecologically important insect-protist symbiosis, which facilitates lignocellulose digestion, emerged in the late Jurassic [18*] and wood digestion aided by bacterial mutualists was a feature of the last common ancestor of the bivalve families Teredinidae and Xylophaginacea [19]. In Nature, symbioses and consortia of organisms with complementary enzymes feature widely in breakdown of bulk biomass. Deconstruction is achieved under a wide range of (sometimes
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Variability within the CAZy Family GH7. Conservation of GH7 family enzymes from across the Tree of Life. (a) Primary sequences of the core regions of Trichoderma reesei, Dictyostelium discoideum, Chaetomium thermophilum, Coniophora puteana, Daphnia pulex and Limnoria quadripunctata were aligned with CLUSTALW [69]. Sequences were rendered using ESPRRIPT [70]. Conserved regions are marked in blue boxes, identity by white text on red background and similarity with red letters. Secondary structure elements are based on the T. reesei structure (PDB ID: 1CEL) with helices displayed as coils, β-strands as arrows, strict β-turns as TT letters and strict α-turns as TTT letters. Green numbers for cysteine residues indicate their pairing in disulphide bridges as known from the structures of the Trichoderma and Limnoria enzymes. (b) Homology models generated with SWISSMODEL [71] rendered as cartoons with PyMOL (Schrödinger, LLC) were compared to the X-ray structures of T. reesei (PDB ID: 1CEL) and L. quadripunctata (PDB ID: 4IPM) revealing a high conservation prediction of the structural fold. Differences in loop regions correspond with regions of low identity in part (a). Note the size of the protein relative to a cellulose chain bound in the tunnel of T. reesei GH7 (Glc9 oligosaccharide from PDB ID: 4C4C [72]). (c) Electrostatic surface mapping of T. reesei, L. quadripunctata and
extreme) environmental conditions, particularly of pH, redox potential, temperature, and pressure. This range is reflected in the diversity of the organisms involved.

**Prokaryotes**
Recent developments in powerful meta-omic techniques are making it possible to mine the incredible genetic diversity of prokaryotic communities of lignocellulose-enriched environments, such as compost, for new robust lignocellulose degrading enzymes that could potentially perform well under industrial conditions. Comparative meta-transcriptomic analysis has recently been used to identify highly expressed genes in compost-derived microbial communities capable of degrading rice straw under high loading conditions [20]. Studies on lignocellulose degrading microorganisms in complex communities, using meta-genomics and meta-proteomics, are revealing the structure and roles of individual community members and how they respond to changes in environmental conditions such as nutrient availability at functional and genetic levels.

Meta-omics also yields new insights into the complex inter-relationships in gut-resident microbial consortia. Termites provide particularly intriguing examples of digestive mutualism [21]. In lower termites, bacteria and archaea live in the cytoplasm and on the external surfaces of gut-resident, wood-particle-phagocytosing flagellates, but also in the viscous gut fluids. Bacteriodetes, Firmicutes, Spirochaetes, Proteobacteria and Elusibacteria are prominent members of this microbiota which participate in the pathways leading to conversion of biomass to methane, hydrogen and acetate [18**] (Figure 4b). Over 4700 bacterial phylotypes have been detected by 16S rRNA probes in the lower termite Reticulitermes [22]. The hindgut of higher termites contains only prokaryotes and these promote the breakdown of wood particles pre-treated by enzymes from the termite. Hindgut fluids have low cellulolytic activity, but strong cellulytic activity is found in wood particles and the bacteria associated with them [23].

A number of soil bacteria have been identified that are able to oxidise lignin, the majority of which fall into the Actinobacteria, α-Proteobacteria or γ-Proteobacteria, members of which have also been found in termite guts and wood-boring insects [15]. The enzymes responsible for degradation of lignin in prokaryotes were until recently poorly understood, but peroxidases from the dye-decolorising peroxidase family have been shown to be active for oxidation of Mn(II) and β-aryl ether lignin model compounds in Gram-positive actinobacteria *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1 [24] and *Amycolatopsis* sp. 75iv2 [25], and in Gram-negative γ-proteobacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* PF-5 [26]. Bacterial laccases have also been shown via gene deletion to be required for production of acid-precipitable lignin in *Streptomyces A3(2)* [27]. Glutathione-dependent β-etherase enzymes that catalyse stereospecific cleavage reactions on β-aryl ether lignin model compounds have also been characterised from *Sphingobium* SYK-6 [28*], though the role of these enzymes and their contribution to lignocellulose degradation remains to be characterised. Laccase and peroxidase activity has been identified and characterised in a range of bacteria grown on biomass-derived lignin [29].

Archaea are also found in composts [30] and termite guts [22,31], but their mechanisms of lignocellulose deconstruction are less well explored. Some Archaea can degrade lignocellulose at high temperature [32,33]. An endoglucanase GH12 has been identified in the archaeon *Pyrococcus* [33]. Five genes encoding laccase enzymes that might oxidise lignin have been identified in Archaea, three in the Halobacteriales, and one in the Thermoproteales [31].

Free-living, wood degrading prokaryotes from marine sources are categorized into tunnelling or erosion bacteria, distinguished by their distinct patterns of plant cell-wall degradation [34]. Tunnelling bacteria are gram negative rods and erosion bacteria are assigned to the *Cytophaga-Flavobacteria* group: neither type have been grown in pure culture so their evidently independent action is poorly understood, but the rate is slow compared with fungal decay [34], leaving lignin little altered while degrading cellulose and hemicellulose [35]. Wood exposed in deep water recruits characteristic assemblages of pressure-tolerant bacteria which are distinct from those found in faecal material produced by borers feeding on the wood [36].

**Single celled eukaryotes and protists**
Endogenous cellulases have been detected in some free-living protists. The genome of the slime mould *Dictyostelium* encodes a putative GH17 cellbiohydrolase [37] and the chlorophyte *Chlamydomonas* is capable of breaking down extracellular cellulose using an endoglucanase [38]. The dinoflagellate *Alexandrium* generates a cellulase similar to one from termite symbionts, but this probably assists cell division rather than digestion [39]. However, the pathogenic oomycete, *Phytophthora* generates a suite of cell wall degrading enzymes that target hemicellulose and cellulose, including members of GH families 1, 5, 6, 7 and 10, and AA9 and 10 [40]. Lower termites host up to

---

(Figure 1 Legend Continued) *D. pulex* demonstrates that while the backbone is highly conserved, there is a striking evolution of surface properties corresponding to environment. Electrostatic potential between –7kT/F and 7kT/F was plotted with DELPH [73] as a coloured gradient from red (acidic) to blue (basic). The fresh water *Daphnia* has a relatively neutral surface coat similar to that of the *Trichoderma* fungus. By contrast, the other crustacean, *L. quadripunctata*, has a highly acidic surface coat, presumably adapted for digestive processes within the marine environment.
Figure 2

(a) Cellulose chain

(b) Bacterial cell wall

(c) Oxidises aromatic phenols in lignin

(d) Disruption of lignocellulose complex
The sparse and localised distribution of selected organisms capable of lignocellulose or cellulose degradation mapped onto the Tree of Life, with highest taxonomic ranks colour-coded as shown in key. Genus names of organisms degrading lignocellulose using endogenous enzymes shown in bold, those with endogenous plus symbiont-derived enzymes shown printed pale and those with only symbiont-derived enzymes shown underlined.

19 species of flagellate parabasilian and oxymonad protists in their paunch which phagocytose wood particles. These protists contain a plethora of enzymes in their digestive vacuoles: endoglucanases, GH7-cellbiohydrolases, β-glucosidases, xylanases, mannanosidases and arabinosidases [18**].

**Fungi**

Biomass degrading fungi rely on complex degradative machineries that generally catalyse two types of processes: first, direct enzymatic depolymerization, for example, by cellbiohydrolases and second, generation of oxidative species (e.g., radicals) that then act on the biomass. Categorization terminology is changing with new genomic information on the Basidiomycota suggesting that fungal species traditionally classed as white rot or brown rot may no longer fit neatly into these categories because of gradations both in the expression of metabolites and the resulting patterns of decay [41**]. Traditionally however, in typical white rot degradation, the fungi employ a mode
Examples of mechanisms employed by animals in lignocellulose degradation. (a) Shipworms bore into the wood using a shell with toothed ridges, creating small wood fragments which are ingested. Shipworms house dense communities of endosymbiotic bacteria in an internal region of the gill referred to as the gland of Deshayes. Some of the endosymbiont lignocellulose degrading enzymes are selectively translocated from gill to gut.
of attack that is primarily enzymatic. Attack of the wood cell wall proceeds only from lignocellulose surfaces in white rot fungi because degradative enzymes are too large to penetrate the intact cell wall. The enzymes employed by the white rot fungi include a complete suite of cellulases, and these fungi also produce a suite of enzymes that can oxidise lignin components, including liginase, manganese peroxidase, versatile peroxidase or laccase, or a combination of these (Figure 2c) [16**]. Some white rot fungi have also been shown to have large numbers of LPLO genes [42**].

Brown rot fungi have evolved multiple times from the predecessors of current white rot fungi and in these evolutionary advances, lignolytic enzyme systems and crucial types of cellulases have been lost [17]. A chelator-mediated Fenton (CMF) system (Figure 2d) has evolved to substitute for much of the cellulolytic enzyme machinery in at least three orders of brown rot fungi (Gloeophyllales, Polyporales and Boletales), thus generating an alternative efficient mechanism for depolymerization and biomodification of biomass [14,43]. The CMF system is unique among biological systems in being the only reported substrate deconstruction system based on oxygen radical chemistry that permits non-enzymatic deconstruction at a considerable distance (several microns) from the organism. The efficiency of the CMF system is thought to provide brown rot fungi advantages in exploiting ecological niches, and for example, these fungi have displaced white rot predecessors in the degradation of conifer wood.

Some ascomycete fungi can also degrade wood cell walls, forming chains of diamond-shaped cavities that generally follow the orientation of the S2 elementary fibrils, causing soft rot [34]. Soft rot fungi are known to produce a full complement of cellulolytic enzymes; however, their lignin degrading activity has been variably reported to contain unspecified extracellular peroxidases and oxidases that appear to be more limited in function than those isolated from white rot fungi.

**Animals**

Many invertebrates express endogenous cellulases. Plant-parasitic nematodes, cockroaches and termites were among the first to be proven to carry cellulase genes, but more recently these genes (mostly of the families GH5, 9 and 45) have also been unambiguously demonstrated in other taxa, such as other insects [44], Gastropoda [45], Crustacea [6,46,47] and Annelida [48]. The lack of large digestive gut chambers (as known from ruminants and termites) for cultivation of microbial gut symbionts in many insects or crustaceans argues that endogenous cellulases are needed in these herbivorous and detritivorous animals. Overcoming recalcitrance is partially achieved by mechanical breakdown of substrate by mouth parts or shells.

Wood-boring teredinid bivalves (commonly called shipworms) ingest wood particles produced by the grinding action of their shells. They lack a conspicuous gut microbiota [49] and instead, harbour endosymbiotic \( \gamma \)-proteobacteria within specialized cells in the gills. In the shipworm *Bankia setacea*, these bacteria produce lignocellulose-degrading enzymes that are selectively transported to the gut [50**]. These enzymes include representatives of GH families 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 45 and 53 and carbohydrate esterase families 1, 3, 4, 6 and 15, as well as LPLOs from the AA10 family [9*]. This separation of bacterial residence from digestion may allow the capture of liberated sugars without competition from a resident gut microbiota (Figure 4a). The endosymbiotic bacteria have been shown to fix nitrogen in vivo and thus may help to complement the limited organic nitrogen sources in wood [51]. Deep sea relatives of shipworms — the Xylophagainae — have a similar symbiosis and breakdown mechanism, but one that is capable of operating at extreme pressures [19]. Endogenous GH 9, 10 and 45 enzymes have been detected in the digestive gland and crystalline style of the bivalve *Corbicula* which consumes particulate detritus from terrestrial plants [52]. The role of the crystalline style in breakdown of heavily lignified substrates remains to be elucidated and is a promising line of enquiry.

In termites, endogenous cellulases (produced in the salivary glands and midgut) are complemented by microbial enzymes produced by flagellates and bacteria in the hindgut [53] (Figure 4b), the latter also allowing partial access to cellulose fibres through oxidative breakdown of the embedding lignin matrix. The role of endogenous phenol oxidase-like enzymes in lignin degradation in other invertebrates remains unclear, but recent studies suggest an involvement of activated haemocyanin in phenol oxidation [6,54]. Hemicellulases have been demonstrated in crustaceans, of which at least laminarinases are endogenous [55]. In termites, hemicellulases (xylanase, galactanase) appear to be mostly of bacterial origin [53], though mannanase activity has been ascribed to a symbiotic protist of a termite [56].
Lignocellulose digestion is a rare dietary strategy in vertebrates, but a few terrestrial (e.g., beavers, pandas and porcupines) and aquatic vertebrates consume high levels of lignocellulose in their normal diet [60,61], but it is unclear whether this is obligate xylaphagy, except in the case of pandas, which are surprisingly poorly adapted to their diet [62]. The microorganisms that facilitate lignocellulose digestion in vertebrates vary greatly and are now being investigated. Loricariid catfish are found predominantly in freshwater ecosystems of the Neotropics, and a subset — Panaque spp. are xylivorous. Using 16S rRNA gene analysis it was found that P. nigrolineatus GI tract possesses a microbial community comprising close relatives of microorganisms capable of cellulose degradation and nitrogen fixation [63]. Cellulose-degrading bacteria from this community have been characterised and found to exist in symbiosis with nitrogen-fixers within this vertebrate GI tract [64].

Conclusions

The advent of omics technologies, coupled to heightened interest in biofuels motivated by the drive towards a sustainable energy future, has driven a rapid increase in our repertoire of lignocellulose-active genes and understanding of natural paradigms. Furthermore, recent discoveries in polysaccharide oxidation [11**, substrate binding paradigms [65*], enzyme domain architectures [8*,9*], synergies between enzymatic modes of action [66] and enzymes for lignin bond cleavage [28**] highlight the fact that many discoveries remain ahead of us. Our understanding of the deconstruction process at molecular and microscopic levels has been enhanced by innovative visualisation of degradation of experimental substrates [8*,67]. However, the development of detailed sequence–structure–function relationships for individual enzymes still lags behind, even for enzymes that are considered to be well characterised, such as fungal cellulases [3**] and hemicellulases [68*], and certainly in more recently discovered oxidative enzymes [11**] and those involved in lignin degradation [15]. Beyond understanding single enzymes, the ability to understand how cocktails of enzymes work together synergistically will be undoubtedly crucial to understanding how to harness paradigms observed in Nature and to optimize these to industrial conditions. The ability of organisms and microbial communities to adjust their enzyme cocktails to different substrates almost certainly contains some clues. Tolerance to specific conditions may give selection of enzymes for biotechnological exploitation [59*]. A more complete understanding and exploitation of the evolutionary inventions offered by the Tree of Life to overcome recalcitrance will ultimately be achieved by combining tools from diverse fields including microbiology, zoology, biochemistry, omics approaches, synthetic biology, advanced imaging and substrate characterisation [3**].
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