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Background

- People die in hospitals
  - 5% preventable (>50% chance)
  - = 12,000 per year in England

- Recent cases:
  - Mid-Staffs
  - Leeds paediatric cardiac surgery

- Causes:
  - a clinician (or team of) is less competent
  - someone of sufficient expertise sees patient too late
  - the supply of clinical activity is finite
How can data and IT help?

- Operationalise the collection of data
- Operationalise the analysis of data
- Detect patterns in clinical data indicative of deterioration that clinicians might not spot
- Help clinicians manage the process of care
  - prompt some action
  - facilitate making the next step
- Help managers
  - monitor/compare patterns of activity
  - analyse effectiveness
Data we have used

- Patient administrative data
  - patient id pseudonymised
  - age, gender
  - date/time of admission and discharge
  - whether admitted as an elective or emergency case
  - whether discharged dead or alive
  - which dept(s)/ward(s) the patient was in

- Pathology data
  - 7 most commonly performed blood tests

- Vital signs data
  - 7 routinely measured physiological indicators
Model 1

BIOCHEMISTRY AND HAEMATOLOGY OUTCOME MODELLING (BHOM)
Pathology data used

• The "magnificent 7" blood tests:
  – albumin
  – creatinine
  – haemoglobin
  – potassium
  – sodium
  – urea
  – white cell count

• Over 12 months, 9497 patients discharged from "general medicine"

• Outcome measured: mortality on discharge

  • Method: logistic regression
The BHOM model

- \( \ln \left( \frac{R}{1-R} \right) = \)
  - \(-10.192 + (-0.013 \times \text{gender})\)
  +\((5.712 \times \text{mode of admission})\)
  +\((0.053 \times \text{age on admission}) + (0.018 \times \text{urea})\)
  +\((-0.001 \times \text{Na}^+) + (-0.101 \times \text{K}^+)\)
  +\((-0.047 \times \text{albumin}) + (-0.037 \times \text{haemoglobin})\)
  +\((0.067 \times \text{white cell count}) + (0.001 \times \text{creatinine})\)
  +\((2.744 \times \text{urea/creatinine})\)
BHOM model evaluated

- Two main evaluators:
  - calibration
    - does the model reflect the distribution of risk?
      - most patients are "low" (<5%) risk
  - discrimination
    - does the model discriminate between patients who died and those who didn't?
      - AUROC ~ .76

- Key papers:
Model 2

**VITAL SIGNS MODELS (VIEWS, NEWS AND DT-EWS)**
Background to vital sign modelling

- 2006-2008 KTP with *The Learning Clinic*, developers of VitalPAC
  - allows nurses to collect vital sign data at the patient's bedside
  - data immediately stored in hospital systems
  - doctors use a tablet-based interface
- Now in use at Portsmouth Hospitals Trust and about 20-30 other hospitals
Vital sign data used

- Another "magnificent 7", vital signs:
  - pulse
  - respiration rate
  - temperature
  - blood pressure (systolic)
  - $O_2$ saturation
  - supplemental oxygen
  - AVPU score (alert or not)
Application: Early warning systems

• Used widely to monitor patient deterioration
• Map each parameter onto a "score"
• Add the scores up
• If score is above a threshold, take appropriate action, e.g.
  – increase frequency of observation
  – call for a doctor
  – call for a doctor immediately
• Most EWSs based on "experience" of a single clinician or a committee of clinicians
# National Early Warning Score (NEWS)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHYSIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiration Rate</td>
<td>≤8</td>
<td>9 - 11</td>
<td>12 - 20</td>
<td>21 - 24</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Saturations</td>
<td>≤91</td>
<td>92 - 93</td>
<td>94 - 95</td>
<td>≥96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Supplemental Oxygen</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>≤35.0</td>
<td>35.1 - 36.0</td>
<td>36.1 - 38.0</td>
<td>38.1 - 39.0</td>
<td>≥39.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>91 - 100</td>
<td>101 - 110</td>
<td>111 - 219</td>
<td>≥220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Rate</td>
<td>≤40</td>
<td>41 - 50</td>
<td>51 - 90</td>
<td>91 - 110</td>
<td>111 - 130</td>
<td>≥131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Consciousness</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V, P, or U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The NEWS initiative flowed from the Royal College of Physicians’ NEWS Development and Implementation Group (NEWSDIG) report, and was jointly developed and funded in collaboration with the Royal College of Physicians, Royal College of Nursing, National Outreach Forum and NHS Training for Innovation.
ViEWS – VitalPAC Early Warning Score

- First EWS based on large scale data
- Derived from 198,755 observation sets from 35,585 acute medical admissions
- Outcome: mortality within 24 hours
- Evaluation
  - discrimination
    - does the model discriminate between patients who died and those who didn't
      - AUROC = .888
- Superior to 33 other published EWSs
- Key paper:
Methods

• Initially, trial and error to optimise discrimination
• More recently, started using Decision Tree tools to develop models
  – Tessy Badriyah PhD work
  – DT-EWS
• DT is a data mining method that produces models that are feasible for humans to apply
• Key paper:
  – Badriyah, Tessy, Briggs, Jim, Meredith, Paul, Jarvis, Stuart, Schmidt, Paul E., Featherstone, Peter I., Prytherch, David and Smith, Gary B. (2014) Decision-tree early warning score (DTEWS) validates the design of the National Early Warning Score (NEWS). Resuscitation, 85 (3). pp. 418-423
Impact

• Embodied into VitalPAC
  - Alerts doctors

• Issue is where to set threshold for response
  - \( \sim 20\% \) of obs have score of \( \geq 5 \) (medium alert)
  - \( \sim 10\% \) of obs have score of \( \geq 7 \) (high alert)
  - Too low a threshold means too much work to do
  - Too high means you might be too late to save the patient

• NEWS is ViEWS adapted by the Royal College of Physicians
  - Now recommended for adoption by all hospitals

• As of 2013:
  - scheduled for adoption in about 68\% of UK hospitals
  - adopted nationally in Wales
  - recommended for adoption in Ireland
Current/future work

• Can the model be improved by adding more variables? (HAVEN)
• Do we need condition-specific models?
• What are the implications for nurse staffing? (Missed Care)
• Is NEWS applicable to surgery as well as medicine?
• Can NEWS be simplified?
• What is the significance of trends in scores?
Key references
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