

**A research project to design, implement and
assess the effectiveness of a sole eLearning
module to prepare non-medical healthcare
practitioners to report nuclear medicine bone
scans**

by

P. J. Delf, MSc, PgC RNI, BSc (Hons), DCR (R), FHEA

A portfolio of research and development in a professional context

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the
Professional Doctorate in Medical Imaging

School of Health Sciences and Social Work
Faculty of Science
University of Portsmouth
May 2012

Author

Penelope Delf

MSc Education and Training Management, University of Portsmouth

Postgraduate Certificate in Radionuclide Imaging, City University, London

BSc (Hons) Professions Allied to Medicine, University of Portsmouth

Diploma of the College of Radiographers (R)

Fellow of the Higher Education Academy

Professional Doctorate Student (part time)

Student Number: 154593

School of Health Sciences and Social Work

University of Portsmouth

Senior Lecturer

Radiography

School of Health Sciences and Social Work

University of Portsmouth

James Watson West

2 King Richard 1st Road

Portsmouth, PO1 2FR

023 9284 5397

penny.delf@port.ac.uk

Research supervisors

Doctor Alan Castle, Radiography, School of Health Science and Social Work,

University of Portsmouth

Professor Graham Mills, Professional Doctorate Programme Manager and Unit

Leader, University of Portsmouth

Abstract

The premise for this research initially stemmed from a perceived crisis facing the provision of the nuclear medicine service within the United Kingdom, the possible impact posed by the shortage of nuclear medicine clinicians and the untapped potential of a body of non-medical healthcare practitioners working within the nuclear medicine sector to whom recognised additional roles, such as reporting of images, may sensibly be delegated. Yet, despite the support by various professional bodies and colleges, uptake is not widespread and appears to be ill provided for in terms of educational programmes.

From an educational perspective, with ever advancing technology and the ubiquity of web based resources, eLearning within healthcare is still in its infancy. Certainly its ability and flexibility to reach geographically diverse populations of learners, is undisputed, yet whilst advantageous to the professional learner in accessing material away from a restricted campus based environment, its efficacy to teach a skill, or competence, and indeed to translate this to clinical practice remains largely unproven.

With both these issue in mind, the project question was posed as to whether it was possible to establish the efficacy and credibility of an eLearning resource to prepare and support the training of non-medical healthcare practitioners working within the field of nuclear medicine in reporting of bone scans.

Research aim and method

To design, implement and evaluate the impact/effectiveness of a solely e-based learning module to prepare non-medical healthcare professionals to report nuclear medicine bone scans and to ascertain its application as an educational programme for a wider audience.

Using an experimental instructional design method, a module was created using various software packages accessible through a virtual learning environment provided by the University of Portsmouth. This enabled the uploading and

provision of academic content, interactive elements and an image database through which a 'real-to-life' learning package, similar to the clinical situation, could take place.

Volunteers were invited to take part in the trial, working their way through a series of knowledge and competence based assessments (formative and summative) and to participate in two surveys at the beginning and on completion of the module. Additional data was gathered through quantitative features embedded within the learning management platform.

Findings

Of thirty-three volunteers recruited to the programme, sixteen completed all the advised summative elements and surveys. From a functional design perspective, the module was well received, pinpointing the benefits and need for this type of resource within the nuclear medicine sector, although the programme would benefit from further refinement for more widespread commercial use.

The eLearning programme clearly demonstrated knowledge gain, although its ability to impart a new skill/ competence, in terms of reporting, can only be cautiously expressed. Those with less experience showed the most marked improvement and as a cohort, there was statistical improvement in discerning normal from abnormal appearances. None of the cohort reached the desired level of concordance in the report writing elements with the reference standard reports, although this may have been, constrained due to programme limitations. There was, however, sufficient evidence to suggest the programme may be potentially suitable as a self-audit tool for reporting, or as a general continuing professional development resource.

Conclusion

eLearning holds widespread appeal to the practising healthcare professional in terms of its ability and flexibility to deliver education, suiting individual learning needs. It should be easily navigable, stimulating and interactive and wherever possible mimic the professional context.

The effectiveness of this programme to prepare non-medical healthcare professionals to acquire a new skill/competence remains outstanding at this time, although there are indications of its influence towards learning.

Some of the learning was clearly transferrable to the clinical setting and could be used for creating a much needed and useful resource for audit and/or continuing professional development purposes. There is also some indication it may be beneficial to professional advancement.

Ultimately, in line with European and national recommendations, eLearning should be allowed to evolve through closer collaboration between HEIs and the private sector, in creating sustainable eLearning resources, maximising its effectiveness for use both nationally and potentially, internationally.

Contents	page
Abstract	iii
Contents	vi
List of tables	xi
List of figures	xii
Acknowledgements	xiv
Declaration	xv
Abbreviations	xvi
Glossary	xviii
Foreword	xx
Chapter 1 - Literature Review	1
1.1 Reporting by non-medical healthcare practitioners	1
1.1.1 The current and historical background	1
1.1.2 The case for reporting by non-medical healthcare practitioners	3
1.1.3 What constitutes a report?	5
1.1.4 Issues of accountability and cost	8
1.1.5 Summary of reporting by non-medical healthcare practitioners	11
1.2 Learning and teaching in the modern world	12
1.2.1 Theories of learning	13
1.2.2 Modern educational theory	14
1.2.3 eLearning – context and theory	17

1.2.4	Designing eLearning	18
1.2.5	The learner professional	19
1.2.6	Quality of learning and teaching in the modern world	20
1.3	Research proposal	21
1.3.1	Research aim	21
1.3.2	Research objectives	22
1.4	Summary of chapter one	22
Chapter 2 - Development of the eLearning Module		23
2.1	Module design and development	24
2.1.1	Module learning outcomes	26
2.1.2	Module content	26
2.2	Module delivery	28
2.2.1	The learning management system (Victory)	28
2.2.2	Interactive features	30
2.2.3	Assessment and survey tools	31
2.3	Module interface and usability	37
2.4	Implementation and release of the module	40
2.5	Evaluating the module	41
2.5.1	KM Level 1 - Reaction (volunteer experience)	42
2.5.2	KM Level 2 - Learning	42
2.5.3	KM Level 3 – Changes in behaviour	54
2.6	Summary of chapter two	54
Chapter 3 - Pilot Study		56
3.1	Release of pilot module	56
3.2	Profile of pilot volunteers	57
3.3	Findings from pilot study	58
3.3.1	Accessibility of pilot module	59
3.3.2	Navigation and layout of pilot module	59
3.3.3	Assessment of pilot module content	61
3.3.4	General feedback on pilot module	65
3.4	Pilot study conclusions	66
3.5	Summary of chapter three	67

Chapter 4 - Trial Implementation and Results	68
4.1 Implementation of trial module	68
4.1.1 Recruitment of volunteers	68
4.1.2 Trial module release	70
4.2 Module participation	71
4.2.1 Access patterns to trial module	71
4.2.2 Volunteer profile of trial module	75
4.2.3 Volunteer attitudes to computers	78
4.2.4 Volunteer expectations	79
4.3 Volunteer experience (KM Level 1)	80
4.3.1 Comments and evaluation of eLearning platform (Victory)	81
4.3.2 Volunteer evaluation of module design	83
4.3.3 Volunteer evaluation of module content	84
4.3.4 Suggestions for improvement	91
4.3.5 Summary of volunteer experience	92
4.4 Learning and verification of diagnostic accuracy and progression (KM Level 2)	93
4.4.1 Cohort progression	93
4.4.2 Performance in short answer and multiple choice assessments	95
4.4.3 Performance in report writing	99
4.4.4 Influence of professional background	106
4.5 Changes in behaviour (KM Level 3)	108
4.5.1 Volunteer evaluation of learning achieved	108
4.5.2 Volunteer evaluation of eLearning	110
4.6 Overall evaluation of module	113
4.7 Summary of findings	114
Chapter 5 - Discussion	116
5.1 Volunteer profile	117
5.2 Volunteer reaction and experience	120
5.2.1 Accessibility of trial module	120
5.2.2 Design and usability of trial module	124
5.2.3 Module content	129

5.2.4	Release of scores and feedback issues	133
5.2.5	Summary of volunteer reactions and experience	135
5.3	Volunteer learning - verification of diagnostic accuracy and progression	137
5.3.1	Volunteer engagement	137
5.3.2	Verification of diagnostic ability and accuracy of volunteer's report writing performance	137
5.3.3	Conclusions on reporting ability as verified by the results	144
5.4	Volunteer behaviour	146
5.5	Summary of chapter five	149
Chapter 6 - Conclusions		151
6.1	Application to practice	152
6.2	National/international implications and the future	154
6.3	Recommendations and future work	156
6.4	Conclusion summary	157
References		159
Appendices		
Appendix I	Search strategy for review of relevant literature	169
Appendix II	Competence, knowledge levels, hierarchy & design parameters for assessing diagnostic performance	172
Appendix III	Research & ethical approvals	178
Appendix IV	Overview of module sections & subsections	182
Appendix V	SCORM & SENDA definitions	191
Appendix VI	Overview of rejected software packages	193
Appendix VII	Bloom's taxonomy related to Questionmark TM Perception TM question types	195
Appendix VIII	Permissions from hospital site trusts to use images & patient recruitment, consent & disclaimer information	197
Appendix IX	Example of Pilot semi-structured interview feedback questions	207
Appendix X	Flyer to advertise trial	211

Appendix XI	Example of project details email for enquirees	213
Appendix XII	Instructions for accessing the module	215
Appendix XIII	Volunteer disclaimer & consent form	221
Appendix XIV	Results from the report writing assessments	225
Appendix XV	Generic feedback given on the report writing assessments	237

List of tables (in order of appearance)

Table 1.1	Components and levels contributing to a clinical report	6
Table 2.1	Module learning outcomes	26
Table 2.2	Summary of software considered for assessment purposes	32
Table 2.3	Interpretation of 'k' values	54
Table 3.1	Profile of pilot volunteers	57
Table 4.1	Time spent by volunteers engaged with module	74
Table 4.2	Attitudes towards computers	79
Table 4.3	Use of presentation and teaching elements	85
Table 4.4	Use of additional web-based resources	87
Table 4.5	Access to formal assessments	88
Table 4.6	Progress regarding content of reports	100
Table 4.7	Progress in decisions based on agreement of 'opinion of findings'	101
Table 4.8	'k' values based on cohort performance for each assessment	102
Table 4.9	Progress in decisions based on agreed 'clinical significance' of findings	103
Table 4.10	Calculations for 'clinical significance' decisions across all tests	104
Table 4.11	Report writing assessment outcomes compared to years of experience	105

List of figures (in order of appearance)

Figure 1.1	Components of an integrated approach to learning	16
Figure 2.1	Desired framework of learning activity design	25
Figure 2.2	Anticipated layout of module home page	39
Figure 4.1	Logging on and locating the module from the course list	71
Figure 4.2	Volunteer engagement and completion of module sections	72
Figure 4.3	Activity over trial period	73
Figure 4.4	Volunteer age range	75
Figure 4.5	Geographical location of volunteers completing pre-module survey	76
Figure 4.6	Range of qualifications held by volunteers	77
Figure 4.7	Years of experience in nuclear medicine practice	78
Figure 4.8	Reasons for undertaking trial module	80
Figure 4.9	Evaluation of Victory as a platform for this learning programme	82
Figure 4.10	Volunteer rating of module design	84
Figure 4.11	Volunteer engagement with module content	86
Figure 4.12	Average module mark (summative only)	94
Figure 4.13	Average scores compared to time spent	94
Figure 4.14	Module average compared to length of experience	95
Figure 4.15	Percentage scores from short answer bone assessment	96
Figure 4.16	Percentage scores from breast and prostate MCQ	96
Figure 4.17	Percentage scores from bone issues MCQ	97
Figure 4.18	Percentage scores from bone scans MCQ	98
Figure 4.19	MWU for clinical significance decisions between initial and interim report writing tests	104
Figure 4.20	Assessment results (average scores) by professional group	107
Figure 4.21	MWU for variation in average performance by professional group (initial and final report writing tests)	108
Figure 4.22	Areas where knowledge as gained	109
Figure 4.23	Volunteers' perceptions of skills gained	109
Figure 4.24	Confidence and competence in viewing and reporting images	110

Figure 4.25	Volunteers' self assessment of module performance and required level to competently interpret bone scans	110
Figure 4.26	Volunteer perceptions of eLearning	111
Figure 4.27	Volunteer perceptions of the feasibility and effectiveness of the module to acquire a new skill	111
Figure 4.28	Professional relevance of module	112
Figure 4.29	Overall evaluation of module	113

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge and thank the following individuals, companies and institutions, without whose help, this research would not have been possible.

My supervisors, Doctor Alan Castle and Professor Graham Mills, for their support and reading of various drafts;

The nuclear medicine consultants, managers, research governance departments, physicists, technical staff and, of course, the patients at Southampton University Hospitals Trust and Poole District General Hospital, for their kind permission to gather the case information for the purpose of constructing the dedicated image database;

Peter Rice and Gordon Priestly of Aimsability.com for their permission and help in uploading case information to the WebAiMS software to construct a secure image database used to support various teaching resources for the module;

Sarah Cooper and Emma Coppins, online course developers in Technology Enhanced Learning at the University of Portsmouth, for bringing the interactive design elements of the module to fruition and for their expertise and knowledge of Question*mark*[™] Perception[™] in the creation of assessments;

To my colleagues in Radiography, School of Health Sciences and Social Work, in particular, my Professional Lead, Mr Harold Clarke, and Head of School, Doctor Jeannette Bartholomew for their continued encouragement;

To all the volunteers, both from the pilot study and main trial, who generously gave their time and without whom I would have had no data;

Lastly, to my family, who have put up with my 'absence' from normal 'home' life, which is an imbalance I hope to be able to redress shortly.

Declaration

Whilst registered as a candidate for the degree, Professional Doctorate in Medical Imaging, I have not been registered for any other research award. The results and conclusions embodied on this thesis are the work of the named candidate and have not been submitted for any other academic award.

Penelope J. Delf

May 2012

Abbreviations

ACTOR - Accredited Clinical teaching Online Resources

AVI - Audio-visual interface

BNMS - British Nuclear Medicine Society

CETL - Centre for Excellence in Teaching and Learning, University of Nottingham

CPD - Continuing professional development

DCR - Diploma of the College of Radiographers

DICOM - Digital image communication in medicine

DRI - Diploma in Radionuclide Imaging

DoH - Department of Health

e-LfH - elearning for healthcare

GMC - General Medical Council

HE - Higher Education

HEI - Higher Educational Institution

HNC - Higher National Certificate

ICSCNM - Intercollegiate Standing Committee on Nuclear Medicine

JISC - Joint Information Systems Committee

JPEG - Joint photographic experts group

JRCPTB - Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board

KM - Kirkpatrick Model

LDAP - Lightweight Directory Access Protocol

MA - Master of Arts

MBA - Masters in Business and Administration

MSc - Masters of Science

MSc Eng - Masters in Engineering

MTO - Medical Technical Officer

MWU – Mann Whitney U test

NHS - National Health Service

OER - Open source educational resource

PACS - Picture Archiving and Communication Systems

PET - Positron emission tomography

PgD (interp) - Postgraduate Diploma in Interpretation

PORSCHE - Pathways to Open Resource Sharing through Convergence of
Healthcare Education

QMP - Question*mark*[™] Perception[™]

RCN – Royal College of Nursing

RCR - Royal College of Radiologists

RCP - Royal College of Physicians

RLO - Reusable learning object

SCoR - Society and College of Radiographers

TEL - Technology enhanced learning department at the University of Portsmouth

VLE - Virtual learning environment

UK – United Kingdom

Glossary

DICOM - an acronym for Digital Image Communication in Medicine which denotes a set of standards that describe a digital file format which can be recognised by other systems (Jones & Oakley, 2003, p.52) for handling, storage, printing and information exchange in medical imaging. Images held are usually uncompressed, of high quality, but usually require large storage space (Cosson & Willis, 2011, p.113).

Digital Literacy – in the context of this research has been defined as the ability to interact with computer technology quickly and efficiently to enhance learning.

Dual Learning - highlights the importance of realistic learning, learning in the workplace and promoting the coordination and integration of knowledge, skills and competencies (such as those found in the professional context) thereby minimising the gap between formalised education and professional practice.

Flash - is a software authoring tool, originally developed by Macromedia. It can be used to create animation with special effects, audio tracks and interactivity, allowing for a full screen navigation interface. Content is saved in a file with a Shockwave Flash (SWF) file name extension. It can be used across a normal modem connection and is a popular piece of software, regarded as being ubiquitous on the Web owing to its speed and smooth rendering of graphics.

Flexible Learning - is a concept wherein the student manages their own time and place of study. Ideally suited to the adult learner, study is enabled outside of the work place and without making demands of single location based learning. It also supports the notion of student-centred learning where instruction can be personalised and taken at the individuals own pace and level of competency.

Integrated Learning - combines the elements of complex, flexible and dual learning into an integrated approach where new technologies such as E-learning, inevitably play a key role in helping to achieve learning goals.

JPEG - is a term coined from the Joint Photographic Experts Group to describe a standard method, commonly used, of lossy compression of digital images, which allows a 'trade off' between storage size and image quality (Cosson & Willis, 2011). **Note:** 'Lossy' compression is a method of data encoding which discards (loses) some information to minimise file size, thereby reducing storage, handling and transmitting issues. When compressing images, this will inevitably result in the degradation of the image.

LDAP - stands for Lightweight Directory Access Protocol. It is a standard internet application protocol, which allows reading and editing of directories over an Internet provider network. In simple terms it allows email and other programmes to access information from a server so individuals or groups can be identified.

PACS - Picture Archiving and Communication Systems. This is a system used within a healthcare setting which enables diagnostic images (radiographs and scans) to be stored electronically and viewed on screens, creating a near filmless process. It can be remotely accessed and allow comparability of multiple images, thereby improve and enhancing diagnostic methods.

Shibboleth® - a standards based, open source software package for web single sign-on across or within organizational boundaries. It allows sites to make informed authorization decisions for individual access of protected online resources in a privacy-preserving manner (definition from Shibboleth® accessed 20.6.11).

Victory - is a local name for the Blackboard based eLearning platform used by the University of Portsmouth for intranet provision.

VLE - Virtual Learning Environment is an educational system based on Web 2.0 technology, usually used in tandem with a content management system, allowing two way interaction for learning and teaching purposes.

Foreword

The main driver for this project stems from the perceived crisis in manpower facing the provision of a high quality, safe and effective nuclear medicine service within the United Kingdom (UK) (Intercollegiate Standing Committee on Nuclear Medicine [ICSCNM], 2003, p.vii; Royal College of Physicians [RCP], 2008, p.243).

Most hospitals in the UK provide some form of nuclear medicine service. This may range from a comprehensive service offered by dedicated nuclear medicine specialists, to radiologist-led services with a subspecialty interest in this field. Yet, “*non-medical personnel are essential to the routine provision of a nuclear medicine service*” (RCP, 2008, p.245) acknowledging that a high quality service, relies on a multi-disciplinary approach.

Nuclear medicine has developed rapidly in the last decade and with the addition of new technologies, such as positron emission tomography (PET) and new radiopharmaceuticals, it is becoming increasingly difficult to keep pace with demand (RCP, 2008, p.243). In conjunction with this, is an imbalance between junior doctors entering the specialty and planned retirements of clinicians currently in post, this has been highlighted as potentially contributing to the collapse of the service, unless there is a radical rethink of service provision (ICSCNM, 2003, p.9; RCP, 2008, p.255).

It takes time to train junior clinicians, particularly where negative perceptions of the specialty exist (limited clinical variety, potential clinical isolation and few consultant positions). Increased clinician numbers are needed and whilst this is being addressed through specialty registrar training programmes, there is still doubt as to whether this will be adequate to meet with demand. Over the next decade, it is anticipated that 100-120 whole time equivalent consultants will be needed just to maintain the existing level of service, excluding growth areas such as PET (ICSCNM, 2003, p.vii; RCP, 2008, p.254).

If the service is to remain viable in the foreseeable future, looking to the largely untapped resource and skill of non-medical healthcare professionals, such as

radiographers and medical technical officers, currently working within the field, may provide a more immediate solution. In fact, the British Nuclear Medicine Society [BNMS] has developed guidelines outlining *“the training and experience required for the extension of roles for non-medical healthcare professionals”* (RCP, 2008, p.250).

The move to utilise non-medical healthcare professionals and to promote cross boundary working is not new. In the past, the Royal College of Radiologists [RCR] advocated the extension of the radiographers' role and with the modernization of the National Health Service [NHS] increasing the skill mix has been seen as providing a more structured career progression and improving staff retention amongst non-medical personnel (Society and College of Radiographers [SCoR] 2010, p.6; joint paper by RCR and SCoR, 2007, p.6). However, with changing healthcare and political climates, further pressure for enhancing the role of non-medical healthcare professionals has gathered pace. The ever increasing demand on already stretched services has led to non-medical professionals from all sectors being asked to raise their level of practice to ease the burden on clinicians (Great Britain. Department of Health [DoH], 2000, p.7; Great Britain. DoH, 2002a, p.10; RCR, 2006, p.6; joint paper by RCR and SCoR, 2007, p.7). This is evident within nuclear medicine, where there are clear moves to maintain the service and increase patient choice by allowing some non-medical practitioners to provide timely and accurate reports of examination findings for referring clinicians (Nuclear Medicine Communications [NMC], 2004, p.751; BNMS, 2005, p.1).

Despite the advantages to service provision and the more effective use of existing manpower, cross boundary working does not come without some concerns, not least the perceived knowledge gap between medical and non-medical healthcare professionals. Currently, there is a lack of adequate resources and training programmes to help non-medical professionals expand their practice (Forsyth & Robertson, 2007, p.54).

The rationale for this project is the result of developments regarding changing professional boundaries, healthcare provision and practice, potential manpower shortages in nuclear medicine and the role of higher education (HE) in supporting

the '*learning society*' and the promotion of learning technologies (Messer & Griffiths, 2007, p.97).

With technology constantly evolving and with regard to the eLearning process, this project aims to develop and implement a discrete eLearning module in nuclear medicine skeletal reporting for non-medical healthcare professionals, focusing on the efficacy and reliability of the programme, knowledge and competence gained and possible transference to the clinical setting.