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Abstract

Objective: To assess whether a difference exists in prevalence of mild or more severe depressive symptoms between high-performance athletes and non-athletes.

Design: Comparative odds-ratio meta-analysis.

Data sources: We searched PSYCHINFO, PUBMED, MEDLINE, CINHAL, SPORTDiscus, and Google Scholar as well as the reference lists of reviews of mental health issues in high-performance athletes.

Eligibility: We included studies that compared high-performance athletes and non-athletes, included a validated measure of depressive symptoms, and included the prevalence of individuals who indicated at least mild depressive symptoms.

Results: Five articles reporting data from 1545 high-performance athletes and 1811 non-athletes were examined. A comparative odds-ratio meta-analysis found high-performance athletes were no more likely than non-athletes to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms (OR=1.15, 95% CI=.954, 1.383, p=.145). Male high-performance athletes (n=940) were no more likely than male non-athletes (n=605) to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms (OR=1.17, 95% CI=.839, 1.616, p=.362). For females, high-performance athletes (n=948) were no more likely than non-athletes (n=605) to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms (OR=1.11, 95% CI=.846, 1.442, p=.464). Overall, male high-performance athletes (n=874) were 52% less likely to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms than female high-performance athletes (n=705) (OR=.48, 95% CI=.369, .621, p<.001).
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Summary/conclusions: High-performance athletes were just as likely as non-athletes to report depressive symptoms. Researchers need to move beyond self-report measures of depressive symptoms and examine the prevalence of clinically diagnosed depressive disorders in athletes.
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What is already known?
High-performance athletes face unique stressors in training and competition that predispose them to mental health problems, like depressive symptoms.
It is hypothesized that being exposed to stressful environments may increase the likelihood high-performance athletes may report mild or more severe depressive symptoms than non-athletes.

What are the new findings?
Female high-performance athletes were just as likely to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms as female non-athletes.
Male high-performance athletes were just as likely report mild or more severe depressive symptoms as male non-athletes.
Male high-performance athletes were 52% less likely to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms than female high-performance athletes.
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Depressive symptoms in high-performance athletes and non-athletes: A comparative meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Recent research has indicated that high-performance athletes are at risk for mental health problems.[1] Researchers have pointed to several unique stressors and challenges that high-performance athletes face that may partially explain mental health problems, like depression and anxiety, in this population. Such unique challenges and stressors include demands of competition and training,[2, 3] as well as dealing with injury and recovery.[4] Additionally, some high-performance athletes are also concerned with body image, especially amongst those who compete in aesthetic sports such as diving and gymnastics, which may result in eating disorders and substance use.[4] Research has also shown that concerns with retirement, especially when brought on suddenly and unexpectedly, leave high-performance athletes feeling vulnerable and depressed.[5, 6]

There are several reasons why high-performance athletes may wish to not disclose their mental health status and seek support. As found in the general population, athletes fear dealing with the stigma associated with mental illness and the consequences that stem from it.[4, 7, 8] Perhaps most pertinently, athletes do not wish to disrupt their athletic careers.[9] As a result, many athletes may decide to stay silent about their mental health and not receive the support and treatment that may be necessary. That being said, some high-profile athletes have disclosed mental health challenges and illnesses as part of consciousness raising exercises, although, these are predominately retired athletes. Ultimately, non-disclosure may mean that mental health
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problems, especially depression, amongst high-performance athletes may be far more prevalent than what current research findings show.[8] However, given mental illness non-disclosure amongst the general population, it is not possible to say that non-disclosure affects high-performance athletes more than those in the general population and that high-performance athletes would be less likely to report symptoms that may be indicative of mental illness. In fact, few direct comparisons between high-performance athletes and the general population have been made. Although several recent narrative reviews have examined depression in high-performance athletes,[10-12] no systematic approaches to examine the literature have been taken. Furthermore, no meta-analytic approaches have been made to directly compare prevalence rates of self-reported depressive symptoms amongst high-performance athletes and non-athletes. As a result, the purpose of this meta-analysis was to directly compare high-performance athletes and non-athletes with respect to prevalence of reporting mild or more severe depressive symptoms as measured by validated measures. Findings will help illustrate if high-performance athletes are more likely to report depressive symptoms and if additional support strategies are necessary with this population.

METHODS

To ensure transparency and comprehensive reporting of the methods and results, this meta-analysis adhered to the PRISMA statement.[13]

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria
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To identify appropriate studies, published work and grey literature was examined in August 2016. No limits were imposed on study types or study dates while grey literature included program evaluations, reports, book chapters, and reviews. Grey literature was used primarily to identify additional eligible studies. The following databases were searched: PSYCHINFO, PUBMED, MEDLINE, CINHAL, and SPORTDiscus. Search terms for PSYCHINFO, MEDLINE, CINHAL, and SPORTDiscus included: “elite athlete” AND “dep*”; “elite sport” AND “dep*”. For searches in PUBMED, we used the following MeSH terms: “depression”, “depressive disorders”, “athletes”, and “sports”. A hand search of the reference lists of identified literature was conducted, including a hand search of recent reviews of mental illness in high-performance athletes. Google Scholar was also searched using the same key words to locate additional relevant studies.

For this review we focused specifically on depressive symptoms as measured by a validated measure. Following Swan et al.,[14] the term “high performance athlete” was equated to “elite athletes” as defined on a continuum ranging from semi-elite (e.g., participating in high performance youth development programs, competitive level college sports, programs below top level leagues) to world-class elite (e.g., participating and winning consistently at the Olympics or other world-stage events). Other classifications included competitive elite (e.g., participating regularly at highest level competitions) and successful elite (e.g., participating regularly at highest level competitions and experiencing infrequent success). Non-athletes were defined as individuals who were not engaged in any sports at a semi-elite, competitive elite, successful elite, or world-class elite level. Ultimately, studies that met the following inclusion criteria were reported in the review:
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1) published in full in English;
2) compared high-performance athletes and non-athletes;
3) included a validated measure of depressive symptoms; and
4) included the prevalence of individuals who indicated at least mild depressive symptoms.

Studies were excluded if they did not include a control sample of non-athletes, did not report depressive symptoms using a validated measure of depressive symptoms, or did not report the number of individuals who indicated symptom severity using a validated measure of depressive symptoms. Where studies did not report fully on depressive symptoms, the corresponding author of the study was contacted to obtain this information. Two reviewers (PG and KG) screened the articles independently to assess their eligibility. The reviewers met to confirm study eligibility and any discrepancies between the authors were resolved through discussion until an agreement was reached.

Data extraction and analysis

Data extraction was conducted by two of the study authors independently (PG and KG). The authors then met to ensure all appropriate data was extracted. A systematic tool was designed and used to facilitate data extraction of the following information:

1) Year of publication, country, sample size, recruitment strategy, age, sex, level of high-performance athleticism, non-athlete population, type of sport, and study type.
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2) Depressive symptoms: i) scale used and data collection methods, and ii) and prevalence of mild or more severe depressive symptoms. Identical data was extracted for the non-athlete control samples.

The primary outcome was the overall prevalence of at least mild or more severe depressive symptoms in high-performance athletes and non-athletes, as assessed with validated measures.

**Statistical Analyses**

All statistical analysis was conducted in OpenMetaAnalyst.[15] Random-effects models were applied to all meta-analyses in order to account for heterogeneity.[16]

The proportions of high-performance athletes and non-athletes indicating at least mild depressive symptoms were pooled across all studies reporting this variable, in order to calculate a weighted estimate with 95% confidence intervals. Prevalence of at least mild depressive symptoms in high-performance athletes and non-athletes were reported using odds-ratio meta-analysis, with non-athletes used as the reference group. A subgroup analysis of sex differences was also conducted, with females used as the reference group. Specifically, a comparative odds-ratio meta-analysis examined depressive symptoms between males and females in both high-performance athletes and non-athletes. Variance between studies was assessed using Cochran’s Q and reported as $I^2$. Visual inspection of funnel-plots assessed the degree of potential publication bias.

**Risk of Bias**

A 10-item risk of bias in prevalence studies tool was used to assess the internal and external validity of each study.[17] Specifically, the risk of bias assessment provided an overview of the main methodological characteristics of the reported studies. Two study authors (PG and KG)
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RESULTS

The PRISMA search process is presented in Figure 1. The initial database search returned 855 articles and a hand search identified an additional 17 articles. Of the 872 articles, 193 duplicates were removed and 679 articles were screened. In total, 25 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility and twenty were excluded for the following reasons: having no non-athlete comparison group (n=15),[6, 18-31] no reported prevalence of depressive symptoms (n=4),[32-35] and no measure of depressive symptoms (n=1).[36] A list of full-text excluded articles is presented in Table 1.

In total, five articles were included in this review, reporting data from 1545 high-performance athletes and 1811 non-athletes.[37-41] Ages for high-performance athletes ranged from 12 to 41 years and 54.0% of the athletic participants were female. Studies were conducted in Iran, Germany, Switzerland, and USA (n=2). All studies were cross-sectional and used validated measures of depressive symptoms. Validated measures included the Beck-Depression Inventory-II,[42] Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale,[43] Composite International Diagnostic-Screener,[44] and Depression subscale of the Scales of the Personality Assessment Inventory.[45] Study characteristics are detailed in Table 2.

The included studies showed to be of low risk for bias for prevalence studies, ranking highly in both overall measures of internal and external validity. Risk of bias results can be seen
Comparing depressive symptoms

in Table 3. A visual inspection of a generated funnel plot revealed no publication bias. The publication bias funnel plot can be seen in Figure 2.

**Depressive Symptoms Prevalence Rates**

Prevalence rates for mild or more severe depressive symptoms were reported by all studies. Rates of mild or more severe depressive symptoms ranged from 3.7% [41] to 26.7% [38] for high-performance athlete males and 9.8% [41] to 36.5% [37] for high-performance athlete females. For non-athletes, rates ranged from 7.6% [41] to 34.4% [38] for males and 6.1% [41] to 42.5% [38] for females. A comparative odds-ratio meta-analysis found that high-performance athletes (n=1545) were no more likely than non-athletes (n=1811) to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms (OR=1.15, 95% CI=.954, 1.383, p=.145). There was no between study heterogeneity (p=.453; I²=0%) (Figure 3.). When sex differences were examined, a comparative odds-ratio meta-analysis found that male high-performance athletes (n=940) were no more likely than male non-athletes (n=863) to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms (OR=1.17, 95% CI=.839, 1.616, p=.362). There was negligible heterogeneity between studies (p=.276; I²=21.71%) (Figure 4.). For females, a comparative odds-ratio meta-analysis found that high-performance athletes (n=948) were no more likely than non-athletes (n=605) to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms (OR=1.11, 95% CI=.846, 1.442, p=.464). There was no between study heterogeneity (p=.518; I²=0%) (Figure 5.). Overall, male high-performance athletes (n=874) were 52% less likely to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms than female high-performance athletes (n=605) (OR=.48, 95% CI=.369, .621, p<.001). There was no between study heterogeneity (p=.547; I²=0%) (Figure 6). For non-athletes, a comparative odds-
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ratio meta-analysis found that males (n=812) were 42% less likely to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms than females (n=948) (OR=.58, 95% CI=.350, .977, p=.040). There was substantial heterogeneity (p=.024; $I^2=68.14\%$) (Figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this meta-analysis was to compare high-performance athletes and non-athletes with respect to reporting of mild or more severe depressive symptoms. Results from this meta-analysis show that high-performance athletes and non-athletes do not differ with respect to reporting mild or more severe depressive symptoms. Female high-performance athletes were twice as likely to report mild or more severe depressive symptoms than male high-performance athletes. This is the first meta-analysis to aggregate comparative findings for depressive symptoms between high-performance athletes and comparative samples of non-athletes.

Findings from this meta-analysis are in support of previous findings from other reviews and studies that have showed similar levels of prevalence for reporting depressive symptoms between high-performance athletes and non-athletes.[10, 12] Ultimately, results show that high-performance athletes were just as likely as non-athletes to report depressive symptoms. Previous research does state that due to stigma surrounding depression, high-performance athletes may try to ignore or suppress depressive symptoms and may not wish to seek help from sports psychologists and other mental health specialists.[47] Given that high-performance athletes may be more skilled at or have more sophisticated training opportunities to develop mental toughness skills; which are defined as a set of mental attributes that allow individuals to cope with stressful situations and more consistently remain determined, focused, confident, and in psychological control;[48] one may speculate that elite athletes may not report depressive symptoms by either
Comparing depressive symptoms

remaining, or seeking to appear, mentally tough. After all, to report depressive symptoms may be perceived as an admission of mental weakness, which may ultimately provide an opponent an advantage. Unfortunately, this perception of mental weakness would only perpetuate the negative attitudes associated with mental illness in sport and prevent athletes from seeking help. Equally, non-athletes may choose to ignore, suppress, and not seek help for depressive symptoms for similar stigma related reasons as well, yet do not have access to sophisticated training opportunities to develop mental toughness skills. As such, to further and better investigate the prevalence of depressive symptoms in both populations, data from clinical diagnoses in the form of structured clinical interviews rather than self-reported measures is necessary.

Although no differences were seen between athletes and non-athletes, findings from this meta-analysis confirm that female athletes were more likely to report depressive symptoms than male athletes.[31, 41, 49] The two-fold increased risk for depressive symptoms in high-performance athlete females found in this meta-analysis is similar to what has been found in non-athletes.[50] It is believed that this phenomenon is the result of many different and complicated social and psychological factors, including adverse experiences in childhood and upbringing, sociocultural roles and adverse experiences (e.g., greater likelihood of victimization related to sexual harassment, chronic everyday burdens related to social status), as well as psychological attributes related to stress and coping (e.g., negative self-concept, inward focus on feelings).[51, 52] Strategies should be designed to render more support to female athletes in terms of understanding and acknowledging depressive symptoms as well as seeking help. Additionally, it must be stressed that this study focused on self-reported measures of depressive symptoms. Therefore, findings indicate that female athletes are more likely than male athletes to report their
Comparing depressive symptoms rather than have a higher prevalence of depressive symptoms per se. Research that further helps address environmental and cultural factors, particularly gendered attitudes and values, that shape reporting behaviours is urgently required.

Due to the limited number of included studies, mild or more severe depressive symptom prevalence reporting was not examined in different sports. Future research should explore different individual and team sports as stressors, levels of responsibility, and rates of injury, like concussions, vary greatly.[25] Although Wolanin and colleagues [49] showed differences in depressive symptoms with athletes involved in track and field and cheerleading carrying the greatest risk amongst high-performance student athletes, these findings are not consistent with previous research conducted by Schaal et al.[28] Additionally, other determinants of depressive symptoms may wish to be further explored, including various demographic variables (e.g., age, race, relationship status, sexuality), physical health variables (e.g., injuries, surgeries), mental health variables (e.g., stress, self-esteem), and socio-cultural elements (e.g., social connectedness, support structures, mental toughness).

Despite a robust search and evaluation of included studies, a number of limitations with this meta-analysis must be pointed out. First, efforts were made to reach study authors by email for additional information about depressive symptom prevalence. Such information would have expanded the number of eligible studies and provided a more robust understanding of comparing depressive symptom prevalence between high-performance athletes and non-athletes. Ultimately, a small number of studies met eligibility criteria and were included in the meta-analysis. This potentially limits the generalizability of our results. Second, most included studies examined student high-performance athletes and student non-athletes. Further comparative research is
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needed with professional and world-class high-performance athletes and non-student populations. Previous research has found that students tend to report higher rates of depressive symptoms than those in the general population and this may potentially skew results indicating that high-performance athletes have much higher rates of mental health problems when compared to the non-athletes.\[53\] Third, four different validated and reliable measures of depressive symptoms were used in this meta-analysis. For consistency and easy comparability, researchers may wish to choose to use one validated and reliable measure that has been used previously, such as the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.\[44\] Fourth, we excluded unpublished and non-English language articles which exposes the current meta-analysis to publication and language biases. Lastly, each of the included studies is based on self-report measures of depressive symptoms. As such only prevalence rates of depressive symptoms are reported and not clinical diagnoses of depressive disorders. Future research should consider incorporating the use of structured clinical interviews and examine the prevalence rates of clinical diagnoses of depressive disorders. Researchers should also make every effort to follow rigorous standards in reporting in full their results as to minimize publication bias.

Overall, the results of this meta-analysis show that high-performance athletes were just as likely to report depressive symptoms as non-athletes and female high-performance athletes were twice as likely as male high-performance athletes to report depressive symptoms. Given the limitations of self-reporting depressive symptoms, researchers should aim to use structured clinical interviews in the future to examine prevalence of depressive symptoms in high-performance athletes.
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Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram.

<Insert Figure here>
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Table 1. Full text articles excluded with reasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excluded studies</th>
<th>Reason for exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gouttebarge et al. (2015)[18]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulliver et al. (2015) [19]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guskiewicz et al. (2007) [20]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond et al. (2013) [21]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart et al. (2013) [22]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr et al. (2012) [23]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leddy et al. (1994) [24]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appaneal et al. (2009) [25]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nixdorf et al. (2013) [26]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nixdorf et al. (2016) [27]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaal et al. (2011) [28]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain et al. (2013) [29]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weigand et al. (2013) [30]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. (2007) [31]</td>
<td>No non-athlete group comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backmand et al. (2005) [33]</td>
<td>No prevalence of depressive symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerber et al. (2011) [34]</td>
<td>No prevalence of depressive symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammadi et al. (2012) [35]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brettschneider (1999) [36]</td>
<td>No depressive symptoms measure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Summary of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and location</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Recruitment and incentives to participate</th>
<th>Age of high-performance athletes</th>
<th>Level of ‘high-performance’ athleticism</th>
<th>Non-athlete population</th>
<th>Type of Sport</th>
<th>Depressive symptom measure and data collection</th>
<th>Prevalence of at least mild depressive symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brand et al., 2013; Germany</td>
<td>N=1218; 475-480 high-performance athletes male; 249-251 non-athlete male; 297-301 high-performance athletes female, 180-181 non-athlete female</td>
<td>Through school enrollment. No information reported about incentives.</td>
<td>Range 12-15 years</td>
<td>High-performance student athletes</td>
<td>Students who attended schools “showing no extraordinary form of sport programming”</td>
<td>Artistic gymnastics, boxing, canoe/kayak, cycling, handball, judo, modern pentathlon, rowing, shooting, soccer, swimming, track and field athletics, triathlon, volleyball, weightlifting, wrestling</td>
<td>Composite International Diagnostic Screener.[27] Questionnaires administered by schoolteachers.</td>
<td>High-performance athletes male = 19.3%, non-athlete male = 18.7%; high-performance athlete female = 36.5%, non-athletes female = 42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghaedi et al.</td>
<td>N=340; Through</td>
<td>M=21.45</td>
<td>Athlete</td>
<td>Non-athlete</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Beck-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Region</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>University Enrollment</th>
<th>Age (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Depression Scale</th>
<th>Clinically Significant Threshold</th>
<th>Performance Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junge &amp; Feddernan-Demont, 2016; Switzerland</td>
<td>N=1300; 182 high-performance athletes male, 73 U-21 high-performance</td>
<td>Through the Swiss Concussion Project.</td>
<td>M=24.81 years (SD=2.27) (high-performance males) M=18.35 years (SD=1.18) (U-21) M=20.95</td>
<td>First league and U-21</td>
<td>General population in Germany, 18-92 years of age</td>
<td>Football (soccer)</td>
<td>Depression Inventory-II,[25] scores 11 or higher were considered clinically significant. Questionnaires administered in two departments of a private university.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al., 2014; Iran</td>
<td>90 high-performance athletes male, 90 non-athlete male; 80 high-performance athlete female, 80 non-athlete female</td>
<td>university enrollment. No information reported about incentives.</td>
<td>years (SD=1.66)</td>
<td>undergraduates</td>
<td>college students</td>
<td></td>
<td>Depression Inventory-II,[25] scores 11 or higher were considered clinically significant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparing depressive symptoms between athletes and non-athletes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Depressive Symptom Scores</th>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proctor &amp; Boan-Lenzo, 2010</td>
<td>N=117; 66 high-performance athlete; 51 non-athlete</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Through university enrollment (SD=2.03)</td>
<td>Questionnaires administered by coaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=20.3 years; Range=18-31 years</td>
<td>No incentives reported</td>
<td>High-performance athletes = 7.9%; non-athletes = 14.3%</td>
<td>Depression scores of 15.6% considered clinically significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=117; 251 high-performance athlete; 106 non-athlete</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Through university enrollment (SD=2.03)</td>
<td>Questionnaires administered by coaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=20.3 years; Range=18-31 years</td>
<td>No incentives reported</td>
<td>High-performance athletes = 13.0%; non-athletes = 14.3%</td>
<td>Depression scores of 15.6% considered clinically significant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Storch et al., 2005; USA | N=398; 54 high-performance athletes male, 79 non-athlete male; 51 high-performance athlete female, 214 non-athlete female | Through university enrollment. No incentives provided. | M=20.9 years (SD=3.0); Range=17-41 years | Division-I, intercollegiate team sport athletes | Non-athlete college students | Soccer, volleyball, basketball, swimming, tennis, football | Depression subscale of the Scales of the Personality Assessment Inventory,[28] scores over 32 were considered clinically significant. Questionnaires were administered by coaches and professors. | High-performance athletes male = 3.7%, non-athlete male = 7.6%; high-performance athlete female = 9.8%, non-athletes female = 6.1% |
### Table 3. Risk of bias assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Were the study's target populations a close representation of relevant populations in relation to relevant variables?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the sampling frame a true or close representation of the target population?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was some form of random selection used to select the sample, OR was a census undertaken?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Was the likelihood of nonresponse bias minimal?</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Were data collected directly from the subjects (as opposed to a proxy)?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Was an acceptable case definition used in the study?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the study instrument that measured the parameter of interest shown to have validity and reliability?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Was the same mode of data collection used for all subjects?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Was the length of the shortest prevalence period for the parameter of interest appropriate?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Were the numerator(s) and denominator(s) for the parameter of interest appropriate?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Publication bias funnel plot

<Insert Figure here>
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Figure 3. Relative odds of mild or more severe depressive symptoms in non-athletes vs high-performance athletes
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Figure 4. Relative odds of mild or more severe depressive symptoms in non-athletes vs high-performance athletes
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Figure 5. Relative odds of mild or more severe depressive symptoms in non-athletes vs high-performance athletes
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Figure 6. Relative odds of mild or more severe depressive symptoms in high-performance athletes
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Figure 7. Relative odds of mild or more severe depressive symptoms in non-athletes
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